

# WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT

## JAFFNA PENINSULA



211-1  
A  
R

**K. SHANMUGARAJAH**  
F.I.E. (S.L.), F.I.C.E. (Lond.)







# **WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT**

## **JAFFNA PENINSULA**

**K. SHANMUGARAJAH**

**C.Eng., Dip Eng.(Lond) Honours  
F.I.E. (Sri Lanka), F.I.C.E. (Lond.)**

**Retired Deputy Director  
Irrigation Dept. Sri Lanka**

**1993**

ISBN: 0 646 221515

© 1993 K. Shanmugarajah

All rights reserved.

This book is copyright. Other than for the purposes and subject to the conditions prescribed under the copyright act, no part of it may in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, microcopying, photocopying, recording or otherwise) be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted without prior written permission.

Printed in Australia by Fast Books,  
A division of Wild & Woolley Pty. Ltd., Glebe

## FOREWORD

The Water Resources of the Jaffna Peninsula has been a subject of great interest and much concern. The Peninsula, unlike other parts of the Island, depends for its water on the rainfall which percolates and is retained annually by its sub-soil limestone aquifer.

The Hydrological cycle of the Peninsula is somewhat like operating a Current Account in a Bank. The rainy season makes underground deposit, annually: that is drawn out from the wells during the dry months. A limit for the drawing is imposed by the amount put in. Over drawing spells disaster - salt water intrudes.

Aware of this constriction, the ancestors evolved a remarkable system of ponds interlinked by waterways. These conserved the precipitation, detaining it for increased percolation. Unfortunately the demands of a growing population have fast obliterated the ponds and the waterways have since become lanes and Roadways. Therefore other methods have now to be devised for ensuring this detention.

Valuable suggestions have been made and proposals mooted in the recent past. Mr K. Shanmugarajah who has made a considerable study of these lays out detail plans for their practical accomplishment, in this work.

London  
June 1991

*S. Arumugam* B. Sc., F.I.C.E  
Retd: D. Dir. of Irrigation  
Dir. Water Resources Board

## FOREWORD

Ground water has been the "Life blood" of the Jaffna Peninsula. Shallow open wells with the traditional well sweeps had been used for centuries for domestic as well as farm irrigation. Introduction of heavy duty pumps, for undertaking large scale and or more frequent irrigation has brought about problems of salt water intrusion and attendant environmental problems.

The irrigation Department commenced intensive investigations on ground water levels, water quality, soil salinity and other related environmental conditions. Coupled to this study, was the investigation to convert the Jaffna Lagoon into a fresh water lake.

Recent political conflict in the North & East has set back the programme of development that was envisaged. When stable conditions return to Jaffna, it would be very necessary to embark on planning of the Water Resources Development for the benefit of the peninsula.

Mr K. Shanmugarajah was associated, along with other Officials of the Irrigation Department, in the investigations and planning for the development of the Water Resources. In his characteristic manner, he had maintained and collated the data made available from these investigations. He has already presented summarised data at meetings of professional associations in Sri Lanka. We are grateful to him for compiling this book "Water Resources Development of Jaffna Peninsula" for the benefit of future planners and development authorities.

*A. Maheswaran* B. Sc, B. Sc (Eng),  
F.I.C.E., F.I.E.  
Retired Director of Irrigation,  
Sri Lanka

1991



## DEDICATION

This book is dedicated to my late Parents

Mr. Sinnappu Kanagasabapathy and

Mrs. Ponnammah Kanagasabapathy

---

I am grateful to late Professor A. Thurairajah Vice  
Chancellor of Jaffna University for having reviewed the  
manuscript.

## ACRONYMS



JP	Jaffna Peninsula
JL	Jaffna Lagoon
EPL	Elephant Pass Lagoon
VL	Vadamarachchi Lagoon
UL	Upparu Lagoon
ID	Irrigation Department
GA	Government Agent
NP	Northern Province
D.I.E.	Divisional Irrigation Engineer
T.C.E.O.	Territorial Civil Engineering Organization

FIG- I

**SURFACE WATER**

RIVER BASINS	
NAME	NO
KANAKARAYAN ARU	81
NETHALI ARU	80
PERAMANTHAL ARU	79
THERUVIL ARU	78

**LEGEND**

RIVER BASIN BOUNDARY   
 DISTRICT CAPITAL 





FIG. II

LAYOUT OF  
JAFFNA PENINSULA AND  
ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON SCHEMES



## PREFACE

There are no streams and rivers in Jaffna peninsula due to the flatness of the land and the topography does not permit the construction of reservoirs. Jaffna peninsula limestone with fissures, cracks and joints and with its porous characteristics permits percolation of fresh water to be stored underground. Ground water is the potential for water resources development of Jaffna peninsula.

There are over thousand ponds scattered all over the peninsula and conserve the precipitation to provide water for cultivation of small extents around the ponds and to recharge the aquifer.

The ponds are badly silted due to many years of usage and the capacities are reduced. A program to rehabilitate, improve and desilt the ponds will increase the water resources potential of the peninsula.

From 1879 onwards, proposals were framed to convert the internal lagoons to fresh water lakes. These lagoons are connected to the sea and water in the lagoons are brine due to ingress of sea water.

The proposals were to construct barrages at the entrances to prevent the ingress of sea water. Rainfall in lagoon beds and their catchment within the peninsula is the only source of water supply to the lagoons.

During rainy season, flood run-off will leach out the brine water and the salt impregnated in the top soil of the lagoon bed. Leaching out process of the soil can be facilitated by disturbing (ploughing) the soil before the rains.

In few years time, lagoons can be converted to fresh water lakes which will serve to irrigate the lands, along the fringe of the lagoons. In addition they can be served to recharge the underground aquifer. A pilot project covering a small area of the north lagoon, from 1920 to 1923 was successful. The extension of this pilot project to cover the entire area of the lagoons, with the construction of two barrages was undertaken in the early fifties. The desired benefits were not derived due to:

- Run-off from the local catchments within the peninsula was not sufficient for the leaching out process.
- Management (operation and maintenance) was not carried out successfully.

Hence in the fifties, investigations were carried out to identify water resources in the mainland to augment the internal lagoons via Elephant Pass Lagoon for leaching out process. Elephant Pass Lagoon (EPL) will also serve as primary reservoir to feed the internal lagoons after they are converted to fresh water lakes. Due to various problems, this proposal was not implemented successfully.

This book presents, actions taken from 1879 by Twyneham the then Government Agent of the Northern Province, who originated the idea and subsequently by Horseburg in 1916 the then G.A/N.P., Balasingam Member of Legislative Council in 1930, F.R.G. Webb Divisional Irrigation Engineer N.P. in 1930 through forties with valuable proposals for the two internal lagoons, and S. Arumugam D.I.E/N.P from 1940. Arumugam presented a proposal in early fifties to augment the internal lagoons from the mainland via E.P.

Finally in 1976 extensive and intensive investigations, proposals, designs, construction drawings, cost estimates and economic analysis were carried out by a team of Engineers and supporting staff of the Irrigation Department, led by the author of this book, who as the then Chief Engineer was in charge of the project.

The project proposals were submitted to the planning committee to include in the budget for 1976. Though the project was technically sound and economically feasible, was not included in the budget for reasons best known to them.

The book presents actions taken from 1879 by individuals, and institutions in identifying water resources potential and problems and framing proposals for implementation.

The works carried by Irrigation Department I.D. in 1976 is presented in detail, with documents require for implementation.

In the North zone of the peninsula where intensive study carried out during the period 1973-1976 in an area of 55 sq. miles, indicate that about 30% of the wells were becoming brine. The present underground storage is limited and with the increased demand for domestic and agriculture use, the possibility of saline intrusion to the underground fresh water will be great and reach of a critical stage. Hence implementation of this project is a must for the existence of the people of the area whose prime occupation is agriculture. The final proposal presented in this book serves this purpose.

Fishing is mainly for sea prawns and confined to the areas close to the barrages. There is a fear that the change to fresh water lake would affect the livelihood of the fishing community. Sea prawns and fresh water prawns are expensive commodities. Hence during the last two decades tremendous technological advances have been made to culture these in man made structures. Hatchery can operated economically to supply all the required young larvae of the prawns in Vadamarachchi and Upparu Lagoons and get a harvest which would be several times more than what was obtained from the lagoon recently or at any time before.

Below a certain salinity level sea prawns cannot survive. At this stage fresh water prawns can be introduced which can tolerate lower salinity levels. After the lagoon is complete fresh water lake, fresh water prawns can continue to be cultured in the lake.

I have been trying my best to get this project implemented while working in the Irrigation Department and even after I retired from the Department in 1980, through other resources. I have failed in my endeavour. Hence I am documenting all the available data related to the project - Historical, problems, requirements, proposals, designs, drawing, cost estimates, economic analysis etc. in this book with the hope that it will serve as a good guideline for future planners and development authorities to benefit the people of the area

I am not sure when the auspicious time will come for implementation. But it must come before a critical stage is reached, when most of the area will be faced with shortage of fresh water for the domestic use and agricultural purpose.

1993

K. Shanmugarajah

# CONTENTS

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b><u>PAGE</u></b>
<b>SUMMARY</b> .....	1
<b>CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	7
General .....	7
Pre Historical .....	7
Geology .....	8
Rainfall and Hydrology .....	8
Recharge from Rainfall .....	10
Topography and Drainage .....	10
Ground Water Exploitation and Utilization .....	11
Saline Intrusion .....	11
Vertical Intrusion of Salinity .....	13
<b>CHAPTER 2. INVESTIGATIONS CARRIED OUT IN THE                   NORTH WEST ZONE OF THE PENINSULA</b> .....	14
General .....	14
Geological and Hydraulic - Characteristics of the Aquifer Stratigraphy and Structure .....	14
Hydraulic character, Water table aquifer .....	16
Regional Hydrological System. Assessment of ground water recharge.....	20
Ground water abstraction. Availability and Reliability of data .....	20
Recommendation .....	21
- For future investigations .....	21
- Possible solutions to prevent water from the aquifer escaping to the sea .....	21
<b>CHAPTER 3. AUGMENTATION OF THE PENINSULA WATER                   RESOURCES</b> .....	22
General .....	22
Historical .....	22
Twyneham Report .....	23
Horseburg Report .....	23
Balasingham and Webb Report .....	24
Balasingham's Proposal .....	24
Previous investigations, available records, data etc. ....	24

	<u>PAGE</u>
A Summary of Action Taken by the Irrigations Dept. from 1941 to 1970 - Studies, Proposals, Designs, Constructions etc.....	26
(a) Webb's proposals .....	26
- Selection of site.....	26
- Catchment area, Rainfall, Tidal levels, and Run-off .....	28
- South Lagoon (Upparu) .....	30
- North and East lagoons (Vadamarachchi).....	30
- Mr Webb's Proposals for the Barrages .....	30
(b) Amendment to Webb's proposals .....	31
- Final proposal for Northern barrage (Thondamannaru) .....	31
- Final proposal for Southern barrage (Upparu) .....	32
Completed works - Thondamannaru and Arialai barrages and separation bund .....	33
Arumugam's Plan .....	34
- Elephant Pass lagoon scheme .....	34
- Completed works of Elephant Pas lagoon scheme .....	35

#### **CHAPTER 4. INVESTIGATIONS, PROPOSALS, DESIGNS, ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CARRIED OUT IN 1976 ..** 37

General.....	37
Purpose of the scheme .....	37
Proposals for the scheme .....	37
- Elephant Pass lagoon scheme .....	37
- Link canal .....	38
- North and East (Vandararachchi) lagoon .....	38
- South (Upparu) lagoon .....	38
Anticipated benefits.....	38
Existing Condition in 1976 .....	39
- Vadamarachchi Lagoon .....	39
- Upparu Lagoon .....	39
- Separation Bund .....	40
- Chemmani Saltern .....	40
- Elephant Pass Lagoon, Link Canal, and Access Road .....	40
Investigations Carried Out .....	40
- Upparu Lagoon .....	40
- Vadamarachchi Lagoon .....	40
- Elephant Pass Lagoon .....	40
- Link Channel .....	41
Conclusion .....	41
Subsoil Investigations for Jaffna Lagoon Scheme .....	43
Hydrology and Hydraulic Studies and Structural Design of Spill Cum Causeway of Elephant Pass Lagoon .....	45
- Run-off Process .....	45
- Hydrograph .....	46

	<u>PAGE</u>
- Hydrology and Hydraulic Studies .....	46
- Elephant Pass Lagoon .....	46
- Vadamarachchi Lagoon .....	47
- Upparu Lagoon .....	47
Unit Hydrograph - E.P.L. ....	48
100 Year Design Storm Pattern - E.P.L. ....	50
100 Year Flood Hydrograph - E.P.L. - Computation .....	51
Reservoir Routing Inflow Storage Discharge (I.S.D.) Method - E.P.L. ....	58
Length of Spill and Afflux .....	59
Hydraulic Design of Spill Cum Causeway - E.P.L. ....	59
Unit Hydrograph - V.L. ....	62
100 Year Storm Pattern - V.L. ....	62
100 Year Flood Hydrograph - V.L. Computation .....	63
Thondamannaru Barrage - V.L. - Existing Structural Details for Flood Discharge. ....	65
Worst Flow Condition, When Tide Level is Maximum .....	65
Discharge Through Thondamannaru Barrage for Various Openings .....	67
Result .....	67
Evaporation .....	67
Floods and Droughts .....	68
Water Requirements .....	69
Salinity Variation .....	69
Ground Water and Jaffna Aquifer .....	71
Annual Water Requirements in Million Gallons .....	71
Exploration Details .....	71
Project Land and Soil Classification .....	71
- Project Area .....	71
- Soil Classification .....	73
Economic Analysis .....	73
- Plan of Development .....	73
- The Cost Stream .....	73
Direct and Indirect Benefits .....	74
Outstanding Issues .....	74
Conclusion .....	75
<b>CHAPTER 5. PONDS IN JAFFNA PENINSULA .....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>CHAPTER 6. PROPOSED CHANGE TO FRESH WATER LAKE AND DEVELOPMENT OF FISHERIES .....</b>	<b>78</b>



<b>CHAPTER 7. COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE ACTION .....</b>	<b>80</b>
Investigations, Designs, Proposals, etc. Carried Out in 1976 .....	80
Hydrology - E.P.L. ....	80
Hydraulics of Chundikulam Spill - E.P.L. ....	80
Upstream Submergence - E.P.L. ....	80
Evaporation Losses - E.P.L. ....	81
Structural Design of Chundikulam Spill and Embankment - E.P.L. ....	81
Spill cum Causeway - E.P.L. ....	81
Link Canal - E.P.L. to V.L. ....	81
Monitoring of Ground Water Conditions .....	81
Ponds in Jaffna Peninsula .....	81
Proposed change of V.L. and U.L. to Fresh Water Lake and Development of its Fisheries .....	81
Recharging of the Underground Storage .....	82
General .....	82

## TABLES

	<u>PAGE</u>
I Location of observation wells 0 (Annex 1) .....	85
II Data of some selected wells - Water in the well in feet (Annex 1) .....	89
III Data of some selected wells - Elevation of water surface in wells - M.S.L datum (Annex 1) .....	90
IV Data of some selected wells - Data of salinity from the sample of water found at the bottom of well (chloride ions in parts per million) - (Annex 1) ...	91
V Rainfall and recharge .....	10
VI Data obtained from intensive study area - North West zone .....	21
VII Average rainfall in inches in four stations in J.P .....	28
VIII Record November rainfall in inches in four stations in J.P .....	28
IX Tide levels (maximum and minimum) in Jaffna and Thondamanaru .....	29
X Catchment area, yield, maximum flood run-off, water spread area and capacity of V.L. and U.L. ....	29
XI U.L. spill discharge for various up-stream and down-stream water levels .....	33
XII Existing condition of V.L, U.L., and E.P.L .....	42
XIII 100 year storm pattern of E.P.L .....	50
XIV 100 year flood hydrograph for E.P.L - computation .....	51
XV Elevation VS storage of E.P.L .....	55
XVI Tabulation for drawing S, S+Ot/2, S-Ot/2 curves for routing through the reservoir - E.P.L. ....	55
XVII Reservoir routing. Inflow - storage - Discharge (I.S.D.) method E.P.L. ....	58
XVIII 100 year storm pattern of KKS .....	62
XIX 100 year flood hydrograph for V.L. - computation .....	63
XX Discharge through Thondamanaru barrage for various openings .....	67
XXI Details of maximum one day storm in Jaffna, Chavakachcheri and Pallai .....	68
XXII Water requirements for various crops .....	69
XXIII Chemical analysis for chlorides in water samples taken at different points in V.L., U.L. and E.P.L. - (Annex-2) .....	92
XXIV Annual water requirements in million gallons .....	71
XXV Existing conditions and proposals for V.L, U.L. and E.P.L. ....	76

## FIGURES

		<u>PAGE</u>
I	J.P. Highlighted in the map of Sir Lanka .....	(IV)
II	Layout of V.L., U.L. and E.P. ....	(V)
III	Recharge and draw off from the aquifer - J.P. ....	9
IV	J.P - distribution of salinity in the month of August of the years 1965, 1966 and 1967 .....	12
V	Compaction of limestone strata in the Northwest zone (intensive study area) .	15
VI	Hydraulic nature of the aquifer, its compaction and boundary parameters during drought in the North West zone .....	17
VII	Diagrammatic representation of fresh water lense, interface between fresh water and saline water and the upward movement of the interface subject to heavy draw off of fresh water .....	18
VIII	Ground water storage curve above M.S.L from August 1973 to April 1977 in the North West Zone .....	19
IX	Map showing V.L and U.L, their catchment areas, uncultivated and cultivated areas along the fringe of the lagoons. The map was prepared in 1944 by the Irrigation Department as Appendix III to the report by F.R.G. Webb, the then Divisional Irrigation Engineer N.P. ....	27
X	Catchment area of E.P.L. ....	44
XI	Unit Hydrograph - E.P.L. ....	49
XII	Area/Capacity curve - E.P.L. ....	52
XIII	Pool elevation VS storage in cusecs - 1/4 day curve and Pool elevation VS outflow in cusecs curve - E.P.L. ....	53
XIV	Outflow 'O' VS Storage 'S', Outflow 'O' VS (S+Ot/2) and Outflow 'O' VS (S-Ot/2) curves .....	54
XV	Inflow and Outflow hydrographs - 100 year return period - E.P.L. ....	56
XVI	Longitudinal section of existing and proposed spill and bund at eastern end (Chundikulam) - E.P.L. ....	57
XVII	Proposed spill cum causeway - E.P.L. ....	60
XVIII	Unit hydrograph - V.L. ....	61
XIX	100 year flood hydrograph - V.L. ....	64
XX	Log drill hole at Pallai - J.P. ....	70
XXI	Reconnaissance soil map of J.P. ....	72

## ANNEXURES

	<u>PAGE</u>
1. Recharge from rainfall-studies from 411 selected wells .....	85
2. Chemical analysis for chlorides of water samples taken at different points in Thondamannar - Upparu and Elephant Pass Lagoons .....	92
3. Tentative cost estimates for development of the lagoons:- .....	98
Sub estimates:-	
(i) Spill cum Causeway - EPL .....	100
(ii) Zoned embankment - spill cum Causeway - EPL .....	101
(iii) Flanked bund with gravel Road surface - spill cum causeway - EPL .....	101
(iv) Regulator along link canal at OM.27ch. ....	102
(v) Excavation of Link canal .....	103
(vi) Causeway at 2M.03ch. Link canal .....	104
4. Area/Capacity of 88 selected ponds .....	105
5. The report submitted to his excellency J.R. Jayawairdana President of Sri Lanka, in January 1983 with an appeal to implement the scheme .....	108
6. Plans:-	
(i) Sub soil investigations for spill cum causeway- EPL and burrow area ..	113
(ii) EPL - Spill cum Causeway and Earth embankment - (0.0 ch - 40 ch) ...	114
(iii) -Do- (40ch.-1M.30ch) ..	115
(iv) -Do- (1M.30ch - 2M.0ch) ..	116
(v) Link canal - Regulator at OM.27ch .....	117
(vi) -Do- Causeway at 2M.03ch .....	118
(vii) Link canal - Layout plan and Longitudinal Section - Mile 1 .....	119
(viii) -Do- Mile 2 .....	120
(ix) -Do- Mile 3 .....	121

## REFERENCES

- I S. Arumugam - 1968. Report dealing with the ground water potential of the peninsula
- II V.S. Balendra, C.H.L. Sirimanne and S. Arumugam - 1968. Ground water in Jaffna. Shirley J. Bocks - 1951. A report on the behaviour of the Elephant Pass lagoon when provided with the proposed spill at the western end
- III T. Gunasegaram - 1977. Ground water potential and saline water intrusion in the unconfined limestone aquifer of Jaffna peninsula
- IV K. Shanmugarajah - 1986. Lecture at the Sir Lanka Advance Science Association on Irrigation Projects in Miocene belt of Sir Lanka
- V K. Shanmugarajah - 1976. Feasibility report on the improvements of Jaffna Lagoon scheme
- VI S. Thirugnanasampanthan - 1970. The Jaffna peninsula and Elephant Pass lagoon scheme
- VII F.R.G. Webb - 1942. Report on Jaffna Lagoon scheme
- VIII S. Arumugam 1954. A River for Jaffna
- IX S. Sivalingam - 1990: Prawn Culture - Manuscript Report



# SUMMARY

## INTRODUCTION

The Jaffna cultivator is extremely industrious and hard working and takes full advantage of every thing that nature, rather sparsely, provides in his district. Jaffna Peninsula is an area where nature is not over generous and where assiduous zeal and drudgery are the necessary concomitants of a bare living. Whereas in other parts of Sir Lanka, having similar rainfall, similarly distributed the paddy grower requires it to be supplemented by stored water to raise a successful rainy season crop, the Jaffna man some how manages with rainfall alone, there being no facilities for creating reservoirs in such a flat country. Ground water is the only source for supplementary irrigation and its potential is limited, and overdrawing results in saline intrusion.

One feels that such industry as is here exhibited warrants every consideration and deserves every assistance and encouragement it is possible to provide. If any means of reducing the hazard attached to the present cultivation or of increasing the productivity of the soil or rendering the now uncultivable lands fertile can be devised and provided, there is every reason to justify full investigations and exploitation.

The purpose of this exercise is to analyse the requirements, potential and problems and provide some guidelines to achieve the goal.

## UNDERGROUND STORAGE

The recharge to the ground water in the peninsula is almost entirely from rainfall percolation. Ground water is the prime source for agricultural development in the peninsula. The subject came to prominence in the early sixties due to the incidence of saline infiltration in wells that have never been saline before. Dr. Arnon Arad, Hydrogeological Expert from Israel advised a systematic survey to ascertain the quantity and quality of the ground water resources in the peninsula, for use in Agricultural development.

Accordingly surveys and investigations were carried out from 1966 and substantial data and information are available, but more investigations, study etc. are required to have a through knowledge of what is happening. Number of constraints have been impeding the work, study etc. i.e.: political, financial etc. The study already carried out revealed that with the increase in agricultural production and domestic use, the excessive drawing of water has resulted in the saline intrusion.

A preliminary report by S. Arumugam in 1968 deals on the ground water potential of the entire peninsula from the data collected from selected 411 shallow wells.

## INVESTIGATIONS CARRIED OUT IN THE NORTH-WEST ZONE OF THE PENINSULA

Intensive studies were done by the Irrigation Dept: since 1973 in the North-west Zone of the peninsula covering an area of 55 square miles. Substantial and relevant data and information in water table fluctuations and salinity intrusion are available from the studies. The primary purpose was to establish as accurately as possible the state of balance between ground water replenishment and abstraction practices in this area to provide basis for future management. Some idea of the geological and hydraulic character of the aquifer of the zone has been identified. The seepage flow along the North coast was also identified in the study.

Permanent drilling of deep bore holes on a grid system was recommended for monitoring of:

- Ground water resources.
- Seasonal and long term movement of saline water found along the coast.
- Fluctuations of the interface and to assess the actual storage.

The study in this region revealed that about 30% of the wells are becoming brackish.

## **SUPPLY SOURCE**

The supply of adequate fresh water has been a perennial problem of the people of the peninsula. Though considerable research has been done on the subject the problem was largely neglected by successive governments, and is now considered as one of the factors leading to lack of development of the Tamil speaking areas.

Studies have already shown that due to over-exploitation of the aquifer in the Jaffna peninsula, most wells in the region have become brackish, and the importance of a supply source to replenish the wells to meet the increased demand is considered to be of paramount importance.

The proposal to convert the two internal salt water lagoons Vadamarachchi, and Upparu, and the external lagoon (Elephant Pass) to fresh water lakes was expected to improve the water resources of the peninsula, both in recharging the underground storage with additional surface storage and desalinating the lands fringing the lagoons and making suitable for cultivation.

Annually about 91,000 Acre feet of fresh water from an area of 363 square miles of the mainland and 30 square miles of the Elephant Pass Lagoon bed, flow as waste to the sea through the Eastern opening of this lagoon while the people of the peninsula are starving for their domestic use and agricultural purposes.

Elephant Pass lagoon will be the primary reservoir. A bund cum spill across the east end at Chundikulam would seal the entry of sea water into this lagoon, thus transforming it into a fresh water primary reservoir. From this reservoir the water would be led by a link canal, 2 miles long to Vadamarachchi lagoon, which then becomes the secondary reservoir. Vandamarachchi lagoon feeds Upparu lagoon with an outlet to the sea at Thondamannaru. Upparu lagoon has an outlet to the sea at Ariyalai.

## **HISTORICAL**

The efforts to provide the peninsula with adequate supplies of fresh water have had an interesting and chequered history. The idea originated as far back as 1879 when the then Government Agent of Northern Provice, Twyneham reported on the feasibility of such a project. In 1916 Horseburg the Government Agent of the day considered implementing part of the project on an experimental basis. In 1920 the implementation of this project commenced with a sum of Rs. 5,000 and the scheme is reported to have functioned efficiently until 1923.

After the success of the 4 year experimental operation and with the public acceptance of the experimental project, the late Balasingham proposed the implementation of the full scheme.



In 1930 F.R.G. Webb together with Balasingham issued "The Balasingham Webb Report", which suggested that barrages to be built to prevent salt water intrusion into the inland lagoons to convert them into fresh water lakes, reclaim uncultivable land, and increase the yield of the lands already cultivated.

Balasingham's idea of diverting Mahaweli water to Jaffna has not materialised. As per prevailing situation in the country it is a blessing in disguise.

## **WORKS UNDER TAKEN BY THE IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT**

### **WEBB PROPOSALS**

In 1942 Webb, Divisional Irrigation Engineer studied and sifted all the available data, records, and endeavoured to extract, adapt and utilise all the useful matter. He prepared the report "Jaffna peninsula lagoon Scheme", which is an important guideline of the scheme. Webb's analysis and reports conclude a number of important findings in support of the following.

If sea is kept out of the lagoons and the past accumulation of salt washed out effectively there is every chance of improving:

- (i) The quality of the soil and water, and
- (ii) Agricultural prospects of the reclaimed land using lagoon water for irrigation.

Regarding the reclamation of the land it is suggested that the area to be deep ploughed and a system of internal drainage laid down to accelerate the leaching process. In addition to this, a saline resisting leguminous crop to be planted successively, to be ploughed in, to improve the texture of the soil. This will as anticipated by the chemist, reduce the period required to sweeten the soil, and by the time the cultivation has spread to the extreme marginal lands much of the alkaline or salt impregnated area may have improved sufficiently to enable economic crops to be cultivated.

In 1945 Webb brought up his proposals and designs for the two barrages across Thondamannaru and Upparu. The sites were selected after considerable investigations including sub soil.

After further investigations some amendments were made to Webb's original proposals. In 1947 final plans for Thondamannaru barrage was drawn and estimates were sanctioned.

Construction of the Thondamannaru barrage commenced in 1947. A separation bund between the Vadamarachchi lagoon and Upparu lagoon was also constructed along a saddle between the two lagoons. Subsequently Upparu lagoon was incorporated into the scheme by the construction of a semi-circular spill at its outlet at Ariyalai. Thondamannaru barrage was completed in 1953 and Ariyalai barrage in 1955.

The leaching action of the lagoons will be slow process on account of the limited catchment area draining into the internal lagoons.

### **ARUMUGAM'S PLAN**

S. Arumugam proposed that Elephant Pass Lagoon be the Primary Reservoir which will be fed from catchment area of 363 sq.miles. A bund cum spill across the east end at Chundikulam and another bund where the railway and motor bridges are at Elephant Pass would seal the entry of sea water into this lagoon, thus transforming it into a fresh water

lake. Water from this reservoir would be led by a link canal to Vadamarachchi lagoon. This will serve to accelerate the leaching out process and augment the internal lagoons.

Construction of the Elephant Pass Lagoon scheme commenced in 1962. When completed it received two major set backs. At the Western end sea water was seeping in considerable quantity through the P.W.D. road into the lagoon. Preventive measures taken subsequently was successful. The second set back was the damage to the eastern closure bund. Due probably to the settlement of the sub soil below the bund, the bund had settled and has been overtopped and breached during one of the floods.

### **LATEST PROPOSALS BY IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT IN 1976**

After a long lapse of time the project was revived in 1976 by the Irrigation Dept. by a team of Engineers headed by the author. Fresh investigations were carried out resulting in a feasibility report, proposals, designs, cost estimates, economic analyses, construction drawings etc. The project is technically sound and economically feasible.

However when the scheme was presented for inclusion in the budget for 1976 the planning committee, perhaps following the path of political expediency (it was the final year of SLFP Government) turned the proposals down.

After the UNP came to power, the scheme was put forward again in 1978, and was accepted by the planning committee and a token vote was passed by the parliament to implement the scheme. However the scheme went into abeyance once again. In both circumstances the net result is same, only the approach is different.

In 1976, investigations and studies were carried out to assess the cause of the failure of the scheme and the conditions of the components of the project ie: Thondamannaru barrage, Ariyalai barrage, separation bund, flood bunds, link canal, Elephant Pass lagoon, eastern closure bund at Chundikulam etc. Sub soil investigations for the Elephant Pass Lagoon-spill cum causeway and closure bund at the eastern end was carried out in detail to design a suitable structure. This was one of the important aspects of the investigation in view of the earlier failure of the closure bund.

### **PROPOSALS**

- a) Elephant Pass Lagoon - provide a closure bund of 4700 feet with a spill cum causeway of 7000 feet along the eastern end of the lagoon. The access road to the spill, a length of 6 miles to be improved.
- b) Link canal 2.5 miles long and already constructed for a length of 2.25 miles is badly damaged and silted, as it runs in a sandy material. The canal section is now re-designed after detail soil investigations and the present proposal is to recondition the existing canal as per new design, excavate the balance length, provide an inlet regulator-cum-bridge and provide a roadway along the link canal.
- c) Vadamarachchi lagoon: all the perished and decayed gates in the Thondamannaru barrage are to be replaced and the missing and damaged parts of the lifting devices to be replaced and repaired.
- d) Upparu lagoon: The planked bays in the Ariyalai barrage to be replaced by screw operated gates, the missing and damaged parts of the lifting devices to be replaced and repaired and the passerells, widened to 2 '0' with hand rails for easy operation of the gates. The culverts to be provided with screw operated gates.

The separation bund between the lagoons to be improved according to new design.

Improvement to bund, isolating the saltern and paddy field from this lagoon.

### Economic Analysis

The scheme is technically sound and economically feasible. In addition to benefit for agricultural development, there will be employment opportunities, growth of secondary industries, and other indirect benefits would result in substantial improvement in the living conditions. In the economic analysis recharging of the underground storage thereby, benefiting the brackish wells and the possibility of suppressing the interface of the fresh and salt water below the existing levels, and increasing the storage potential of the ground water to meet the increased demand for irrigation and domestic use has not been considered.

The scheme is therefore strongly recommended for immediate implementation.

### Anticipated benefits:-

- (a) Gradual conversion of the lagoons to fresh water lakes.
- (b) Under-ground storage will be recharged thereby benefiting the brackish wells. There is a possibility of suppressing the fresh water - salt water interface thereby increasing the storage potential of ground water to meet the increased demand for irrigation and domestic consumption.
- (c) Reclaiming 11,000 acres of non-arable land by having salt leached out. From the results of present cultivation on small extents in partially reclaimed areas, it is evident that red onion, chillies, yams, cashew, grape wines, etc. can be cultivated in this land. However, a land use survey will help to select the suitable crops.
- (d) Supplement the 20,000 acres of presently cultivated land (rain fed) along the fringe during the period of drought and during the tail end of the cultivation by pumping from newly created fresh water storage in the 25,000 acres of the lagoon area. Due to lack of water, cultivation of paddy in this 20,000 acres has been a total failure during most of the years.
- (e) Cultivation in the lake bed will be possible when the lake full of fresh water draws down during dry season. The bed may also be used for cattle grazing during the dry season.

### PONDS IN JAFFNA PENINSULA

Over one thousand ponds scattered all over the peninsula benefit the people of the area substantially and also helps to recharge the underground aquifer. The ponds require improvement and desilting.

### PROPOSED CHANGE TO FRESH WATER LAKE AND DEVELOPMENT OF ITS FISHERIES

Fishing is mainly for sea prawns and confined to areas close to the barrages. There is a fear that the change to fresh water lake would affect the livelihood of the present fishing community. In order to understand the change, the life history of the sea prawns is given in the text.

Sea prawns and fresh water prawns are expensive commodities, hence during the last two decades tremendous technological advances have been made to culture these organisms in man made structures. It is now common practice to grow several generations of both varieties within man made structures, without having to depend on natural supplies of prawn larvae. Hatchery can be operated economically to supply all the required young larvae of the prawns to stock the Thondamannaru lagoon and get a prawn harvest from an area which would be several times more that what was obtained from the lagoon recently or at any time before.

Below a certain salinity level the sea prawn cannot survive. At this stage the fresh water prawn can be introduced which can tolerate lower salinity levels. After the lagoon is a complete fresh water lake, the fresh water prawns can continue to be cultured in the lake.

Generally it can assured that with proper management not only the total catches would be higher, but at the same time employment opportunities would also be proportionately greater.

## CONCLUSION

It is very essential that the entire Jaffna Lagoon Scheme, North, South and Elephant Pass should be controlled by one body for efficient management, maintenance, operation, investigation, tests, studies etc. Benefits from this scheme cannot be derived, no sooner the construction works is over. Benefits depend entirely on efficient operation and manipulation of the gates, maintenance, good under-standing and proper co-ordination of personnel manning Elephant Pass and internal lagoons, processing of test results, initiating action for necessary investigations on results of tests, etc. Efficient control by one body with definite instructions regarding the manipulation of gates of the barrages, regulators in the Link Canal and flood bunds with regards to tide levels, heavy rains and water levels in the Lagoon is a must if any benefits are to be derived. A standing order should be issued and followed by re-same. These are more important especially in the transition period when the salinity of the water in Lagoon is approaching a limit below which the water can be considered suitable for irrigation and domestic use.

In recent years studies, tests, research, etc. have been carried out for scheme of this nature all over the world and great advances have been achieved. The results and know-how have been incorporated in the Improvements to Jaffna Lagoon Scheme, to accelerate the process and derive benefits early.

Urgent and immediate action is a must to tide over the danger of salt water intrusion in wells and to provide the much needed fresh water in the lagoon for cultivation and domestic use.

After 1978, no further action was taken to implement the project. In January 1983 Prof. H.W. Thambiah Q.C., S. Arumugam and K. Shanmugarajah submitted a report to his Excellency J. R. Jayawardana President of Sri Lanka, with an appeal to implement the scheme. This team met his Excellency on 4th May 1983 in his office, to explain the scheme to him. This was followed by a meeting to discuss and explain the scheme to His Excellency the President and Senior members of the cabinet and officials. It was decided at this meeting to implement the scheme, but so far nothing materialised. (Annex.5)

The main constraint to implement the scheme is political, which has to be removed to achieve the goal.

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### GENERAL

The Jaffna cultivator is extremely industrious and hard working and takes full advantage of everything that nature, rather sparsely, provides his district. He has been brought up in an area where nature is not over-generous and where assiduous zeal and drudgery are the necessary concomitants of a bare living. Whereas in other part of Sri Lanka, having similar rainfall, similarly distributed, the paddy grower requires it to be supplemented by stored water to enable to raise a successful rainy season crop, the Jaffna man somehow manages with rainfall alone, there being no facilities for creating reservoirs in such a flat country. During the dry weather he continues his husbandry by growing numerous kinds of crops, having to lift the necessary water. In the earlier days this was done either by his own manual effort or with the aid of animals. Now water pumps are used for this purpose.

Paddy fields are ploughed two to three months before the commencement of the cultivation. All kinds of vegetable matter are being collected and buried into the soil, not even dead palmyra fronds and worn out cadjans being despised, cattle are carefully herded in covered "Kraals" during the nights so that their dung can be easily gathered and spread over the fields and there is not a vestige of growing weeds to be seen.

As one approaches the lagoon area, low scrub and sparse pasture cover the land. Along the fringe of the lagoon the land is completely bare and the soil is salt impregnated. Further away from the lagoon in the residential area subsidiary food crops such as chillies, onions, potatoes, tobacco, vegetables etc. are cultivated in the well drained soil with water laboriously lifted from the underground source.

One feels that such industry as is here exhibited warrants every consideration and deserves every assistance and encouragement possible to provide. If any means of reducing the hazard attached to the present cultivation or of increasing the productivity of the soil or of rendering the now uncultivable lands fertile, can be devised and provided, there is every reason to justify full investigation and exploitation.

#### PRE-HISTORICAL

It would appear that lagoons in the Jaffna peninsula owe their formations, to subsidence following subterranean dissolution in the calcareous deposits of which the peninsula is composed. The whole mass of this land is organic in origin, being made up of almost entirely of the fossilized remains of sea creatures which lived and died in, and sank to the bottom of a shallow sea. According to generally accepted geological theory, this process having gone on for millions of years, was followed by a similar period during which the sea bottom arose above the water level and became dry land.

Then came another epoch when rain fell on the land and soaked through the interstices, carrying with it acids, which gradually eroded away less resistible parts, leaving hollow **undergrounds**. As this continued underground passages formed, along which there would **be regular flows** of acidulated water which would widen and deepen the corridors, eventually creating vast subterranean caverns. Finally after further millions of years the unsupported ceiling of these caverns collapsed and fell in, leaving on the surface these large hollows with **their bottom** below sea level. Incidentally, this is the most likely explanation of the mystery of the celebrated Nilavarai well at Puttur, some nine miles North East of Jaffna Town. Although 5 miles from the sea, the water rises and falls with the daily tide, is reputed to be

inexhaustible and is nearly 150 feet deep, the top 15 feet being fresh and the rest salt, seemingly indicating that there exists an underground passage joining the well with the sea.

## **GEOLOGY**

Jaffna peninsula is mainly underlain by miocene limestone. Limestones are exposed at the North Central Part, extending in a NNW to SSE direction from Urumpirai to Palaly. Bordering this on the Western side are patches of red earth formations. Encompassing these two formations are found the brown sand formation which occupy a larger area on the western side than the eastern side. Enclosing these along the western coast and in the lagoon areas are found lagoonal deposits. The area east of the lagoon are occupied mainly by brown sandy loams in the south and sand dunes in the north along the eastern coast of the peninsula, stretching from Thumpalai to Nagarkovil and beyond. Recent coralline reefs are found along the northern coast of the peninsula.

The Jaffna limestone belongs to the lower miocene period. It is almost flat bedded and has a vertical thickness of at least several hundred feet. From a drill hole put down at Palai, it was found to be 270 feet thick there, and was underlain by a thick sandstone formation above the precambrian basement.

The Jaffna limestone is a creamy coloured hard compact, indistinctly bedded, partly crystalline rock. It is massive in parts but some layers are richly fossiliferous and weather into a honey combed mass. In places it is well jointed and has a marked rectangular pattern of closely spaced joints running NW - SE and NE - SW. The easily dissoluble limestone also gives rise to a number of underground solution caverns.

From the Gondwana period till the miocene period, Sri Lanka and India were together and remained above the sea. About 20 million years ago, an arm of the Tethys sea encroached on the peneplaned land mass between India and Sri Lanka and for the first time converting Sri Lanka into an Island submerging the entire area of the Jaffna peninsula under sea. In the relatively shallow seas grew extensive coral reefs rich in fauna and several hundred feet of limestones, calcareous clays and sands were accumulated on the sea floor. The tertiary period throughout the earth was marked by great mountain building movements. Sri Lanka was however far from these intense movements and the miocene rocks were hardly affected by them. There was only a slight warping of the surfaces and a continuous uplifting of the sea floor whereby the limestone beds were brought above the sea to form the peninsula. During the quaternary period, the peninsula had minor upliftment and the subsidence which gave rise to the residual, lacustrine and lagoonal deposits.


## **RAINFALL AND HYDROLOGY**

Rainfall records are available from fourteen rainfall stations in the peninsula, recording over 20 to 120 years. Jaffna Town itself has rainfall records commencing from as far back as 1871. The annual average as appearing in the report of the Colombo Observatory is 52 inches. North East monsoon rainfall in the Peninsula, 45 inches forms 87% of the total annual rainfall.

While the seasonal rainfall exhibits a definite rhythmic pattern, there is however considerable variation in it from year to year. This variability of rainfall has always been a major hazard for agricultural development. At the end of August, water table is general at their lowest and the salinity of water at it highest.

FIG. III

# JAFFNA PENINSULA. RECHARGE AND DRAWOFF FROM THE AQUIFER.



Run off - due to the relatively flat topographical nature of the area and its geological structure, the surface drainage of the peninsula under normal rainfall is meagre. Heavy run-off and flooding however takes place after heavy and abnormal rains, through the drainage courses.

### RECHARGE FROM RAINFALL

The recharge to the ground water in the peninsula is almost entirely from rainfall percolation. 411 wells were studied during the period 1965 to 1967 covering the peninsula - annex 1. Table I gives the location of the observation wells. Data pertaining to some selected wells are given in Tables II, III and IV, of annex 1.

- Table II - Water in the wells in feet.
- Table III - Elevation of water surface in the wells, - M S L Datum.
- Table IV - Salinity from samples of water found at the bottom of the wells (chloride ions in parts per million)

The behaviour of ground water table, during the years 1965, 1966 to December 1967 enables us to compute the increase in ground storage, above sea level, as a result of rainfall recharge each year. These contours enable the computation of the volume of water stored in the aquifer, by integrating the incremental layers of the saturated aquifer each month and assuming a storage coefficient (effective porosity) of 0.15. The porosity of Jaffna limestone has been found to vary between 4.5% to 27% with a mean value of 15%. We thus get the following.

**TABLE V - RAINFALL AND RECHARGE**

PERIOD	RAINFALL (INCHES)	RECHARGE IN ACRE. FEET
Sept. 64 - Jan. 65	22	50,000 (Estimated)
Sept. 65 - Jan. 66	40	90,000 " "
Sept. 66 - Jan. 67	36	73,000 " "
Sept. 67 - Jan. 68	62	177,000 " "

### TOPOGRAPHY AND DRAINAGE

The Jaffna peninsula with a land mass of 400 sq.miles has two internal lagoons - Vadamarachchi (North & West lagoons) and Upparu (South) lagoon. The Islands of Mandaitivu, Karainagar, Kayts, Eluvaitivu, Analaitivu, Punkudutivu, Nainativu and Delft lie to the West of the peninsula. The peninsula is separated from the mainland in the south by Elephant Pass lagoon covering an area of 30 sq.miles.

The two drainage outlets are controlled and regulated at Thondamannaru in the north and Ariyalai in the south, for prevention of sea water ingress. Apart from Thondamannaru and Upparu which drain to the north and south respectively, a small stream called Valukai Aru from the centre commencing at an elevation of 35 ft MSL runs for about 8 miles, through Alaveddi, Uduvil and Manipay conveying drainage from south western areas.

Apart from these natural drainage courses, a few excavated short length canals eg: one from Nanthavil flowing through Jaffna Town, another from Palali through Myliddy etc. are serving as drainage outlets.



## GROUND WATER EXPLOITATION AND UTILIZATION

Ground water is the prime source for agricultural development in the Jaffna peninsula. The subject came to prominence in early sixties due to the incidents of saline infiltration in wells that have never been saline before. Dr. Amon Arad, Hydrological expert from Israel advised a systematic survey to be carried out. The object was to ascertain the quantity and quality of the ground water resources in the peninsula, for use in Agricultural Development.

The survey was expected to reveal indications of the following:-

- Quantity of rain water that percolates annually into the ground water source of the peninsula.
- Quantity of ground water seeping to sea.
- Quantity of ground water available for agriculture.
- The extent of salinity that tends to infiltrate into the aquifer.
- The direction, duration and source of saline infiltration.

A census of all domestic and agricultural wells in the peninsula was made in 1966/67 with the assistance of the census and statistic section of the Jaffna Katcheri and there were in all over 84,000 wells in the area. Of these about 66,000 are domestic wells where water is drawn for domestic purposes only and the balance are agricultural wells. At present the number of wells may be over 100,000. In 1968 the total quantity of water drawn for agriculture was computed by the Agricultural department to be 28,000 acre feet per year mostly from 18,000 agricultural wells. The annual draw off from each well was about 1.5 acre feet. The present demand has increased considerably with the increase in area that is being cultivated.

## SALINE INTRUSION

Every sample of the water obtained from each of the 411 observation wells were chemically analysed to determine ions etc. in it. On the basis of the results obtained from such analysis, isochlor maps have been prepared. These maps show the distribution of chlorides, in parts per million, as found in the well waters of the peninsula at the end of the month of August during each of the years 1965, 1966 and 1967.

A combination of the three maps enables us to delineate the areas or zones where salinity prevailed during the period 1965 - 1967.

This is shown in the salinity map fig. IV showing:-


- Areas free from salinity (less than 500 ppm)
- Areas moderately free from salinity (500 to 1000 ppm)
- Areas moderately free from salinity but liable to salinity with slight increase in draw off (1000 to 1500 ppm)
- Areas liable to salinity in the dry seasons (1500 to 2000 ppm)
- Saline areas (above 2000 ppm)
- Direction of salinity trend

Wherever possible, the directional trend along which salinity is likely to percolate inland, is indicated by arrows; the distribution of salinity and its trend appear to be controlled by recharge from rainfall, withdrawal from limestone aquifer.

FIG IV


# JAFFNA PENINSULA

DISTRIBUTION OF SALINITY  
IN THE MONTH OF AUGUST  
OF THE YEARS 1965, 1966, 1967



### INDEX

- ▨ AREAS FREE FROM SALINITY
- ▨ AREAS MODERATELY FREE FROM SALINITY
- ▨ AREAS MODERATELY FREE FROM SALINITY BUT LIABLE TO SALINITY WITH SLIGHT INCREASE IN DRAW OFF
- ▨ AREAS LIABLE TO SALINITY IN DRY SEASON
- ▨ SALINE AREAS.
- ↑ DIRECTION OF SALINITY TREND



## **VERTICAL INTRUSION OF SALINITY**

The vertical distribution of salinity has been under observation from October 1964 in the bore holes at Kondavil and Kankesanthurai. These show that the general pattern of change is indicated by the improvement in the quality of the water at all levels, during and after each wet season and by deterioration of quality of water during the dry period.

## CHAPTER 2

### INVESTIGATIONS CARRIED OUT IN THE NORTH - WEST ZONE OF THE PENINSULA

#### **GENERAL**

Investigations were carried out by the Irrigation Department since 1973 in a particular zone of the peninsula for detailed study and scrutinization. The analysis of the data obtained from the investigations re-examines the state of ground water resources in the particular zone. A preliminary report by S. Arumugam in 1968 deals on the ground water potentials of the entire peninsula from the data collected from selected 411 shallow wells.

A general evaluation of the monthly ground water storage above the mean sea level and the extent of certain coastal areas susceptible to sea water intrusion were determined from the studies. In 1973 Irrigation Department carried out a study of the water table fluctuation and salinity intrusion in a particular zone (North - Western Region), using available shallow wells and a few deep wells. This zone is characterised with numerous fresh water springs and saline water intrusion fronts. Report from investigations carried out from 1961 to 1972 revealed that salinity areas, areas moderately free from salinity but liable to salinity with slight increase in draw off and areas liable to salinity in dry season are concentrated in this zone.

This region is approximately defined by boundary through Moolai, Chankanai, Sandilipay, Chunnakam, Navakkiri, Thondamannaru and the North coast and covers an extent of 55 sq.miles. Data collected from 725 wells on a grid system of 16 wells per square mile.

The primary purpose was to establish as accurately as possible the state of balance between ground water replenishment and abstraction practices in this area to provide basis for future management, in addition to explore the possibilities to arrest or retard the depletion of fresh water from aquifer to the sea.


The constraints encountered for the investigations were:

- Few bore holes drilled for the conductivity logging and depths were non-effective, except three or four holes, as the balance had collapsed or had been tampered with by the public. This curtailed the study of the behaviour of the interface in the aquifer, to determine precisely its location and the movement through a time sequence under hydrologic conditions.
- Abstraction figures were obtained from the owners of private wells through field surveys of the entire area. Data obtained cannot be considered as reasonably accurate and satisfactory.

It is important that these discrepancies have to be rectified.

#### **GEOLOGICAL AND HYDRAULIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE AQUIFER STRATIGRAPHY AND STRUCTURE**

The land mass is entirely underlain by the sedimentary limestone formation. This intensive area comprises a varying sequence of highly decomposed and highly compact white limestone with a maximum vertical depth of 400 feet, distinctly bedded and well jointed, often extensively karstic in the region of 2 to 4 feet above mean sea level. While there are some vertical variations in the physical property of the limestone (as observed from the four deep bore holes logs), both weathering and presence of secondary structures may have induced modifications.



The extensive karst topography of the aquifer possess very high porosity than its stratigraphic equivalents in the Manner and Vanathavillu formations. Both flow and storage of ground water in the saturated zone must be a factor of physical discontinuities of the limestone mass, with fracture and karst enlarged locally by solution. Data collected from topography, limestone structure in the shallow wells in the area, core samples of a few deep bore holes and the monthly water table maps, a general geological structure of the limestone in the intensive area had been evaluated, subject to confirmation with core sample drilling. There appears to be an evident disparity in the limestone structure in the intensive area. The east of the area appears to be of fine to course grained white limestone, highly compact, with high permeability, high specific yield with may fissures and karst, usually 2 to 3 feet above mean sea level. West of the area appears to be composed of weathered and highly weathered limestone when compared to the limestone of the east. Fig V shows the compaction of the limestone strata.

In Section F of the limestone there appears to be a horizontal bedding plane at 4 to 6 feet MSL approximately through which some of the ground water storage is lost. There appears to be a definite fracture along Maviddapuram - Keerimalai Road (45 degrees North West direction) termination at Keerimalai and possibly with no lateral fractures in the direction of north. The escarpment of the North coast from Keerimalai to Sadaiammah Madam shows clear bedding planes and karst permitting spring flow. Detailed investigations are necessary to establish these facts with core drilling.

The fracture pattern and karst in the east section of the limestone appears to be in the direction of South East to North West and in the Western area appears to be from South West to North East (Section B) and East to West in Section A.

The porosity of the Jaffna limestone was found to vary from 4.5% to 27%. The transmissivity value derived from the few tests vary from 40,000 to 90,000 g.p.d/ft (C.H.L. Sirimonne). These values indicate a storage coefficient of 15% or 0.15.

### **HYDRAULIC CHARACTER, WATER TABLE AQUIFER**

Water table fluctuations were observed monthly on the 1st and 15th of each month from the selected 725 shallow dug wells of this intensive area commencing from August 1973 to 1976.

The occurrence of only a few scattered lenses of fresh water above MSL in the whole intensive area during drought (Fig VI) gives a clear indication of the hydraulic nature of the aquifer, its compaction and boundary parameters. The saturation and general recharge of the aquifer are, during the period of October to January (NE monsoon) by way of precipitation, with a slight recharge during the month of April, when the area experiences a very low rainfall.

The fresh ground water is derived from precipitation, which infiltrates into the water table. Because of its low density, this fresh water will float upon the underlain saline ground water, forming a lense shaped body, (Fig VII) through the radial movement of fresh water towards the coast. The thickness of the fresh water lens at any location is a function of recharge, the distance from the coast and permeability of the aquifer. It is also possible that poorly permeable beach or lagoon deposits may locally act as a cap rock and increase the thickness and the areal extent of the lens. The variation in aquifer permeabilities are probably more important, than other parameters in controlling the lens thickness.




FIG VII








FIG. VIII



COMPUTED DATA

PERIOD	STORAGE OF FRESH WATER ABOVE ZERO M.S.L. IN AC.FT.
AUGUST 1973	2,485
JANUARY 1974	15,840
FEBRUARY 1974	5,970
AUGUST 1974	1,222
JANUARY 1975	5,100
APRIL 1975	1,492
MAY 1975	1,290
AUGUST 1975	920
JANUARY 1976	12,036
FEBRUARY 1976	7,000
APRIL 1976	2,465
MAY 1976	2,185
AUGUST 1976	920
NOVEMBER 1976	7,450

IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT  
GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION UNIT  
JAFFNA

GROUND WATER STORAGE CURVE  
ABOVE ZERO M.S.L.

PREPARED BY T. SUBRAMANIAN I.A.  
DRAWN BY K. MADHURASATHAN D.V.  
SUBMITTED BY M. SATHANALINGAM I.E.  
APPROVED BY:

The east and west of the aquifer appears to have different permeability co-efficients. The east of the area gets saturated up to 2 to 3 feet MSL, whereas the west of the aquifer gets saturated up to 6 to 8 MSL. There is an evident disparity in the saturation zone of the aquifer in the intensive area.

Under natural conditions, the limestone aquifer appears to have been discharging in numerous sizeable springs all along the northern coast. It is most likely that there could be some off shore springs at shallow depth of the sea bed, which could contribute to the depletion of the ground water during and immediately after the monsoon. It was observed during the investigation along the north coast during and immediately after the NE monsoonal rains innumerable springs were seen along a certain stretch of the north coast, discharging from one foot below MSL to 4 feet above MSL.

From November to January, springs at a level of 4 feet above MSL could be seen to discharge fresh water between a stretch of one and half mile distance from Keerimalai to Kankesanthurai. However these springs disappear by mid January except the spring at Keerimalai, which is at an elevation of 1 or 2 feet below MSL. Between KKS and Myliddy, fresh water could be seen bubbling up from the sea bed at an elevation of one foot below MSL even during dry months. Only places where a perennial springs could be observed are at Keerimalai and Myliddy. The rest disappear with monsoon.

### **THE REGIONAL HYDROLOGICAL SYSTEM, ASSESSMENT OF GROUND WATER RECHARGE**

The assessment of the rate of ground water recharge is of critical importance in an area of heavy development of ground water resources and there are numerous problems in assessing it. Ground water recharge in the peninsula is from the infiltrating rain water. The average annual rainfall is about 50 inches, with minor seasonal differences.

The water table oscillations of the limestone aquifer, in relation to the rainfall and its depletion during the dry season has been analysed from the ground water table contour maps of this particular zone. The behaviour of the ground water table during the years 1973, 1974, 1975 and 1976 enables the computation of the ground water storage above MSL and to study the variation of storage in relation to regional rainfall during each year of investigation. A long term average recharge of the entire peninsula in relation to rainfall had been evaluated by S. Arumugam (1968) and M.W.P. Wijesinghe (1972). The storage figures arrived then was for the volume of water above MSL. But the fact remains that all ground water storage above MSL is not fresh water (below 1000 PPM is considered fresh water) and all ground water below MSL is not salt water. The computation (Fig VIII) for this 55 sq.miles of the aquifer had been evaluated for fresh water above MSL only. All saline water above MSL had been omitted from the storage. The storage co-efficient was assumed as 15%. Maximum storage is during January and minimum during August/September.

### **GROUND WATER ABSTRACTION, AVAILABILITY AND RELIABILITY OF DATA**

In satisfactory assessment of the state of development of ground water resources, it is essential to have accurate data on ground water abstraction. For the specific purpose of an assessment of any possible overdraft and of the variation in extent of saline intrusion, an accurate picture of the changes in the magnitude and distribution of actual abstraction is required.

A comprehensive survey of all domestic and agricultural wells, acreage cultivated, rate of average recuperation of wells, types of crops cultivated, total number of saline wells and fresh water wells were carried out in the intensive area to access the actual quantities of abstraction done during a cycle of 12 months. Total quantity of fresh water abstracted in this area is about 46,000 Ac. ft; during the period of 9 months. This appears to be an overdraft when compared to the storage above MSL. The overdraft is definitely from the storage below MSL. This abstraction figure were obtained in 1976.

**TABLE VI**

**Data obtained from intensive study area - N.W.Zone**  
**The survey revealed the following:-**

Intensive study area	35,000 Acres.
Agricultural land cultivated	9,000 Acres.
Saline wells	6,143 Nos.
Fresh water wells	13,862 Nos.
Total wells	20,005 Nos.
Intensity of wells per square mile	333 Nos.
Percentage of saline wells	30
Total annual domestic and Agricultural abstraction	46,000 Ac.ft.
Average abstraction per well	2.30 Ac.ft

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

An urgent requirement for the improved management of the ground water resources of the peninsula is the permanent drilling of deep bore holes on a grid system, principally for the monitoring of :-

- the ground water resources.
- the seasonal and long term movement of the saline water front along the coasts.
- the fluctuations of the interface and to assess the actual storage.

The bore holes for monitoring the ground water conditions are necessary as long as ground water is being used in the peninsula. Proper study of ground water conditions could only be well established by the way of deep bore holes investigations, than with the aid of shallow wells. The water levels in the shallow wells cannot be the actual water table as most of the wells are under heavy pumping and at times the possibility of recording the water levels during the recuperation period cannot be ruled out. Some shallow wells have been deep drilled within and they act as freak wells when compared to many other shallow wells.

**POSSIBLE SOLUTION TO PREVENT WATER FROM THE AQUIFER ESCAPING TO THE SEA**

Observations were carried out immediately before and after the monsoon along the north coast through which much of the water from the aquifer is escaping to the sea. It was observed that only a particular stretch of the coast was discharging the water from the aquifer for a particular period immediately after the monsoonal rains. Investigations to be carried out to explore the possibilities of sealing the karst region with a cut off wall to a particular depth and height to keep the water in the aquifer from causing undue hydraulic gradients and water logging of low areas. Some relevant data may be obtained from the water table map, for the design of cut-off walls. Cut-off wall construction may be taken up as a research pilot project on a particular stretch of the North cost. The stretch of about one and a half miles from Keerimalai to Sadaiammah madam, where heavy spring discharge is taking place, is ideally suited for this purpose.

## CHAPTER 3

### AUGMENTATION OF THE PENINSULA WATER RESOURCES FROM EXTERNAL SOURCES (MAINLAND) AND INTERNAL SOURCES

#### GENERAL

The main feeder stream to the Elephant Pass lagoon is Kanagarayan Aru and it has its origin in Vavuniya. The other streams draining into this lagoon are Nethali Aru, Piramanthal Aru and Theravil Aru. The catchment area is 363 sq.miles excluding the lagoon bed which is 30 sq.miles. The net yield is 91,000 Acre feet.

Elephant Pass lagoon will be the primary reservoir. A bund cum spill across the east end at Chundikulam would seal the entry of sea water into the Elephant Pass lagoon, thus transforming it into a fresh water primary reservoir. From this reservoir the water would be led by a canal called the "Mulliyar canal" link canal to the Vadamarachchi lagoon which then becomes the secondary reservoir. Vadamarachchi lagoon feeds Upparu lagoon.

The inland salt lagoons within the peninsula comprising the Northern section called Vadamarachchi lagoon, covering an area of about 30 sq.miles with outlet to the sea at Thondamannaru and Southern section called Upparu lagoon covering an area of about 10 sq.miles, with an outlet to the sea through the bridge near 195th mile post on the Kandy - Jaffna road at Ariyalai, are referred to as the "Jaffna peninsula lagoon". The portion of the lagoon lying between the peninsula and the main land and to the east of the railway line at Elephant Pass covering an area of about 30 sq.miles is referred to as the "Elephant Pass lagoon". All these three lagoons form the "Jaffna lagoon scheme".

The proposal to convert the salt lagoons to fresh water lakes was expected to improve the water resources of the peninsula, both in recharging the underground storage with additional surface storage and desalinating the lands fringing the lagoons and making suitable for cultivation.

#### HISTORICAL

History does not speak of ancient irrigation works in the Jaffna peninsula, but according to tradition a large tract of land was under cultivation many centuries ago. For some unknown reasons the dams that have kept out the salt water was removed and the sea water allowed to run in forming a vast lagoon, extending from Thondamannaru on the North to Ariyalai on the South and from Vallai bridge on the East to Periyapachchilaippalai on the West.

The supply of adequate fresh water has been a perennial problem of the people of the peninsula. Though considerable investigations, research and proposals have been finalised, the implementation was neglected by successive governments, and is now considered as one of the factors leading to the lack of development of Tamil speaking areas.

Studies have already shown that due to over exploitation of the aquifer in the peninsula most wells in the region have become brackish, and the importance of a supply source to replenish the wells in order to meet increased demand is considered to be a paramount importance.

This project is both feasible and pragmatic, in that it could supply the peninsula with fresh water without tapping the resources of the Mahaveli Ganga.

Technical aspects of the scheme envisages utilising the following resources:-

- (1) The yield from a catchment of 363 sq.miles of the main land and 30 sq.miles of the Elephant Pass lagoon area which drains into the sea via Chundikulam, at the eastern end of the Elephant Pass lagoon and
- (2) The yield from the local catchment of about 200 sq.miles of the two internal lagoons Vadamarachchi and Upparu.

### **TWYNEHAM REPORT**

The efforts to provide the Jaffna peninsula with adequate supplies of fresh water have had an interesting and chequered history. The idea originated as far back as 1879 when the then Government Agent of the Northern Province, Twyneham reported on the feasibility of such a scheme.

The "Twyneham Report" foresaw the possibility of damming the sea water from entering the inland lagoons and reclaiming the fringe lands for cultivations. However in 1884, the peninsula experienced a disastrous flood of such magnitude that it made the G.A. turn away the original proposals as he feared that the whole peninsula may be inundated by the floods once their outlets to the sea were blocked. The scheme was again taken up in 1913, when Fesling, Government Agent, Northern Province took up the Project, but the following year he wrote that he did not require the matter to be investigated further. He did not give any reason for his decision.

### **HORSEBURG REPORT**

In 1916 G.A. of the day Horseburg, considered the implementation of the proposals, but he feared that the salt manufacture in the area, which fills the coffers of the state, would be affected. However he took up an experimental arrangement of isolating the east lagoon from the rest by constructing temporary bunds coupled with insertion of planks in the existing PWD culverts in the roads as a precautionary preliminary measure before commencing permanent works. This recommendation received the consideration of the colonial secretary in London, the same year.

The main suggestions of Horseburg Report were that:

- 1) 8500 Acres of crown land and 3000 Acres of private holdings could be developed.
- 2) The culvert across the Point Pedro - Chavakachcheri road could be blocked by wooden gates and opened to release excess water during the rainy season.

Later in 1920 the Vadamarachchi lagoon scheme began with a sum of Rs. 5000 and the scheme is reported to have functioned efficiently till 1923.

After the success of the 4 year experimental operation, the views of the people of the area were sought by means of a vote on the scheme. The people voted in favour of continuing and expanding scheme.

The support of the people was not surprising as Karaveddi East and Thunnalai, once saline tracts, had been reclaimed for cultivation with the addition of plenty of water for domestic consumption.

Following the wide public acceptance of the experimental project, the late Balasingham proposed the implementation of the full scheme.

## **BALASINGHAM AND WEBB REPORT**

In 1930 the Divisional Irrigation Engineer Northern Province F.R.G. Webb together with Balasingham issued "The Balasingham Webb Report" which suggested that barrages be built to prevent salt water intrusion into the inland lagoons to convert them into fresh water lakes, reclaim uncultivable land, and increase the yields of the lands already cultivated.

Some of the interesting points from their report are:-

- 1) The area of the lagoons
  - a) Vadamarachchi - 30 sq.miles.
  - b) Upparu - 10 sq.miles.
- 2) Uncultivable lands along the fringe of the lagoons are 17.5 sq.miles (11,000 Acres).
- 3) About 20,000 Acres of paddy lands (rain fed) had poor yields.

## **BALASINGHAM'S PROPOSAL**

Diverting Mahaveli to irrigate the dry zone in the North central and Northern Provinces and finally to Jaffna peninsula via Kanagarayan aru is the idea of Balasingham, member of the legislative council. The lagoons in the peninsula to serve as reservoirs for the peninsula.

Mahaveli project as today has taken a different turn with no possibility of the dry zone in the North including Jaffna peninsula being benefited. As per prevailing situation in the country it is a blessing in disguise.

The net flow in Kanagarayan Aru and three other minor rivers is sufficient to supplement the water resources in the peninsula, if diverted to the peninsula via the Elephant Pass lagoon.

Quoting the exhortation of the great Parakrama Bahu that "not a drop of water should be allowed to flow into the ocean without profiting man", K. Balasingham, drew the attention to the utilization of lagoons by converting them into fresh water lakes, in a series of articles into the "Ceylon Daily News", in the Thirties. The conversion of the Elephant Pass lagoon is referred in these articles as being of great potential value.

In 1936 W.A. Guthree, who subsequently retired as Director of Irrigation, mentioned in his inspection notes that the possibility of diverting the Iranamadu Reservoir's spill stream (Kanagarayan Aru via Iranamadu reservoir) Via Elephant Pass lagoon to Vadamarachchi lagoon in the peninsula may considered. This will expedite leaching out salt deposits and will result in bringing a river to the Jaffna peninsula.

## **PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS, RECORDS DATA ETC.**

Proposals for the conversion of all or some parts of the lagoon areas into fresh water lakes have been under consideration for more than one hundred years. During part of this period, investigations and experiments have been carried out. As a result there exists an accumulated mass of documents and figures. To begin with the scheme is unique in many respects. Nothing like it has been attempted in Sri Lanka before. It will not only affect agricultural interests but also domestic conditions, livelihood and comfort and possibly the health of thousands of the local inhabitants.

In 1942 F.R.G. Webb Divisional Irrigation Engineer studied and sifted all the available data, records, and endeavoured to extract, adapt and utilise all the useful matter.

He prepared the report "Jaffna Peninsula lagoon Scheme". Since then it has remained an important guideline of this scheme. He says that it would be temerarious for anyone who aims at producing a full report on the scheme, to disdain any of these considered opinions, they and the information that is available, and the suggestions, hopes and fears put forward, must at least, be set out, analysed and commented on. Subsequent to his report substantial amount of work has been done though more to be done to make it a success.

Webb's report analyses the following aspects:-

- History of the scheme, the various proposals, investigations and trials and to consolidate the many weeks of labour recently spent in collecting, studying, sifting, and collating several thousands of sheets of correspondence, minutes, memoranda, observation, gauge readings etc. into comprehensive epitome of the useful portions, thereby serving future inquiries, a repetition of such works and enabling them to grasp the fundamental features in a couple of hours instead of only after weeks of arduous toil.
- Secondly it describes and analyses many aspects of the problem and various methods of solving it, it explains why he considers his proposed solution the best one, it weighs up the benefits and disadvantages which can be expected to flow from the proposals and endeavours to forecast, as well as it is possible to do so, the effects it will have on the areas affected.
- Thirdly, being in mind the prejudice which exists in some quarters against the scheme, the opposition it is likely to encounter and that its acceptance hinges not so much on Engineering Technicalities as on overcoming the fears so deeply rooted in some minds, the report deals lengthly with this aspect and attempts to meet all the objections which have been or may be raised and to meet in language which can be understood by those primarily concerned.
- Finally, it presents and analyses the hydrographical and other Technical data, it put forward concrete proposals for effecting the suggested transformation and his opinion and recommendations.

About the time Webb's report was written a wide range of observations regarding the salinity of lagoon waters and soils were undertaken in collaboration with the agricultural chemist of the Department of Agriculture. These observation were carried over one complete cycle covering both lagoon areas, via Vadamarachchi and Upparu. These observations were divided into two seasons - September to March and April to August, wet and dry periods respectively.

As a result of these observations the general conclusions aimed at are:

- (a) Highest concentration of salt corresponds generally to the months of low water level - August and September.
- (b) Lowest concentration of salt corresponds generally to the months of high water level - December and January.
- (c) There does not seem to be any seepage flow from the sea to the lagoons. Hence it is quite possible that the salinity in the soils and water in the lagoons are solely due to ingress of the sea water into the lagoons via the mouths.

(d) If the sea is kept out of the lagoons and the past accumulation of the salt washed out effectively there is every chance of improving:-

- (i) The quality of the soil and water, and
- (ii) Agricultural prospects of the reclaimed land using lagoon water for irrigation.

Regarding the reclamation of the land it is suggested the area to be deep ploughed and a system of internal drainage laid down to accelerate the leaching process. In addition to this a saline resisting leguminous crop should be planted successively for ploughing in to improve the texture of the soil. This will as anticipated by the chemist, reduce the period required to sweeten the soil, and by the time the cultivation has spread to extreme marginal lands, much of the alkaline or salt impregnated area may have improved sufficiently to enable economic crops to be cultivated.

### **A SUMMARY OF ACTION TAKEN BY THE IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT FROM 1941 TO 1970 - STUDIES, PROPOSALS, DESIGNS, CONSTRUCTION ETC.**

The scheme was put up as an item for preliminary investigation in the Jaffna District Agricultural Committee by the Divisional Irrigation Engineer, Northern Division in 1941 and was dropped. In the later part of 1941 Webb, Divisional Irrigation Engineer inspected the various areas coming under the scheme and made a study of them. He commenced gauge readings, salinity tests, etc. in the Vadamarachchi lagoon and he brought up his proposals in his report on the scheme which was published in 1945.

#### **WEBB'S PROPOSALS**

The design allows for two barrages across Thondamannaru and Upparu. Each must satisfy three main requirements viz. complete prevention of ingress of sea water, adequate provision for rapid and safe discharge of rainfall and for accruing out salt impregnated water, and the ordinary engineering requirements for stability under all conditions of loading.


#### **SELECTION OF SITE**

Examination of the Upparu from Kopay road to the railway bridge, indicates beyond doubt the most suitable site for the Southern barrage is at Ariyalai bridge. The foundations are the best here and a barrage here would enable the Chemmani salt pans to continue to function with only a slight alteration and that the saline supply would have to be led in entirely by way of channel from the south of the road through the road culvert. After taking soundings up stream and down stream of the Ariyalai bridge it was found that the foundation condition up stream of the bridge was better than the down stream. Therefore the site up stream of the bridge was selected.

For the Northern barrage the Vallai bridge site and the Thondamannaru bridge site were first investigated. The former site had geological formations and would involve deep and costly foundations. Further the spread area of the flood waters is so large that it may not have enough head to open the then proposed flap gates.



JAFFNA PENINSULA LAGOON SCHEME N.P



REFERENCE

44.1/1 CATCHMENT BOUNDARIES SHOWN THUS  
 UNCULTIVATED AREA ALONG FRINGE OF LAGOON SHOWN THUS .17 (18.90 KM<sup>2</sup> OR 4.4 AC  
 CULTIVATED AREA ALONG FRINGE OF LAGOON SHOWN THUS .32 (3.08 KM<sup>2</sup> OR 750.000 AC  
 LAGOON NORTH & EAST LAGOON (ISLANDS OF MALLAI BRIDGE)  
 NORTH & EAST LAGOON (ISLANDS OF MALLAI BRIDGE)  
 SOUTH LAGOON...  
 .18 (20.08 KM<sup>2</sup> OR 48,000 AC  
 .30 (30.08 KM<sup>2</sup> OR 69,000 AC

The most serious objection for this site is the vicinity of a thickly congested residential area. Then the various points in between the bridges were investigated and it was finally determined that the site close to the Temple and across the minor road was the most suitable one.

**CATCHMENT AREA, RAINFALL, TIDAL LEVELS AND RUN OFF**

The catchment area of North lagoon is 115 sq.miles and the catchment area of South lagoon is 85 sq.miles.

The area of North lagoon is 30 sq.miles.

The area of South lagoon is 10 sq.miles.

The uncultivated lands, immediately adjoining the lagoons and shown in dotted patches 17.15 sq.miles or 10,976 acres. The cultivated lands inside the catchment area shown horizontally hatched patches 32.03 sq.miles or 20,500 acres. (Fig IX)

**TABLE VII**  
**Average Rainfall (in inches)**

	<b>Jaffna</b>	<b>Chavak.</b>	<b>Pallai.</b>	<b>Pt.Pedro</b>	<b>Average</b>
September	3.12	3.36	2.01	2.52	2.75
October	9.63	9.93	9.67	11.71	10.23
November	16.32	18.27	16.84	16.99	17.10
December	9.46	10.37	10.31	8.95	9.77
January	4.39	4.18	4.33	4.30	4.30
February	1.18	1.15	0.83	0.96	1.03
<b>Total N.E.</b>	<b>44.1</b>	<b>47.26</b>	<b>43.99</b>	<b>45.43</b>	<b>45.19</b>
March	1.86	1.74	2.30	1.49	1.85
April	1.49	1.80	1.01	0.90	1.30
May	1.66	1.50	1.58	1.27	1.50
June	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.50	0.39
July	0.61	0.42	0.51	0.73	0.57
August	1.08	1.37	1.24	1.75	1.36
<b>Total S.W.</b>	<b>7.07</b>	<b>7.19</b>	<b>6.98</b>	<b>6.64</b>	<b>6.97</b>

**TABLE VIII**  
**Record November Rainfall (in inches)**

	<b>Jaffna</b>	<b>Chavak.</b>	<b>Pallai.</b>	<b>Pt.Pedro</b>	<b>Average</b>
Nov. 1918	34.02	-	19.12	17.62	23.06
Nov. 1920	36.80	-	48.69	47.32	44.30
Nov. 1939	35.35	41.69	26.91	27.24	32.80

**TABLE IX**  
**Tide Levels (in feet)**

	<b>Jaffna</b>	<b>Thondamanaru</b>
Dec. 1941	2.06	2.68
	0.35	0.65
May 1942	1.05	1.25
	0.50	0.90

**TABLE X**

**Yield of Catchments**

	<b>Area</b>	<b>Cross</b>	<b>Nat.</b>
	<b>Sq. Mls.</b>	<b>Yield</b>	<b>Yield</b>
		<b>Ac. Ft.</b>	<b>Ac. Ft.</b>
North East Lagoons	115	73600	276000
South Lagoon	85	54400	204000
Total	200	128000	480000
			120000
<b>Area &amp; Capacity</b>			
M.S.L.	1	2	3
			4.7
<b>North East Lagoons</b>			
Area	19200	26100	34600
Mean Area	22650	30350	53800
Layer Depth	1	1	1.7
Layer Capacity	22650	30350	91460
Total Capacity		22650	53000
Say		22500	53000
			144460
			144500
<b>South Lagoon</b>			
Area	6400	10500	22500
Mean Area	8450	16500	38250
Layer Depth	1	1	1.7
Layer Capacity	8450	16500	65025
Total Capacity		8450	24950
Say		8500	25000
			89975
			90000

**Gross & Net Yield**

Catchment	Gross Ac. ft.	Net from Strange curve Ac. ft.	Net from Weir curve Ac. Ft.
North & East Lagoon	276000	60400	81600
South Lagoon	204000	44600	37600

**Maximum Flood Run-off by Dicken's Formula**

	Catchment sq. miles	C = 650 cusecs	C = 750 cusecs	C = 1000 cusecs
North & East Lagoons	115	22600	26300	35100
South Lagoon	85	18000	21000	28000

### South Lagoon (Upparu)

The collected information of heavy floods, etc., indicated that Ariyalai bridge in conjunction with the railway bridge discharged about 5,500 cusecs when the flood level is 3.75 MSL. and is capable of discharging well over 6,000 cusecs, before the level goes up to 5.0 MSL., at which point it begins to lose control of the run-off and passes on the surfaces to the roads and other outlets.

The rainfall examination showed that quite an exceptionally heavy rainfall period produces a discharge of 5,500 cusecs. This is not a cyclonic rainfall but one which may raise the flood level to 3.75 MSL.

### North and East Lagoons (Vadamarachchi)

The results obtained from the only flood behaviour information available indicated when flood water stands at 3.75 MSL, Thondamannaru bridge is discharging at a rate of about 9,000 cusecs, and is certainly capable of discharging well over 10,000 cusecs before 5.00 MSL. is reached and the surplus is passed on to the high flood outlets from the East lagoon and over road surfaces.

An exceptionally heavy rainfall period, not approaching cyclonic intensity but which is likely to bring up the flood level to about 3.75 MSL. produces a run-off of 7,500 cusecs.

Taking the water level at 2.75 the equivalent openings in the Vallai and Thondamannaru bridges indicated that the maximum barrage openings required as 1,524 sq. feet.

### WEBB'S PROPOSALS FOR THE BARRAGES

#### **Southern barrage**

Length of Barrage	- 325 ft
Crest of Barrage	- 3.0 MSL.
Number of flap gates	- 40
Size of gate openings	- 5 ft. x 4 ft.
Lock gates width	- 15 ft.
Sill of lock gate	- -3.0 ft. MSL.
Geometry of Structure	- semi circular arc
Sill of flap gates	- -4.8 MSL.
Ceiling level of openings	- -0.8 MSL.

#### **Northern barrage**

Length of Barrage	- 400 ft.
Crest of Barrage	- 3.0 MSL.
Number of flap gates	- 50
Size of gate openings	- 5 ft. x 4 ft.
Lock gates width	- 15 ft.
Sill of lock gate	- -3.0 ft. MSL.
Sill of flap gates	- -4.8 MSL.
Ceiling level of openings	- -0.8 MSL.
Geometry of Structure	- straight line.

The foundations of both the barrages were proposed as "raft" type, mounted on continuous timber piles driven down to good rock. He suggested steel piles also to be driven in

between. The dam structure to be either in mass concrete or reinforced concrete. However he finally states the dam to be of mass concrete structure, with a raft foundation and built on two continuous walls of timber sheet piling.

Also, he estimates the total costs then (1943) to be Rs. 65,000/=.

### **AMENDMENTS TO WEBB'S PROPOSALS**

After 1945, further studies were made by the Irrigation Department and amendments were made to Webb's proposals. However, in 1947 final plans for the Northern barrage were drawn up and estimates were sanctioned.

#### **(i) Final Proposal for Northern Barrages. (Vadamarachchi)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Catchment area                             | 115 sq.miles.   |
| 2. Lagoon water spread                        | 30 sq.miles.  |
| 3. Maximum tidal levels                       |   |
| (1) at sea                                    | +2.68 ft. MSL.  |
| (2) at Thondamannaru bridge                   | +2.27 ft. MSL.  |
| 4. Spill way                                  |   |
| (I) Main spans                                | 18 Nos. 20 ft. wide separated by 3ft. 6 ins. wide piers. sill at -3.5ft. MSL. |
| (II) Controls gates                           | Two vertical lift gates each 4 ft. high.                                      |
| (III) Subsidiary spans                        | 16 Nos. 10 ft. wide, with 2 ft. thick piers controlled by planks.             |
| 5. Effective width of the down stream channel | 600 ft.   |

The road way bridge down stream at Vallai is expected to cause afflux of about 0.75 ft.

#### **Maximum inflow discharge in the lagoon**

The Dicken's formula with  $C = 1,000$  gives an inflow of 25,100 cusecs. It may be mentioned as the length of catchment is about 25 miles it modifies this maximum inflow that will last for not more than 5 hours. There are also natural constrictions in the lagoon water way like one at Karaveddi. However, when floods approach +5.00 ft. MSL. overflow to the sea begins at several places along the North - East of the East lagoon and at its Southern extremity into the Elephant Pass Lagoon. These conditions will further modify the spillway capacity requirements. It is, therefore presumed that the actual maximum inflow on which the spillway capacity is to be based is considerably less and may be of the order of 20,000 cusecs.

#### **Spillway capacity of the Thondamannaru Gates**

The main gate controlled block has a total waterway of 360 ft. with sill at - 3.5 ft. MSL. The block on the left flank has a total waterway of 160 ft. with sill at +2.5 ft. MSL. Discharges with up stream water levels varying between +4.0 ft. MSL. and +5.0 ft. MSL. and down stream water levels varying from say + 3.0 ft. MSL. to + 4.0 ft. MSL. were worked out. (similar calculation is illustrated in the case of Ariyalai barrage). Thus for lagoon water levels at +4.0 ft. and 4.5 ft. MSL. and with down stream water levels at +3 ft. MSL. the discharges with all spans open are 13250 cusecs and 16,800 cusecs respectively. If however, only the bottom gates are lifted by 4 ft. together with 16 subsidiary spans full operating, the discharges passing will be 7,500 cusecs and 9,800 cusecs respectively.

## (ii) Final Proposal for Southern lagoon

- |  |                                  |
|--|----------------------------------|
| (1) Catchment area   | 85 sq. miles.                    |
| (2) Lagoon area  | 10 sq. miles.                    |
| (3) Spill way  |                                  |
| i) Length of spill way semi circular arc<br>R - 150 ft. & 2 areas of 50 ft. each | 571 ft.                          |
| ii) Openings in the spillway operated<br>by control gates 5 ft. wide.            | 18 Nos with<br>sill at -1.0 MSL. |
| iii) Openings in the spillway controlled<br>by wooden planks each 5 ft. wide.    | 34 Nos with<br>sill at -1.0 MSL. |

The openings are separated by piers 2 ft. thick with triangular shaped cut waters. The top of the piers and the remaining length of the spillway has top level +4.0 and affective length of 337 ft. Crest is 2 ft. wide.

- |  |                       |
|--|-----------------------|
| (4) Tide level   | +2.06ft. MSL. (max.)  |
| Nov. - Dec. period   | +0.35 ft. MSL. (min.) |
| (5) Effective width of lagoon<br>down stream of the Ariyalai barrage | 700 ft.               |

The spill way capacity of the structure as constructed depends on:

- (1) The water levels down stream due to tidal effects.
- (2) Any modification in these levels because of quantity of outward flow and the effect of S.W. strong winds, that may boost up the down stream level.

### Observed Maximum level in the lagoons.

On the bridge on Kopay - Kaithady road, maximum water level was +5.5 MSL. when 13.69" rainfall occurred in a day of 15th November, 1939. In order to find out the discharging capacity under adverse conditions of the tide, water levels are assumed down stream of the Ariyalai barrage.

- (i) +5.0 ft. (ii) +4.0 ft. (iii) +3.0 ft. MSL.

The table below gives the discharges for up stream water levels of +6.5, +5.5 & +5.0 ft. MSL. when all the 42 gates in the control structure are assumed to be open. In calculating the total discharge, the part of the discharge passing as overflow, over the effective length of 337 ft. was calculated on the basis of

$$Q_1 = C.LD^{3/2}$$

a suitable C value was adopted for overflow with different submergence ratio,

C varying from 2.9 to 3.3

D = up stream depth of flow

L = 337 ft.

For the flow in the gated portion, the following submerged weir formula was used.

$$Q_2 = 3.1L \{ (H - h_a)^{3/2} - h_a^{3/2} \} - 8 c.d (H - h_a)^{1/2}$$

where

H = Difference of up-stream & down-stream water levels

h<sub>a</sub> = head due to velocity of approach (assumed negligible)

L = total length 42 x 5 = 210 ft.

effective length = 205 ft. (5' for end contraction)

c = co-efficient taken 0.6

d = depth of water down-stream over the sill level (-1.0 MSL)  
due to down-stream water level under consideration.

**TABLE XI**

**Spill discharge for various up-stream and down-stream water levels**

U/S Water Level		+6.5	+6.0	+5.5	+5.0
		Q	Q	Q	Q
D/S	+5	12,390	9,640	5,930	-
Water	+4	14,680	11,870	9,120	6,600
Level	+3	16,050	13,410	10,710	7,520

**(iii) Maximum inflow intensity.**

The catchment area of the lagoon is 85 square miles.

Adopting Dicken's formula for maximum run off.

$$Q = CA^{3/4}$$

Max

When C = 750

C = 1,000

C = co-efficient of maximum run off.

A = catchment area in square miles.

Q = 21,000 cusecs.

Q = 28,000 cusecs.

Taking 28,000 cusecs as maximum inflow and imposing the appropriate flood hydrograph on the lagoon, would give us by step method the maximum outflow and the corresponding lagoon water level. A high tidal level in the down-stream channel is expected to prevail for two hours preceding and also succeeding the high water slack in the tidal cycle. It is pertinent to point out however that, for high discharges water level in the channel down-stream of the structure is probably governed more by the out flow volume and the depth of flow required to carry the discharge under the prevailing channel shape and slope, rather than by the tidal level as in the worst case tidal level is only 2.05 ft MSL. Assuming an average width of the channel of 700 ft. and the average slope of say 0.5 ft. per mile, the depth of flow required is 3.5 to 4.0 ft. for discharge of the order of 10,000 cusecs. It is for this reason that the discharging capacity of the structure has been calculated for the down-stream water levels of +3.0, +4.0 and +5.0 ft. MSL. The hypothesis can be checked from recorded highest water levels both up-stream and down stream of the structure, when it happened to coincide with high and low tidal levels.

**Completed Works**

**Thondamannaru and Ariyalai Barrages and Separation Bund**

The construction of the Thondamannaru barrage commenced in 1947 incorporating the final proposals mentioned earlier. The estimated cost of this scheme was Rs. 1,500,000/=. A separation bund between Vadamarachchi lagoon and Upparu lagoon was also constructed

along a saddle between the two lagoons. It was subsequently decided to incorporate the Upparu lagoon into the scheme by the construction of semi circular spill at its outlet at Ariyalai. The estimated cost of this spill and flank bunds, etc. was Rs. 200,000/=. The Thondamannaru barrage was completed in the year 1953 and the Ariyalai barrage was completed in the year 1955.

### Arumugam's plan

#### Elephant Pass lagoon scheme

When the works to convert Jaffna peninsula lagoon into fresh water lake was nearing completion, it was recognised that a plentiful supply of rain would be required over the years to leach out the salt encrusted lagoon bed. The leaching action would be naturally slow on account of the limited catchment area draining into the Jaffna peninsula lagoon. Attention was therefore directed towards converting the Elephant Pass lagoon into a fresh water lake and discharging the excess water into Jaffna peninsula lagoon to expedite the process of leaching.

It may be interesting to note that in November 1920, Walter C. Price, the then Public Works Dept. Provincial Engineer, Northern Province observed the rainfall and the corresponding rise and fall of flood levels in the lagoon from hour to hour. He worked out the catchment capacities, yields and discharges and the capabilities of the road and railway bridges for dealing with these discharges. All these he did when he was marooned in the rest-house by torrential rains and floods.

A new fillip was given to this much talked of Elephant Pass lagoon scheme when S. Arumugam published an article in the "Observer" of October 1954. S. Arumugam the then Divisional Irrigation Engineer Northern Division mentioned that Elephant Pass lagoon with a water spread of 30 sq. miles fed by the inflowing Kanagarayan Aru, Nethali Aru, Piramantal Aru and Theravil Aru be the primary reservoir in the scheme. These streams drain from a catchment area of 363 sq. miles. According to Arumugam's study a bund cum spill across the east at Chundikulam and another bund where the railway and motor bridges are, at Elephant Pass would seal the entry of sea water into Elephant pass lagoon, thus transforming it into a fresh water primary reservoir.

From this reservoir, the water would be led by a channel called the Mulliyan channel to Vadamarachchi lagoon which then becomes the secondary reservoir. This is what he suggested for the agricultural upliftment of the peninsula.

A report was prepared by S.J. Bocks, Irrigation Engineer, Hydrology Division and his proposals were:-

- a) A semi-elliptical 600 ft. spill whose crest is at 4.0 MSL. This was to be built on the western end of the lagoon in order that the discharge will pass through the then existed 120 ft. long PWD. bridge.
- b) Two jetties, each 40 ft. long, connecting the ends of the spill to the north and south embankments, leading to the 120 ft. road bridge; the crest of the jetties were to be at 5.4 MSL.
- c) Two sluices, one at each end of the spills. Each sluice to have 2 gates; each gate to be 4 ft. wide and 6 ft. high. The sill to be at -0.50 MSL.

Further investigations revealed that there is discharge through the sand bar which forms the boundary of the lagoon and the sea at the eastern end.



As Elephant Pass lagoon receives plentiful supply of water from the run-off from the catchment of Kanagarayan Aru, Pulithi Aru, Nethali Aru, and other small streams, proposals were made to seal off the connection to the sea on the east by a bund at Chundikulam and to close the opening of the road and railway bridges on the West at Elephant Pass. It was also decided to incorporate the spillway with the eastern closure bund. The main advantage in this new proposal is that it helped protecting the salt pans west of the railway line from the large volume of flood water, which normally dilute the brine in the salt pans.

### Final Proposals of Elephant lagoon

- |                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| I. (1) Catchment area           | 363 sq.miles.  |
| (2) Length of catchment         | 40 miles.  |
| (3) Length of spillway          | 1,010 ft. consisting of 119 bays of 7' width separated by 18" thick piers. Spill level +3.0 MSL. |
| (4) Top level of piers          | +4.5 MSL.  |
| (5) Water spread area of lagoon | 34 sq.miles at +300 MSL.   |

### II. Maximum Inflow Intensity.

Adopting a coefficient of 1,000 in the Dicken's formula the maximum inflow comes to 92,050 cusecs. With  $C = 750$ ,  $t_i$  comes to 69,750 cusecs. Even allowing for the moderation due to absorption, the maximum discharging capacity that may have to be provided at the spill way its large. This is specially so as the Elephant Pass spill has now been bunded.

### III. Discharging Capacity of the Structure.

The spill channel is wide and shallow and the outfall is affected by sand bar formation which further restricts the channel capacity to carry discharge.

Water level in	Depth of flow	The spillway discharge under free flow conditions	Remark
+ 4.0	1.0	2,160	C.D. assumed
+ 5.0	2.0	6,310	varying
+ 6.0	3.0	11,980	from
+ 7.0	4.0	19,000	2.6 - 2.9

These figures will get modified depending on the submergence due to water level downstream which is expected to reduce the discharging capacity further.

### Completed work of Elephant Pass lagoon Scheme.

The construction of the Elephant Pass lagoon scheme, commenced in the year 1962, incorporating the final proposals mentioned above. The estimated cost of the scheme was Rs. 2,000000. Due to various difficulties the progress was rather slow, when it was eventually completed, it received two major set backs. It was noted, that salt water was seeping in considerable quantity through the PWD. road into the lagoon. Prevention measures were subsequently taken to seal the seepage. The second set back was the damage

to the Eastern closure bund. Due probably to the settlement of the sub-soil below and bund, the bund had settled and has been overtopped and breached during one of the floods that occurred subsequently.

The hydrology analysis carried subsequently in 1976 showed that the spilling accommodation provided at the eastern end was not sufficient. The empirical formula ( $Q = C M^{3/4}$ ) was not adequate.

## CHAPTER 4

### INVESTIGATIONS, PROPOSAL, DESIGNS, ECONOMIC ANALYSES ETC. CARRIED OUT IN 1976

#### GENERAL

After a long lapse of time, the project was revived in the Seventies. In 1976, the completion of the scheme received serious consideration and fresh investigations were carried out by the Irrigation Department resulting in a feasibility report, design, Construction Drawings etc. The Author, then Chief Engineer Irrigation Department was in charge of the project.

However, when the scheme was presented for inclusion in the budget for 1976 the planning committee, perhaps following the path of political expediency, (it was the final year of the SLFP Government) turned the proposal down.

However shortly after UNP Government came to power the scheme was put forward again in 1978, and was accepted by the planning committee and a token vote was passed by Parliament to implement the scheme. However the scheme went into abeyance once again. In both circumstances the net result is the same only the approach is different.

Jaffna peninsula comprises a total area of 400 sq.miles and nearly 40 sq.miles of this extent is covered by two internal lagoons namely the North (Vadamarachchi) and South (Upparu) lagoons. An external lagoon of water spread area of 30 sq.miles called the Elephant Pass lagoon lies between the main land and the peninsula. These lagoons are not inter connected. The first one starting from Periyapachilaipalli as its eastern boundary enters the sea at Thondamannaru. The south lagoon originates from the lower plains of Kapathu Paddy lands, spreads abutting Sarasalai, Madduvil, Kaithadi and Navatkuly on the Left, Puttur, Kopay, Irupalai, and Chiviyatheru on the right and links the sea at Ariyalai in the outskirts of Jaffna Town. The Elephant Pass lagoon is the portion lying between the peninsula and the mainland and enters the sea at Chundikulam on its eastern side and is isolated from the sea on the Western side by Kandy - Jaffna road.

#### Purpose of the Scheme

The major problems facing Sri Lanka are the increasing foreign exchange requirements and unemployment. As considerable amount of valuable foreign exchange is being spent for the import of food items, stepping up cultivation of rice and subsidiary food crops is a major objective. This will also increase the employment opportunities among the educated youth. The people of this area have been constantly agitating to convert this lagoon to a fresh water lake for domestic purposes, cultivation and to replenish the ground water resource. Due to over-exploitation of the aquifer, most of the wells have become brackish and it is of paramount importance to have a supply source to replenish the wells in order to meet the increased demands.

#### Proposals for the Scheme

- (a) **Elephant Pass Lagoon:** This will be used as a supply source for the internal (North and South) lagoons in addition to improving the conditions along the fringes of this lagoon.

The present proposal is to provide a total spilling accommodation of 7,000 feet length along the eastern closure with an earthen dam for a length of 4,700 ft. The spill has to cater for the run-off from a catchment of 363sq.miles. In addition, the highest flood

level is controlled by the Kandy - Jaffna road, and the inundation of the developed land along the periphery. High-tide levels etc. are also taken into consideration in deciding on the spill length.

- (b) **Link canal:** This connects Elephant Pass lagoon with North (Vandamarachchi) lagoon and is 2.5 miles long. The canal already constructed for a length of 2.25 miles is badly damaged and silted, as it runs in sandy material.

The channel section is now re-designed after detail soil investigations and the present proposal is to re-condition the existing channel as per new design, excavate the balance length, provide an inlet regulator cum bridge and provide a roadway along the link canal.

### **North and East (Vadamarachchi) Lagoon.**

This project consists of barrage across the outfall to the sea at Thondamannaru main gate. There are 18 bays of 20 feet wide consisting of lower and upper gates. The gates are 20'0" wide x 4'0" high and made of steel subsidiary gates.

There are 16 No. subsidiary spans.

These are wooden gates 10'0" wide. The sill is at +2.50 MSL. and crest level at +4.00 MSL.

All the perished and decayed gates are to be replaced and the missing and damaged parts of the lifting devices are to be replaced and repaired.

### **South (Upparu) lagoon**

This project consists of barrage which is located across the sea outfall at Ariyalai between 195 and 196 mile post along Kandy - Jaffna road, two culverts 196/2 and 196/3 on Kandy - Jaffna road and a separation bund 2.75 miles isolating the lagoon from Chemmani Salterns and Paddy fields. The barrage consists of a series of controlled bays, flanked on both sides by two clear overfall gravity sections. Controlled bays are 42 in number out of which, 18 are fitted with screw operated wooden gates each 5'6" in width and 5'0" in height. The balance 24 bays are centrally situated and are controlled by planks each of width 5'6" raising to a height of 5'0".

The planked bays are to be replaced by screw operated gates, the missing and damaged parts of the lifting devices to be replace and repaired, and passerelles widened to 2'0" with hand-rails for easy operation of the gates. The culverts are to be provided with screw operated gates.

The separation bund will be improved according to new design determined after investigation of the soils available in the area.

### **1. Anticipated benefits**

- (a) To recharge the underground storage thereby benefiting the brackish wells along the fringe of the lagoons. There may be a possibility of suppressing the interface of fresh and salt water below the existing level and thereby increasing the storage potential of ground water.
- (b) Reclaiming 11,000 acres of non - arable land by having salt leached out. It was observed during the last inspection that in certain places along the fringe cultivation in being done by providing suitable layer of borrowed earth. This shows the demand for

cultivable land and the perseverance of the people. This may be extended to obtain immediate benefits but the availability of suitable earth for borrowing at convenient distance is limited.

- (c) Supplement the 20,000 acres of presently cultivated (rain fed) land along the fringe during periods of drought, during the tail end of the cultivation by pumping from the newly created fresh water storage in the 25,000 acres of the lagoon area.
- (d) Cultivation in the lake bed will be possible when the lake full of fresh water, draws down during dry season. The bed may also be used for cattle grazing during dry season.

## 2. Existing Condition in 1976

### (a) Vadamarachchi Lagoon

- i) Negligence of maintenance and operation had caused upper gates of the Thondamannaru barrage to perish and the operating system is not functioning. Territorial Civil Engineering Organization (T.C.E.O) has just started to replace the upper gates by locally manufactured wooden gates.
- ii) Almost all hand rails are corroded and certain devices missing.
- iii) Cofferdam provided during the construction of the barrage has not been removed and hinders the flow.

Some lands fringing the lagoon in Thunnalai, Karaveddi south, Varani north and Karanavai areas are being cultivated during October - March, season. Shallow wells have been sunk and used for supplementary irrigation. This shows that inspite of the barrages not functioning efficiently, there had been some improvements and benefits though less than anticipated. If the scheme had been properly maintained and operated, the anticipated benefits could have been derived which would have been a great asset to the district.

This lagoon can be taken up independently or as a complex with the Elephant Pass lagoon. Even without Thondamannaru barrage functioning efficiently and a study of the data collected indicates that there had been a graded change in the quality of the water in the lagoon. This change has enabled the cultivation of small percentage of land along the fringe of the lagoon and further away from the barrage which were not suitable. The work to be done is mainly on the barrage. The TECO is doing some work at present, but it may be necessary to assess the present condition of the barrage, gates etc. in detail in order to frame proposals to bring back this barrage to working condition.

### (b) Upparu lagoon

The concrete structure of the barrage is in a fairly good condition but the operating devices of some of the gates have to be repaired or replaced. Only 6 out of 18 screw operated gates are in working order and it appears that the planks in the 32 bays are not removed as and when required. During the November 1975 heavy rains, when it was essential that all the gates should have been fully opened and the planks removed, only 6 gates were opened fully and another 5 partially opened and the planks in the other bays were not removed. About 2500 ft. of the bund between Chemmani saltern and the lagoon breached during this season, flooding the saltern and inundating the adjoining fields. Non operation of the gates and planks were mainly due to the failure of the lifting devices and the difficulty in removing the planks. The culvert across Kandy -

Jaffna road near the barrage which was permanently closed with concrete planks was forced open to drain the water in the lagoon.

(c) **Separation Bund**

The bund separating Upparu Lagoon from Vadamarachchi lagoon is in a very bad condition. During the November 1975 heavy rains, the bund was overtopped.

(d) **Chemmani Saltern**

The bund blocking the saltern and the paddy fields from the lagoon was breached during November 1975. The annual production is about 70,000 cwts of salt and providing employment to about 300 people from April to September. The breaching of the 2500 feet of the bund has flooded the saltern and the paddy fields, and the breach has to be closed.

(e) **Elephant Pass Lagoon and Link channel and Access Road**

The eastern closure bund had breached soon after it was constructed due to settlement and overtopping of the bund. It appears that the sub-soil investigation carried out and empirical formula used for hydrology analysis were not adequate.

The link channel connecting the Elephant Pass lagoon and the Vadamarachchi lagoon, though constructed has never functioned, and the channel has been damaged and silted up. The channel has to be re-conditioned.

The access road to Eastern closure bund, 6 miles long is now in poor condition and provision should be made in the proposal to improve this road.

**3. Investigations Carried out**

(a) **Upparu Lagoon**

- 1) Details of the existing condition of the gates and lifting devices to replace and repair as required.
- 2) Details of the planked bays to provide screw operated gates.
- 3) Details of the culvert between the barrage and Chemmani Saltern to provide screw operated gates.
- 4) Longitudinal and cross-section of the bund between the lagoon and Chemmani saltern.
- 5) Longitudinal and cross-section of the separation bund between the Upparu lagoon and Vadamarachchi lagoon.

(b) **Vadamarachchi Lagoon**

- 1) Details of the present condition of the barrage including the gates, lifting devices etc.
- 2) Longitudinal and cross-sections of the coffer dam provided during construction, to ascertain the quantity of material to be removed.

(c) **Elephant Pass Lagoon**

- 1) Detail investigations along the eastern closure bund site to ascertain the sub terrain features.

- 2) Topographical survey of the area - L.S & C.SS, site survey etc.
- 3) L.S. & C. SS of the 6 miles access road to eastern closure bund.
- 4) Type of material available at site for the construction of closure bund and spill.
- 5) If suitable materials are not available at site to locate places where such material can be borrowed.

**(d) Link Channel**

- 1) L.S & C.SS of the channel.
- 2) Type of soil in the channel to re-design the section.

**5. CONCLUSION**

The people living along the fringe of Vadamarachchi and Upparu lagoons are also of the opinion that if the two barrages function properly the scheme will be a success. They say that they need not and will not wait till the water in the lagoons become absolutely fresh to start cultivation, as certain types of crops with some tolerance for water with low salinity can be cultivated. Also in certain areas along the fringe shallow wells have been sunk recently to irrigate grape vines and the plants are coming up satisfactorily. The water requirement for this plant is not high and they are able to draw out water from shallow wells at such a rate without saline water coming up. In areas where the people have provided a suitable layer of borrowed earth, the extent of high-land crop cultivated under one well is limited in extent to the availability of fresh water.

This indicates that with reasonable improvements of the scheme, the people will make a start with suitable crops, and expand their cultivation activities as conditions improve.

**TABLE XII**  
**Existing Condition**

Description	Vadamarachchi lagoon (1) North & East	Upparu lagoon (2) South	Elephant Pass lagoon (3)
Catchment Sq. mls	115	85	363
Water spread sq. mls	30	10	30
Rainfall Average inch	49.6	49.6	55.5
Net Yield Ac.ft	56000	41500	91000
Tide Levels			
Dec Max	2.68	2.06	Not Known
Min	0.68	0.35	Not Known
May Max	1.25	1.5	Not Known
Min	0.9	0.5	Not Known
Feeder streams			Kanagarayan Aru Neththai Aru Piramanthal Aru Theravil Aru
Bund			Length of Western bund 2M 3740 ft. Eastern bund (Chundikulam) 1M 4700 ft. BTL 7.0 MSL
Link Channel			2.5 miles connecting 1&3
Spill	Thondamanaru 1) 18 Nos. 20ft. wide bays. Sill at -3.5 MSL with 2 vertical gates 4 ft. high crest at 4 MSL  2) 16 Nos subsidiary spans each 10 ft wide sill +2.5 MSL crest +4 MSL	Arialai Length 540 ft. Semicircular arc 150ft. radius comprising 18 Nos 5' by 5 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> ' lifting gates and 24 Nos  5' by 5 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> ' openings controlled by wooden planks Sill -1 MSL Crest +4 MSL	Elephant Pass Length 1010ft comprising 119 bays of 7ft. width sill + 3 MSL crest +4.5 MSL



## SUBSOIL INVESTIGATIONS FOR JAFFNA LAGOONS SCHEME

1. **Authority** - Authority was granted by D.I.'s No. WG/13/4 of 1975.12.31 to conduct the above investigations.
2. **Purpose** - The purpose of the investigations is to a study the soil characteristics and recommend:
  - i. Suitable side slopes for the link channel,
  - ii. Side slopes for the reconstruction of the Chundikulam bund.
  - iii. Suitable foundation for the spill at chundikulam
3. **Description of the investigations** - Field investigations conducted consists of :
  - i. Hand augering along the axis of the link channel.
  - ii. Hand augering along the axis of the Chundikulam bund, and spill
  - iii. Hand augering and excavation of test pits in selected borrow areas near Chundikulam bund.

The laboratory investigations consisted of the following tests on samples obtained from the borrow areas:-

- i. Specific gravity.
- ii. Atterberg limits.
- iii. Mechanical Analysis.
- iv. Proctor compaction.

### Recommendations -

The following recommendations are made:

- i. The link canal is to have side slopes of 1 on 2.5
- ii. The Chundikulam bund to be zoned **embankment** as shown in the drawing, having an upstream slope of 1 on 3 and down-stream slope of 1 on 2.5.
- iii. The proposed spill of 7000 ft. be provided in 2 parts, namely a spill of 3,000 ft. commencing at 20 chains followed by an earthen embankment of 2,000 ft. which is then to be followed by a spill of 4,000 ft.

### General:

The location of the borrow areas is indicated in the drawing.

sgd./-I.E.Soils


sgd./-D.I.E. (Eng. Materials).

**Note:** Recommendation (iii) to be amended as follows:-

The proposed spill of 7000 ft. be provided in two parts - a spill of 3500 ft from 14.7 chains to 49.7 chains, an earthen embankment from 49.7 chains to 1M 15.5 chains and the balance spill length of 3500 ft. from 1M 15.5 chains.

CATCHMENT OF ELEPHANTPASS LAGOON

FIG. X



**HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC STUDIES  
AND  
STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF SPILL CUM CAUSEWAY OF ELEPHANT  
PASS LAGOON**

**RUN OFF PROCESS**

Phase (1) Rainless period just prior to the beginning of rainfall and after an extended dry period. The ground water is low and its elevation continues to decrease gradually.

Phase (ii) Initial period of rain

Rain starts

- (a) Precipitation
- (b) Interception by Vegetation
- (c) Infiltration into the soil

Gradual increase of water in the zone of aeration after natural storage is satisfied.

(d) Temporary retention in surface depression

Phase (iii) Continuation of rainfall at a variable intensity

The capacities of vegetable interception and retention of surface of depressions are reached and the excess rain becomes a source of run off and detention storage on land surface and channel. Overflow over land occurs when net rate of rain exceeds the infiltration rate. The infiltrated water will saturate the upper part of the land of aeration. If the rain continues water table will rise and the ground water contribution to the streamflow will increase. As the zone of aeration is saturated, sub surface run off may also contribute to the stream flow. If the storage of flow in the channels rises rapidly and becomes higher than the rising of ground water table, the stream changes from Effluent stream to Influent stream, contributing to the ground water and developing bank storage water. Due to this storage, evaporation and transpiration are also slow.


Phase (iv) Continuation of rainfall until all natural storage has been satisfied.

The infiltration rate will approach the rate of water transmission through the zone of aeration to both ground water table and sub-surface run off. As the rain continues the water table rises constantly until the ground water run-off balances the rate of recharge possible and all additional rain results in direct increment to run of.

Phase (v) Period between the termination of rain and the time when phase (i) is to be reached evaporation and transpiration are active and infiltration continues. Water in the zone of aeration is reaching water table or the stream level, surface flow and ground water is low.

## HYDROGRAPH

### A GRAPH SHOWING STORAGE (WATER TABLE), Q, V AND OTHER PROPERTIES OF WATER FLOW WITH RESPECT TO TIME



AB - Approach limb  
 BD - Rising limb  
 DH - Recession limb

The lower portion of the DH i.e. EH shows the ground water depletion curve which shows the decreasing rate of ground water inflow. Peak 'D' occurs at certain time after the rain has stopped and the time depends on the aerial distribution of rainfall. Multiple peaks may occur on a hydrograph. If a hydrograph shows double or treble peaks, fairly regularly the reason may be due to either non synchronization of the run off contribution from several tributaries to the main stream or kidney like shape of the drainage basin.

### DESIGN FLOOD

Maximum flood flow that could be passed without damage or serious threat to the stability of the engineering structure. It is the peak discharge adopted for the design of a particular project or any of its structure.

### METHOD OF ESTIMATION OF DESIGN FLOOD

- i) Application of safety factors to the observed maximum historical flood.
- ii) Empirical flood formulae.
- iii) Enveloping curves.
- iv) Flood frequency analysis.
- v) Rational method involving unit hydrograph, hydrometeorological studies.
- vi) Regression analysis.

## HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC STUDIES

### (a) Elephant Pass Lagoon

Under this studies it is proposed to study the provision of a spill cum causeway for EPL and to check the adequacy of the existing barrage at Thondamannaru. The main feeder stream to the lagoon, Kanagarayan Aru has its origin in Vavuniya. The other streams draining into this lagoon are Pulthai Aru, Nethali Aru, Piramanthal Aru and Theruvil Aru. Puluthai Aru drains downstream of the spill cum causeway alignment.

Catchment Area	= 363 sq.miles.
Spreaded Area	= 30 sq.miles. at 2.0 MSL.
Average rainfall	= 55.5 inches

Net yield

<u>Basin</u>	<u>Runoff</u>	<u>Detention</u>	<u>Capacity of Reservoir</u>
Theruvil	23,550	6,500	
Piramanthal Aru	21,190	21,190	11,000
Nethali Aru	25,910	9,150	
Kanagarayan Aru	<u>196,070</u>	<u>196,070</u>	106,000
	266,720	232,910	
	<u>232,910</u>		
Yield	33,810		33,810
15% Drainage of detention			34,937
25% of gross yield in lagoon (30 sq.miles) 1/4 x 30 x 640 x 55/12			<u>22,000</u> 90,747
			say <u>91,000 Ac.ft.</u>

**(b) Vadamarachchi Lagoon (North and East Lagoon)**

Catchment Area	= 115 sq.miles.
Spreaded Area	= 30 sq.miles.
Average rainfall	= 49.6 inches.
N. E. Monsoon rainfall	= 45.0 inches.

**Net Yield**

(a) 25% of gross yield  
=  $1/4 \times 115 \times 640 \times 45/12 = 69,000$  Ac.ft.

(b) Using the specific yield factor of 489 ac. ft. per sq.mile  
Net yield =  $115 \times 489 = 56,000$  Ac.ft.

**(c) Upparu Lagoon (South Lagoon)**

Catchment Area	= 85 sq.miles
Spreaded Area	= 10 sq.miles.
Average rainfall	= 49.6 inches.
N.E. Monsoon rainfall	= 45.0 inches.

**Net yield**

(a) 25% of gross yield  
=  $1/4 \times 85 \times 640 \times 45/12 = 51,000$  Ac.ft.


(b) Using the specific yield factor of 489 ac.ft. per sq.mile  
Net yield =  $85 \times 489 = 41,500$  Ac. ft.

## DERIVATION OF UNIT HYDROGRAPH BY SNYDER'S TECHNIQUE ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON

Catchment Area - A.	=	363 sq.miles
Length of longest water course from point of interest to water shed divide in miles (L)	=	56 miles
Length of water course from point of interest to centroid of the catchment (Lc)	=	21 miles

FIG. XI

UNIT HYDROGRAPH - ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON



### C<sub>p</sub> and C<sub>t</sub> values

The catchment resembles the adjacent Malwatha Oya catchment-Kapachchi

For Kapachchi C<sub>t</sub> = 4.42 and C<sub>p</sub> = 0.87

Considering the flatness of the land in Kanagarayan Aru Basin, consider slightly higher value for C<sub>t</sub>(5.0) and slightly lower value for C<sub>p</sub>(0.75)

$$t_p = C_t (LXL_c)^{0.3} = 5.0 (56 \times 21)^{0.3} = 41.69 \text{ say } 42 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$\text{Unit duration of rainfall excess} = t_p/5.5 = 7.6 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$q_p = \frac{640 \times A \times C_p}{t_p} = \frac{640 \times 363 \times 0.75}{42} = 4149 \text{ cusecs}$$

say 4100 cusecs

$$T = B + C (t_p/24) = 0.77 + 2.92 (42/24) = 5.88 \text{ days} = 141 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$W_{25} = A_{25}(q_p/A)^{-1} = 850 (4149/363)^{-1} = 74 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$W_{50} = A_{50}(q_p/A)^{-1} = 500 (4149/363)^{-1} = 44 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$W_{75} = A_{75} (q_p/A)^{-1} = 270 (4149/363)^{-1} = 24 \text{ hrs.}$$

**TABLE XIII**  
**100 YEAR DESIGN STORM PATTERN OF ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON**  
**MEAN OF KKS AND VAVUNIA**

Hours	6	12	18	24
Depth in ins. - average of KKS & Vavunia	9.40	12.40	14.40	16.10
Incremental depth in ins.	9.40	3.00	2.00	1.70
Areal distribution (factor 0.827)	7.77	2.40	1.65	1.40
Loss 0.05 ins/hr	7.47	2.11	1.35	1.10
Storm pattern (re arranged)	2.11	7.47	1.35	1.10

### ORDINATE FROM UNIT HYDROGRAPH

Hrs	Cusecs	Hrs	Cusecs	Hrs	Cusecs	Hrs	Cusecs
0	0	36	3600	72	1420	108	480
6	700	42	4149	78	1180	114	370
12	1300	48	3600	84	950	120	270
18	1800	54	3050	90	820	126	200
24	2400	60	2400	96	700	132	110
30	3050	66	1800	102	580	138	10



**TABLE XIV**  
**100 Year Flood Hydrograph for Elephant Pass Lagoon - Computation**

Hrs	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72	78	84	90	96	102	108	114	120	126	132	139	Total	
Time S.P.	700	1300	1800	2400	3050	3600	4100	3600	3050	2400	1800	1420	1180	950	820	700	580	480	370	270	200	120	60	60	
Hrs	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72	78	84	90	96	102	108	114	120	126	132	139	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6	1477	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1477	
12	5229	2743	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7972
18	945	9711	3798	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14454
24	770	1755	13446	5064	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21035
30	1430	1430	2430	17928	6435	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28223
36	3240	3240	1980	3240	22783	7596	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35599
42	2640	4117	26892	2640	4117	26892	8651	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42300
48	3355	3355	4860	3355	3355	4860	30627	7596	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46438
54	3960	3960	5535	3960	3960	5535	26892	6435	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42822
60	4510	4510	4860	4510	4510	4860	4510	4860	22783	5064	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37217
66	3960	3960	3960	3960	3960	3960	3960	3960	4117	17928	3798	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29803
72	3355	3355	3355	3355	3355	3355	3355	3355	3355	3240	13446	2996	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23037
78	2640	2640	2640	2640	2640	2640	2640	2640	2640	2640	2430	10607	2489	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18166
84	1980	1980	1980	1980	1980	1980	1980	1980	1980	1980	1917	8814	2004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14715
90	1562	1562	1562	1562	1562	1562	1562	1562	1562	1562	1562	1593	7096	1730	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11981
96	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	1298	10182
102	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	1045	8602
108	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	902	7191
114	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	770	5918
120	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	4620
126	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	528	3466
132	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	407	2518
138	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	297	1590
144	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	830
148	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	213
	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66

**ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON**  
**RELATION OF SURFACE AREA TO ELEVATION**  
**AND**  
**STORAGE TO ELEVATION**

BASED ON SURVEY PLAN OF 16 CHAINS TO AN INCH

LEVEL ABOVE M.S.L.	AREA ACRES	STORAGE AC.FT.
-3	0	0
-2	2,500	1,160
-1	6,800	5,960
0	11,420	15,160
1	15,000	29,360
2	18,260	44,960
3	20,770	64,560
4	22,950	86,560
5	24,400	109,480
6	25,800	134,800
7	26,900	161,200
8	28,000	188,800

PROBABLE

COMPUTED FROM SURVEYS

PROBABLE




FIG. XIII

ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON - STORAGE OUTFLOW RELATIONS





FIG. XIV.

ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON



**TABLE XV**  
**ELEVATION STORAGE - (vide Fig XII)**

Elevation M.S.L	Storage (S)	
	Ac.ft.	Cusecs 1/4 day
0	15160	7580
1	28360	14180
2	44960	22480
3	64560	32280
4	86560	43280
4.25	91500	45750
4.5	97500	48750
5	109480	54740
5.5	121500	60750
6	134800	67400
6.5	148000	74000

**TABLE XVI**  
**TABULATION FOR DRAWING S, S+Ot/2, S-Ot/2 CURVES**  
**FOR ROUTING THROUGH THE RESERVOIR**

Pool Elev: (ft.)	Outflow O cusecs	Storage S		Computation for I.S.D method (t = 6 hr.= 1/4 day)		
		Ac.ft.	Cusecs 1/4 day	Ot/2 Cusec -1/4 day	S+Ot/e Cusecs -1/4 day	S-Ot/2 Cusecs -1/4 day
4.00 FSL	-	86560	43280	-		
4.25	2625	91500	45750	1313	47063	44437
4.5	7456	97450	48750	3728	52478	45022
5.0	21000	109480	54740	10500	65240	44240
5.5	38640	121500	60750	19320	80070	41430
6.0	59230	134800	67400	29615	97015	37785
6.5	84000	148000	74000	42000	116000	32000

INFLOW AND OUTFLOW HYDROGRAPHS - 100 year return period

FIG. XV

ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON






FIG. XVI

L.S.S. OF EXISTING & PROPOSED SPILL AND BUND  
AT EASTERN END ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON

EXISTING



PROPOSED



**TABLE XVII**  
**RESERVOIR ROUTING I.S.D. METHOD**  
**E.P. LAGOON**

Time hrs	Inflow I (cusecs)	(1+12)xt (cusecs -1/4 day)	Outflow (cusecs) '0'	(S-Ot)/2 (cusecs -1/4 day)	(S+Ot)/2 (cusecs -1/4)	Pool elev. (ft.) MSL
0	0		2625			4.25
6	1477	739	1100	44437	45176	4.10
12	7970	4723	3800	44100	48823	4.31
18	10454	9212	8600	44700	53912	4.52
24	20985	15720	16000	45000	60720	4.65
30	28223	24604	25500	44500	69104	4.81
36	35599	31911	33000	43500	81249	4.92
42	42300	38949	40000	42300	81249	4.92
48	46438	44396	45000	41000	85369	5.50
54	42822	44630	45000	40200	85369	5.57
60	37217	40018	44200	40300	84830	5.55
66	29803	33510	39000	40300	80318	5.49
72	23037	26420	32000	41200	74710	4.90
78	18166	20601	25500	41200	74710	4.81
84	14715	16441	20000	43600	64201	4.73
90	11981	13348	16000	44300	60741	4.73
96	10182	11081	13000	44300	60741	4.65
102	8602	9392	10700	44500	57848	4.65
108	7191	7897	92000	44500	57848	4.60
114	5918	6555	7800	44700	55781	4.60
120	4620	5269	5100	44700	55781	4.55
126	3466	4043	3800	44900	54292	4.55
132	2518	2992	2800	45000	52897	4.45
				45000	52897	4.45
				45000	51555	4.51
				44900	50169	4.54
				44700	48743	4.38
				44600	47592	4.31
						4.26



## SPILL LENGTH AND AFFLUX

Outflow (Q) - 45,000 cusecs.

The outlet at the eastern end (Chundikulam) can accommodate a clear overfall spill of 7000 ft. based on topographic and sub soil investigations.

Hence adopting a spill length (L) of 7000 ft, High Flood Level (H.F.L.) derived from Inflow Storage Discharge (I.S.D) Method is 5.57 ft. MSL.

The proposals are:

Full Supply Level (F.S.L) = 4.00 ft. MSL


Length of spill cum causeway = 7000 ft.

High Flood Level = 5.50 ft. MSL

Bund Top Level (B.T.L) = 8.00 ft. MSL

## HYDRAULIC DESIGN OF SPILL CUM CAUSEWAY

### Stilling Basin Design



Ref; USBR Publication-Canal and Related Structures.

$$q = 3.3 \times H^{1.5} = 3.3 \times 1.5^{1.5} = 6.04 \text{ cusecs}$$

$$v_0 = 6.06/1.5 = 4.04 \text{ ft/sec.}$$

$$h_{v0} = (4.04)^2/2 \times 32.2 = 0.253 \text{ ft.}$$

$$d_0 = 1.5$$

$$d_0 + h_{v0} = 1.5 + 0.253 = 1.753 \text{ ft.}$$

$$d_c = \sqrt[3]{q^2/g} = 1.04 \text{ ft.}$$

$$d_3 = 1.5 \text{ ft. and } h_{v3} = 0.253 \text{ ft.}$$

$$d_3 + h_{v3} = 1.5 + 0.253 = 1.753 \text{ ft.}$$

$$F = 3.0 \text{ ft. and } F/d_c = 3.0/1.04 = 2.88 \text{ ft.}$$

From the Table;

$F/d = 2.88$ , corresponding values of  $d_2/d_1$  and  $d_1/d_c$  are:

7.74 and 0.309

PROPOSED SPILL CUM CAUSEWAY E.P.L.





FIG. X VII

UNIT HYDROGRAPH - VADAMARACHCHI LAGOON



$\therefore d_1 = 0.304 \text{ ft. \& } d_2 = 2.40 \text{ ft.}$

$L = 4 \times d_2 = 9.6 \text{ ft. - Assume } L \text{ as } 12 \text{ ft.}$

**VADAMARACHCHI LAGOON**

**DERIVATION OF UNIT HYDROGRAPH BY SNYDER'S TECHNIQUE**

- Catchment Area (A) = 115 sq.miles.
- Spread Area = 30 sq.miles.
- (L) = 31 miles.
- (L<sub>c</sub>) = 14.8 miles.
- Tide levels = +2.68 MSL (maximum) and +0.65 MSL (minimum)

$C^t = 5 \text{ and } C_p = 0.75$

$t_p = C^t(L \times L_c)^{0.3} = 5(31 \times 14.8)^{0.3} = 31.4 \text{ hrs.}$

$q_p = 640AC_p/t_p = 640 \times 115 \times 0.75/31.4 = 1750 \text{ cusecs.}$

$T = B + C (t_p/24) = .77 + 2.92 (31.4/24) = 0.77 + 3.82 = 4.59 \text{ days}$   
 $= 110 \text{ hrs.}$

$W_{25} = 850(1758/115) = 55.6 \text{ hrs.}$

$W_{50} = 500(1758/115) = 32.7 \text{ hrs.}$

$W_{75} = 270(1758/115) = 17.7 \text{ hrs.}$

Unit duration of rainfall excess =  $t_p/5.5 = 31.4/5.5 = 5.71 \text{ hrs.}$

say = 6 hrs.


**TABLE XVIII  
100 YEAR STORM PATTERN OF KKS**

Hours	6	12	18	24
Depth in ins.	6.70	7.80	8.80	10.20
Incremental depth in ins	6.70	1.10	1.00	1.40
Areal distribution (factor 0.853)	5.72	0.93	0.85	1.19
Loss 0.05 ins./hr	5.42	0.63	0.55	0.89
Storm pattern (re arranged)	0.63	5.42	0.55	0.89

Table XIX  
100 Year Hydrograph for Vadamarachchi Lagoon - Computation

Hrs Time Hrs	S.P	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72	78	84	90	96	102	108	T Hrs
0	0	450	725	1025	1375	1750	1575	1225	875	600	420	300	270	175	120	80	50	25	10	0
6	0.63	284	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	284
12	5.42	2439	457	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2896
18	0.55	248	3930	646	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4824
24	0.8	360	399	5555	866	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7180
30			580	564	7491	1102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9737
36				820	756	9485	992	772	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12053
42					1100	963	8537	6639	551	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11372
48						1400	1260	674	4743	378	264	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9456
54								980	481	330	2276	189	170	110	0	0	0	0	0	7055
60									700	480	2276	1626	1463	949	0	0	0	0	0	4977
66											231	165	149	0	0	0	0	0	0	3495
72											336	240	216	0	0	0	0	0	0	2507
78													149	76	0	0	0	0	0	2074
84													149	650	0	0	0	0	0	1414
90													216	66	50	32	0	0	0	1012
96													216	96	434	271	16	0	0	672
102													216	140	44	28	136	0	0	427
108													216	96	64	40	14	54	6	234
114													216	96	64	40	14	54	6	108
120													216	96	64	40	14	54	6	26
126													216	96	64	40	14	54	6	8

100 YEAR FLOOD HYDROGRAPH - VADAMARACHCHI LAGOON




**ORDINATE FROM UNIT HYDROGRAPH**

Hrs	Cusecs	Hrs	Cusecs	Hrs	Cusecs
0	0	36	1575	72	270
6	450	42	1225	78	175
12	725	48	875	84	120
18	1025	54	600	90	80
24	1375	60	420	96	50
30	1750	66	300	102	25


**VADAMARACHCHI LAGOON**

**THONDAMANNARU BARRAGE**

**EXISTING STRUCTURAL DETAILS FOR FLOOD DISCHARGE**





18 No/20 ft. Span gates  
Clear length = 360 ft



16 No/10 ft Span gates  
Clear Spill length = 160 ft.

**WORST FLOW CONDITION ARISE WHEN THE TIDE LEVEL IS MAXIMUM**



$$Q_2 = 3.0 (h_m - h_n)^{3/2}$$

## Theory

### CASE (1)

When  $d < h_t$

$$V = \sqrt{2g(h_m - h_t)}$$

$$\text{Therefore } q_1 = (c_d)d\sqrt{2g(h_m - h_t)}$$

Assume  $c_d = 0.6$

$$q_1 = 4.8 \times d\sqrt{h_m - h_t}$$

### CASE (2)

When  $d > h_t$

$$q = q_1 + 3.0 (h_{\max} - h_t)^{1.5}$$

#### 1/3 maximum opening

$$d = 7.5/3 = 2.5 \text{ ft.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Therefore } q_1 &= 4.8 \times 2.5\sqrt{4.0 - 2.68} \\ &= 4.8 \times 2.5 \times 1.15 \\ &= 13.8 \text{ cusec/ft.}\end{aligned}$$

#### 2/3 maximum opening

$$d = 5.0 \text{ ft.}$$

$$q_1 = 27.6 \text{ cusec/ft.}$$

$$q_2 = 3.0(1.32)^{.67}$$

$$= 3.0(1.21)$$

$$= 3.63 \text{ cusec/ft.}$$

$$q = 31.32 \text{ cusec/ft.}$$


#### Full opening

$$q = q_1 + q_2$$

$$= 4.8 \times 6.18 \times 1.15 + 3.0 (1.32)^{.67}$$

$$= 34.1 + 3.63 = 37.73 \text{ cusec/ft.}$$

Discharge through subsidiary gates =  $Q_{SS} = 3.63 \times 160 = 580$  cusecs





**Opening restricted to +1.5 MSL - (At present 4 bays are closed)**

$$q = 4.8 \times 2.18 \times 1.15 + 3.0 (1.32)^{0.67}$$

$$= 12.0 + 3.63 = 15.63 \text{ cusecs.}$$

Case (a) - with subsidiary span gates not open

Case (b) - with subsidiary span gates open

Q<sub>280</sub> = discharge through 14 No./20 ft. span, double gate opening sill -3.5 MSL

Q<sub>80</sub> = discharge through 4 No./20 ft. span, single gate opening sill +0.5 MSL

Q<sub>160</sub> = discharge through 16 No./10 ft. span, subsidiary span sill + 2.5 MSL

**TABLE XX**

**Discharge through Thondamannaru Barrage For Various Openings**

Opening	Case	Q <sub>280</sub>	Q <sub>80</sub>	Q <sub>160</sub>	Total
1/3 full opening of main gates	(a)	4100	1250	0	5350
	(b)	4100	1250	580	5930
2/3 full opening of main gates	(a)	8950	1250	0	10200
	(b)	8950	1250	580	10780
Full opening of main gates	(a)	10600	1250	0	11850
	(b)	10600	1250	580	12430

**Result**

Existing structure details of Thondamannaru barrage:

14 No. of 20 ft. span, double gate opening, sill at -3.5 MSL

4 No. of 20 ft. span, single gate opening, sill at +0.5 MSL

16 No. of 10 ft. span, subsidiary gates, sill at +2.5 MSL

- 14 No./20 ft. span (Q<sub>1</sub>) flow through the gates fully opened = 10600 cusecs.
- 04 No./20 ft. span (Q<sub>2</sub>) flow through the gates fully opened = 1250 cusecs.
- 16 No./10ft/ span (Q<sub>3</sub>) flow through the gates fully opened = 580 cusecs.
- Total = 12430 cusecs.

The reduction in discharge by closing one span by concreting is:

$$(37.73 - 15.63) \times 20 = 442 \text{ cusecs.}$$

Peak outflow 12,053 cusecs.

Hence one more span can be closed. But it is recommended not to close it as additional safety.

**Evaporation**

Rate of evaporation is comparatively high in the Peninsula. Evaporation pans to be installed at suitable locations of internal and Elephant Pass Lagoons and observations recorded to assess the rate of evaporation.

### **Floods and Droughts:**

The peninsula has experienced the worst drought in 1918, when only 2.3 inches of rain fell over the 7 months period March to September. The lowest rainfall so far recorded for the last 95 years was in 1964, when there was only 24.6 inches of precipitation, whereas, the average is about 46 inches.

The details of maximum one day is given below.

**TABLE XXI**

<b>Station</b>	<b>Year/date</b>	<b>Precipitation (inches)</b>
Jaffna	18.11.1918	20.48
Chavakachcheri	15.11.1939	21.17
Pallai	20.12.1903	18.00

The records of floods experienced are inadequate to make a comprehensive flood study. The design hydrograph has been obtained from the Unit Hydrograph. The Unit Hydrograph has been drawn synthetically, assigning appropriate values to the "Snyder's Constants", as given in a paper by the Hydrology Division of the Irrigation Department.

The storm pattern for a 100 year storm is obtained by using the precipitation data of rain gauge station - Vavuniya and Kankesanthurai. By applying this storm pattern to the Unit Hydrograph synthetically derived, the 100 year flood hydrograph was obtained.

Reservoir Routing by Inflow Storage Discharge ( I.S.D) method for 100 year return period gave a peak discharge of 45,000 cusecs at the eastern closure bund and spill site of Elephant Pass lagoon.

A 100 year design storm pattern for Vadamarachchi Lagoon gave a peak discharge at Thondamannaru barrage as 12,000 cusecs.

### Water Requirements:

Since the scheme is located in the dry zone, water requirements for various crops given below are from the results obtained from Agriculture Research Station at Maha-Illuppallama.

**TABLE XXII**

<b>Month</b>	<b>Paddy</b>	<b>Onions</b>	<b>Chillies</b>
October	0.32		
November	0.50		
December	0.63		
January	1		
February	0.52		0.65
March	0.27		1.04
April	0.67		0.76
May	1.25		0.87
June	1.11		0.68
July	1.11	0.69	
August	0.84	0.94	
September	0.32	0.29	
<b>Total (say)</b>	<b>8.60</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>

### Salinity Variation

The variation of salinity with time at different locations of the lagoons is given in Table XXIII - annex-2. The locations are shown in the general layout plan of the scheme (lagoons) Fig II.

FIG. XX

LOG OF DRILL HOLE AT PALLAI J.P.					
LOCATION :- P.W.D OFFICE PREMISES - PALLAI GROUND ELEVATION 9.64 MSL					
NOVEMBER 1966					
SCALE	CASING DIA		DESCRIPTION	DEPTH	REMARKS
50	9"		LOOSE FINE TO COARSE GRAINED YELLOW SAND	45'	80 P.P.M.CL
			BROWN DENSE FINE GRAINED FOSSIFEROUS LIME STONE	74'	
150			WHITE FINE POROUS SHELLY LIME STONE	163'	105 INTERFACE 178 PP.M.CL.
			WHITE TO GREY DENSE FINE DETRITIC LIME STONE WITH SOME SAND	218'	21550 PP.M.CL.
250			BROWNISH WHITE AND LIGHT GREY FINE GRAINED DENSE DETRITIC SANDY LIME STONE MORE SANDY IN LOWER PART	318'	DRILLED WITH FALING RIG FROM 0-532'-6" 24550 PP. M. CL.
350	7 1/2" OPEN HOLE		COARSE TO VERY COARSE SAND WITH GREY SILTY CLAY MATRIX. MANY DARK QUARTZ AND FOLDSPAR GRAINS AND HEAVY MINERALS. THIN YELLOW TO WHITE BEDS OF BROWN FINE GRAIN DENSE LIME STONE		
450					
550			FINE GRAINED QUARTZITIC SANDSTONE WITH MANY COARSE OF QUARTZ GRAINS WITH SHELL FRAGMENTS	536'	16550 P.P. M. CL.
			DARK GREY VERY FINE GRAINED HARD DENSE QUARTZITIC SANDSTONE TO SILT STONE	580'	
			LIGHT GREY FINE TO COARSE GRAINED SILTY POROUS SANDSTONE (CALCAREOUS)	602'	
650			BROWN TO REDDISH FINE TO VERY COARSE GRAINED SILTY SANDSTONE	630'	
			GREY FINE TO MEDIUM GRAINED SANDSTONE	700'	
			VERY COARSE SILTY SANDSTONE	710'	
			NO CORE VERY FINE BROWN TO GREY SAND AS WASHINGS	720'	
750			ARKOSE SANDSTONE (CARBONACEOUS)	750'	
			HIGHLY SHEARED AND SLICKENSIDED GNEISS SERPENTINISED AND CHLORITISED	770'	BASEMENT
				780'	

NOTE :- Prepared from similar log appearing in the 1968 Transactions

## Ground Water and Jaffna Aquifer

The geological formation of the sub-soil bears excellent physical character to underground storage. The replenishment solely depends on rainfall. The porous sand and lime stone substrata provides ample storage. The over-drawing from wells due to the increased activity in cultivation has resulted in intrusion of salt water. Table XXIV shows the population and the probable consumption of water.

**TABLE XXIV**  
**Annual Water Requirements in Million Gallons**

	Population in 1976	For domestic Use 20 G.H.D.	For Home Gardens	For cultivation of dry season crops	Total
Jaffna	134000	978	9	153	1140
Valikamam, West	84000	613	2	656	1272
- do - North	101000	737	4	1933	2675
- do - East	57000	416	5	2119	2540
Thenmarachchi	97000	708	5	708	1490
Vadamarachchi	59000	431	4	640	1075
Pachchilaipalli	13000	95	1	85	231
Islands & Delfts	76000	529	3	1024	1457
Total	621500	4507	33	7328	11880

The table shows that the annual requirement is 43,300 acre feet, from a hand out from Agricultural Department sources to the Hydrological Survey Investigation Committee. (1968)

A census taken in 1958, shows that there were 84,000 wells of which 66,000 are used for domestic purposes and 18,000 are used for agricultural purposes and annually 1.5 acre feet of water is drawn from each well for agricultural uses.

### Exploration Detail


Drilling exploration showed the presence of lime stone to a depth of 200 ft. Drilling investigation carried at Kondavil, showed a massive lime stone substrata form immediately below the red soil becoming honey combed lower down. Cavities and solution caverns are found frequently. Calcaurus, powdery layers are interspaced in the rock hard lime stone. The drill hole at Pallai showed that 200 feet thick lime stone was underlaid by a thick sand formation above a Precambrian basement. This log of the hole at Pallai is shown in figure XX.

### Project Land and Soil Classification Project area

The peninsula comprise an area of 260,000 acres. Out of this, 157,000 acres are occupied as residence and home gardens inclusive of palmyrah etc., about 34,000 acres are cultivated with subsidiary and other food crops. Paddy is cultivated (rain fed) in 32,000 acres and the lagoons occupy about 26,000 acres. 11,000 acres of land fringing the lagoon are non-arable.

Source:- S. Arumugam - Development of Ground Water. I.E.C. Transactions for 1970.

RECOGNITION OF SOIL MAP  
OF  
JAFFNA PENINSULA



LEGEND

- RY(G) — RED YELLOW LATOSOLS (CALCIC)
- CMC — CALCIC MARINE COMPLEX
- SS — SOLODITZ SOLONETZ
- Rsg & Ryl — REGOSOLS
- SS & Rsg — EXISTING PADDY LANDS (20,000 Acs) TO BE BENEFITED BY THIS SCHEME  
10,000 Acs ALONG THE FRINGE TO BE RECLAIMED

### Soil Classification

The soil along the fringes and mainly in the Upper reaches of Vadamarachchi lagoon consists of Calcic Marine Complex (C.M.C) with varying degrees of salinity. Nearly 40 to 50% of this land could be utilised for cultivation. The soil along the highland close to the sea is Regosols and an extent, where elevation of the water table is high enough for easy exploitation of the moisture by the root zone, can be brought under cashew plantation. Only a reconnaissance soil survey plan is available, fig XXI, and a detail survey has to be undertaken early.

The area that is being cultivated at present with subsidiary food crops are mostly of well drained reddish brown earth. This type of soil is ideally suited for chillies, onion and other subsidiary food crops and the cultivation can be extended and intensified when this scheme becomes a success.

### ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

The figures arrived at in the economic analysis are as follows:

Benefit/cost ratio at 10% discount = 2.52  
Internal rate of return = 49.10%

### Plan of Development

The lagoon project is proposed for the replenishment of the Ground water in the Peninsula to assure the population of the area, water for both domestic and agricultural consumption, and to develop non arable land of extent 11,000 acres. This extent of 11,000 acres with the existing cultivable land of extent 20,000 acres for which water could be made available for the cultivation of paddy, chillies, onions, yams and other crops, are to be developed in the following stages:

Year	Paddy (crop acres)	Chillies (crop acres)	Onions (crop acres)	Yams & food crops (crop acres)
1	-	-	-	-
2	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-
4	-	-	-	-
5	-	-	-	-
6	-	-	-	-
7	20,000	3,000	3,000	6,000
8	-	2,000	2,000	-
Total	20,000	5,000	5,000	6,000

### The Cost Stream

In computing the cost to the economy, the cost of each item of expenditure was allocated Foreign component (F.C) domestic materials (DM), skilled labour (SL), and unskilled labour (UL). Transfer costs such as acquisition, interest on loans, etc. were ignored as they will be small. The opportunity cost of both foreign exchange and unskilled labour inputs were considered in the economic analysis. A factor of two was allowed for the foreign exchange component and one third was allowed for unskilled labour. The cost to the economy was thus equal to -

$$2 \times (\text{Foreign component}) + (\text{Domestic Materials}) + (\text{Skilled Labour}) + \frac{1}{3} (\text{Unskilled labour})$$

The percentage allocation of resource used in the analysis is given below.

Item	F.C.	D.M.	S.L.	U.L	Cost of economy
Bund	66	3	12	13	153
Spill	60	10	15	15	150
Link Canal	66	3	12	19	153
Access Road	35	5	20	40	109
Irrigation facilities	50	5	20	25	134
Land Development	16	28	18	38	91
Cultivation	25	25	-	50	91
Operation & Maintenance	15	10	15	60	75
Replacement	60	10	15	15	150
General charges	50	5	15	30	130

### **DIRECT AND INDIRECT BENEFITS**

At full development, the Project will yield annually 400,000 bushels of paddy, 60,000 cwts. of chillies, 500,000 cwts. of onions and 120,000 cwts. of other subsidiary food crops.

In the economic study, only the primary benefits from Agricultural production have been evaluated. The re charging of the under ground storage thereby, benefiting the brackish wells and increasing the storage potential of the ground water to meet the increased demand for irrigation and domestic use has not been considered. There will also be employment opportunities, growth of secondary industries, the increase of land values, recreational facilities, etc., as the secondary and intangible benefits. This scheme will bring immense benefits by way of economic upliftment, not only to the poor peasants of the area, but also, to the entire country. The scheme is therefore, highly recommended for immediate implementation.

### **OUTSTANDING ISSUES**

1. Detailed Land Use Surveys of the development area have to be carried out;
2. Salinity Test of the lagoons and wells along the fringe done and systematically processed;
3. Test on the salt content of sub soil of the lagoon to be carried out;
4. A Schedule and Standing Order for operation and maintenance of the barrage gates should be prepared, issued and followed;



## **CONCLUSION**

It is very essential that the entire Jaffna lagoon Scheme, North, South and Elephant Pass should be controlled by one body for efficient management, maintenance, operation, investigation, tests, studies, etc. Benefits from this scheme cannot be derived, no sooner the construction work is over. Benefits depend entirely on efficient operation and manipulation of gates, maintenance, good understanding and proper co ordination of personnel manning Elephant Pass and internal lagoons, processing of test results, initiating action for necessary investigations on results of tests, etc. Efficient control by one body with definite instructions regarding the manipulation of gates of the barrages, regulators in the Link Canal and flood bunds with regards to tide levels, heavy rains and water levels in the lagoon is a must if any benefits are to be derived. A standing Order should be issued and follow up action is important. These are more important especially in the transition period when the salinity of the water in lagoon is approaching a limit below which the water can be considered as fresh for irrigation and domestic use.

In recent years studies, tests, research, etc. have been carried out for scheme of this nature all over the world and great advances have been achieved. The results and know-how have been incorporated in the Improvements to Jaffna Lagoon Scheme to accelerate the process and derive benefits early.

Urgent and immediate action is a must to tide over the danger of salt water intrusion in wells and to provide the much needed fresh water in the lagoons for cultivation and domestic use.

TABLE XXV

Existing conditions and Proposals

Description	NORTH LAGOON		SOUTH LAGOON		ELEPHANT PASS		LAGOON PROPOSED	
	EXISTING	PROPOSED	EXISTING	PROPOSED	EXISTING	PROPOSED	EXISTING	PROPOSED
1. Catchment area sq.mls.	115		85		363			
2. Water spread sq. mls.	30		10		30			
3. Average Rainfall inches	49.6		49.6		55.5			
4. Net yield ac. ft	56000		41500		91000			
5. Tide levels M.S.L December Maximum December Minimum May Maximum May Minimum	2.68 0.65 1.25 0.9		2.06 0.35 1.5 0.5					
6. Feeder Stream								
7. Bunds			South lagoon saltern separation bund. Length 2M.36 chs. BTL 6 MSL. side slopes 1 on 2	Length 2M 36chs side slopes 1 on 2 1/2 BTL 6.5 MSL	1. Kanagarayan Aru 2. Neththali Aru 3. Piramanthai Aru 4. Theravil Aru	1. Western Bund BTL 6'0" MSL. 2. Eastern (Chundikulam) length 1m 4700; BTL 7.0 MSL	1. Eastern length 1860 BTL 7 MSL. 2. Flank bund length 2400 BTL 7.0 MSL.	
8. Spill	(a) 18 Bays of 20' wide consists of lower & upper gates. They are 20' wide x 4' depth. made of steel. The sill of lower gate is at -3.5 MSL. There is a lap of 6" between the lower and upper gates and the top level of the upper gate is at +4 msl (b) 16 No. subsidiary span consists of wooden gates each 10' wide. Sill level +2.5msl. Crest level +4.0 msl.	(a) 18 Bays of 20' wide. provide new upper wooden gates and attend to arresting leakages by welding the holes in the lower iron gates. Replace all missing parts and put all gates in serviceable condition. (b) 16 no. 10' wide iron gates. Replace all missing parts. Put all gates in working condition	540' long semicircular arc 150' radius comprising of 18 no 5 1/2 x 5' lifting gates and 24 Nos. 5 1/2 x 5' opening controlled by wooden planks, sill level -1 MSL crest level +4 MSL.	540' long repair gates, replace missing parts provide screw operated gates for planked bays. Passerelles to be widened to 2' with hand railings and all gates to be put into working condition. Provide screw operated gates for culverts 196/2 & 196/3 on Kandy-Jaffna road.	1010ft long comprising 119 planked bays each 7' width sill level +3MSL, crest levels of planks +4.5 MSL.	7000 ft. long. 6000 ft. new spill cum causeway with crest at +4MSL. 1010ft. old spill reduce crest level of planks to 4 MSL.		
9. Link Canal						2.5 miles long connecting Elephant Pass and North Lagoon	Recondition canal as per Soil Investigation and provide regulators	

## CHAPTER 5

### PONDS IN JAFFNA PENINSULA

There are no streams and rivers in Jaffna Peninsula due to the flatness of the land and the topography does not permit the construction of reservoirs. Underground storage is the only source of supply water for domestic and agricultural purposes. Jaffna limestone with fissures, cracks and joints and with its porous characteristics permits percolation of rainwater to be stored underground.

There are over thousand ponds scattered all over the peninsula. The capacity of these ponds may be small but the benefit to the people of the area is substantial. Most of these were man-made to conserve the precipitation to provide water for cultivation of small extents around the ponds, drinking water by cows and other domestic animals and to recharge the underground aquifer and thereby raise the levels of water in the wells in the vicinity.

Drainage canals were constructed to direct the flood water from the rains into the ponds with necessary inlet structures. Similarly outlet structures were provided for issuing water to the fields adjoining the ponds. Ponds were provided with perimetral earthen bunds.

The ponds are badly silted due to many years of usage and the capacities are reduced. This results in increase draining of the runoff which would otherwise be stored up in the ponds for direct benefit and to recharge the underground aquifer. The collection of silt has further aggravated the seepage of fresh water into the underground storage.

In the sixties the ponds were said to be functioning satisfactorily. The net work of feeder canals serve to feed the ponds with flood waters and the drainage canals to drain the excess water into the sea, thereby preventing damage due to floods. A major drainage canal is the Valukai Aru basin which interconnects a series of minor drainage canals. Irrigation structures such as sluices, regulators and bunds were provided in this basin for regulation and issue of water for cultivation.

A program to rehabilitate, improve and desilt the ponds will improve the water resource potential of the peninsula. The cost estimate for desilting and other improvements of 892 ponds is Rs. 125,000,000.00 (Vide the report prepared by the University of Jaffna in collaboration with the Government Institutions in Jaffna at the request of the Government Agent of Jaffna.)

The author of this book was in possession of survey plans of 88 ponds with bed contours. They were handed over to Professor A. Thurairajah, Vice Chancellor of the University of Jaffna and will be available in library of the University of Jaffna for any reference. Survey plans of the balance ponds may be available in Survey Department, Colombo.

Annex.4.....a sample study of the ponds carried out gives the pond numbers, pond names, Village, lowest and highest contours related to mean sea level (MSL) datum and corresponding areas in acres, and capacities in acre feet. Sufficient data is not available in the plans to compute capacities of 12 ponds. Total capacity of the balance 76 ponds in 1152 Ac. Ft.

A similar study may be carried out for the other ponds when their survey plans are made available. If they are not available in Jaffna district level, they may be obtained from the Survey Department, Colombo. Such comprehensive study will be very useful to assess the potential of the ponds and to provide substantial data for desilting and other improvements.

## CHAPTER 6

### PROPOSED CHANGE OF VADAMARACHCHI AND UPPARU LAGOONS TO FRESH WATER LAKE AND DEVELOPMENT OF ITS FISHERIES

The lagoon is shallow and its bottom is quite flat. The water is brackish with the highest salinity reached at the end of the dry season and with the lowest during the end of the rainy season.

Fisheries activities are generally limited to areas close to the barrages at the junction of the lagoon and the sea. Fishing is mainly for prawns. There is hardly any fishing activity towards the Centre of the lagoon away from these locations.

It is being stressed by the interested parties that the change to fresh water lake would affect the livelihood of the present fishing community.

In order to understand the effect of the change over to fresh water conditions on the existing fishery it would be helpful to add here a note on the life history of the sea prawns which are at present fished in the Thondamanaru lagoon. Essentially the popular species of sea prawns breed in the sea, the eggs hatch and the larvae float or swim towards the lagoons in their stages and then settle to the bottom, live inside the lagoon and grow up to a preadult stage when they start migrating to the sea to mature and breed to start the cycle all over again. Normally they do not breed within the lagoons.

It is at the late floating larval stage that they enter the Thondamanaru lagoon with the incoming sea water. Therefore the magnitude of the prawn catches within the Thondamanaru lagoon depends on the quantity of sea water entering the lagoon. The lagoon's total prawn catch considering the large area of the lagoon, is very small even at times when there were no spillboard at the barrages. This is because of the very narrow entrances connecting the lagoon and the sea and very low tidal range. In any case it is being feared by the small population that depends for their livelihood probably partly and not fully on this resource that they may lose their income if the mouths of the lagoon are permanently closed and the lagoon be turned into a fresh water lake. Closing of the mouths would prevent entry of the prawn larvae and there would be no prawn catch inside the lagoon.

Prawns, both sea prawns and fresh water prawns are expensive commodities and as a result during the last two decades tremendous technological advances have been made to culture these organisms in man made structures, small and big. It is now a common practice to grow several generation one after the other of both the above varieties within man made structures, without having to depend on natural supplies of prawn larvae. Both varieties are available naturally in Sir Lanka, and without going into a detailed analysis at this stage, it can be said that a hatchery can be operated economically to supply all the required young larvae of the prawns to stock the Thondamanaru lagoon and get a prawn harvest from the entire lagoon which would be several times more than what was obtained from the lagoon recently or at any time before.

The closing of entrances would be beneficial and prevent entry of predators which would feed on the prawns and also help keep salinity levels low which would enhance production levels of sea prawn during early stages of conversion to fresh water conditions. Below a certain salinity level the sea prawn cannot survive.

At this stage the fresh water prawn can be introduced which can tolerate lower salinity levels. After the lagoon is complete fresh water lake the fresh water prawn can continue to be cultured in the lake. It is assumed that the water quality (dissolved salts etc.) would remain within acceptable limits. In addition there are also fish species that can be introduced. These species of fish are available locally. Generally it can be assured that with proper management not only the total catches would be higher than of present or ever before but at the same time employment opportunities would also be proportionately greater if the entrances can be closed and the area is managed rationally.

## CHAPTER 7

### COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE ACTION

#### 1. Investigations, design, proposals etc. carried out 1976

When the project is to be taken up for implementation some of the investigations carried out in 1976 have to be repeated in view of the lapse of considerable time and further investigations to be carried out to update the proposals, designs, estimates etc.

- Barrages at Thondamanaru and Ariyalai, separation bund between Vadamarachchi and Upparu Lagoons, Separation bund between Upparu Lagoon and Ariyalai saltern, and Access Road (5 miles) off Paranthan - Mullaitivu Road to spill cum causeway at Chundikulam at the eastern end of Elephant Pass Lagoon have deteriorated due to Vandal damage and lack of maintenance. The condition of these have to be assessed. It was not possible to do so due to the prevailing situation. Hence the investigation related to the above items identified in Para 3 of Chapter 4 have to be repeated to finalise the proposals.
- Longitudinal and cross sections of the link channel to be taken in view of the lapse of considerable time after the proposals were finalised in 1976.
- In view of the failure of the Eastern closure bund in 1962 careful attention was given for the investigations, design, proposals etc. for EPL.

#### 2. HYDROLOGY- EPL

Catchment area of EPL is 363 square miles. Kanagarayan Aru is the main and major one feeding EPL. This comprises about 75.0 percent of the entire catchment area.

#### 3. Hydraulics of Chandikulam spill - EPL

Sand bar would have breached before EPL commences spilling, due to the water draining into the lagoon between the spill and the sand bar. While investigations were carried out in 1976 discussion with the villagers for collecting data for the proposals, revealed that just prior to the failure of the closure bund in 1962, there was sufficient water between the spill and sand bar, before the spill commenced spilling, to enable them to cut a pilot channel in the sand bar to facilitate breaching of same. In addition, considering the spill crest level, high tide level and site conditions, clear overall condition assumed in the design is valid at site.

#### 4. Upstream submergence- EPL:

Investigations were carried out in 1976 to identify areas susceptible for U.S. submergence. The areas in doubt were Kanagarayan estuary and the Kandy - Jaffna road, (a stretch south of Elephant Pass Causeway up to the saltern office entrance), Investigations revealed that these areas will not be submerged. There were no paddy lands susceptible for submergence.

5. **Evaporation Losses - EPL**

There will not be any water in the Lagoon (EPL) during major part of heavy evaporation period. There will be some evaporation during the period when there is water in the lagoon as in all reservoirs. EPL being shallow the evaporation loss will be relatively higher than in other normal reservoirs, but substantial amount will be available for utilization. We have to make use of what is available without abandoning the limited resources to achieve the objective.

6. **Structural design of Chandikulam Spill and Embankment - EPL**

In view of the failure of eastern closure bund in 1962, elaborate investigation and study, including subsoil conditions were carried out in 1976 and the spill and embankment were designed to cater for the site conditions. (Vide drg no. SLW/186-1)

The soils and materials laboratory of the Irrigation Department, did the studies and tests and the designs were based on the data and suggestions provided by the laboratory.

7. **Spill cum causeway - EPL**

The main function is to discharge the flood run off and the use as a causeway in secondary. However guard stones are provided in the estimate, Vide annex 3 for convenience of the pedestrians and vehicles, specially when the water level is fairly full - 4.00 MSL.

8. **Link channel - EPL to VL**

The channel is designed for 1 on 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> side slope and found to be adequate as per tests and studies carried out in the soils and materials laboratory of the Irrigation Department.

9. **Monitoring of ground water conditions**

Drilling of deep bore holes on a grid system is necessary for monitoring of ground water resources, seasonal and long term movement of the saline water front along the coast, and the fluctuation of the interface and to assess the actual storage. Proper study of ground water conditions could only be well established by way of deep bore hole investigations, than with the aid of shallow wells.

10. **Ponds in Jaffna Peninsula**

Contour Survey to be carried out for all the ponds for which plans are not available. These plans are necessary to compute the capacity, data for desilting and other improvements.

A program to desilt and improve the ponds to be prepared and implemented in stages. This will facilitate to utilise the precipitation to the maximum.

11. **Proposed change to fresh water lake and development of its Fisheries:**

Fresh water prawns are cultured in an extensive way in the country and substantial data is available. It is suggested to carry out further studies to incorporate culturing of fresh water prawns in this project. A pilot scheme to rear fresh water prawns

may be set up midway between the two barrages at Thondamanaru and Ariyalai, where the effect of sea water intrusion will be lowest at present. The larval forms of fresh water prawns are available locally. This will go a great way in removing the fear that change to fresh water lake would effect the livelihood of the present fishing community.

## **12. Recharging of the underground storage**

The porous characteristics of Jaffna Lime stone with joints, cracks, and fissures permit the percolation of rain water to be stored underground. The rate of percolation along the bed of the lagoons may be less than that through the lime stone strata in other areas of the Peninsula, due to silt deposits, subsoil investigation along the lagoon bed will reveal the degree of compaction and the rate of percolation. If it is necessary recharge wells may be constructed at suitable locations to increase the recharge of the aquifer. This method is being adopted in Israel and found to be effective. Water resources available for the Peninsula is limited. Hence best use must be made of the available resources and suitable techniques adopted to overcome any constraints and problems.

## **13. General:**

Discussion with the Villagers in Chundikulam in 1976 revealed that they used to send their cattle to the mainland in the absence of pasture land in their area. But during the short period before the failure of the closure bund there was sufficient grass along the fringe of the lagoon in this area for cattle to feed on. This was a substantial help and benefit to the villagers.

- During the investigations 1976, it was observed that grape wines were cultivated on a stretch of land north of the link channel (Partly constructed). Stagnant water in the channel was used for irrigation. (Lift).

Food and Agricultural Organization of the UNO (FAO) did prefeasibility studies in 1984 on irrigating coconut trees at the request of Coconut Cultivation Board / Ministry. The request was made because of the drought experienced in early eighties and the drop in the yield. The studies revealed that rainfall had a positive effect on the yield. The prefeasibility report suggested number of pilot projects in the country providing irrigation systems from ground and surface water (lift and gravity). The author was a member of the FAO team and handled the irrigation system. Water in the link channel and upper reaches of Vadamarachchi Lagoon will have beneficial effect on the yield of Coconut trees in the area.

The benefits from the anticipated fresh water resources created by this project are numerous. There are two ways of approaching a project. One is how to implement the project and the other is how not to implement. The first one has to be followed early as the time is running short.

The latent benefits will be an additional contribution to the efficiency of the Project. Some of these cannot be quantified in computing the efficiency of the project but have to bear in mind. Basic principles, requirements, and water resources have been carefully looked into but improvements, amendments etc. in details are always bound to arise when updating the proposals and as implementation progresses.

I am not sure when the auspicious time will come for implementation, but it must come before a critical stage is reached, when most of the area will be faced with shortage of fresh water for domestic use and agricultural purpose.



I have been trying my best to get this project implemented while working in the Irrigation Department and even after I retired from the Irrigation Department in 1980, through other sources. I have failed in my endeavour. Hence, I am documenting all the available data relating to the project - historical, problems, requirements, proposals, designs, construction drawings, cost estimates, economic analysis etc. in this book with the hope that it will serve as a guideline for the future planners and development authorities to the benefit of the people of the area.



**TABLE I****ANNEX: 1****OBSERVATION WELLS****PACHILLAI PALI - D.R.O's DIVISION (16 WELLS)**

Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location
1.	Chundikulam	6.	Muhavil	11.	Kilaley
2.	Mulliyar	7.	Soran Puttu	12.	Kilaley
3.	Mulliyar	8.	Ittavil	13.	Muhamalai.
4.	Koil Vayal	9.	Puloppallai	14.	Muhamalai.
5.	Koil Vayal	10.	Puloppallai	15.	Chempian Pattu
				16.	Maruthankerni

**THENMARACHCHY - D.R.O's DIVISION (44 WELLS)**

Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location
17.	Eluthumaduvil	32.	Iyattalai, Sarasalai	47.	Thanan Kilappu
18.	Eluthumaduvil	33.	Manthuvil	48.	Madduvil North
19.	Usan	34.	Kodigammam	49.	Meesalai South
20.	Mirusuvil	35.	Meesalai North	50.	Meesalai South
21.	Mirusuvil	36.	Manthuvil	51.	Chavakachcheri
22.	Usan	37.	Meesalai North	52.	Kachchai North
23.	Kodigamam	38.	Iyattalai, Sarasalai	53.	Kachchai North
24.	Navat Kadu	39.	Madduvil North	54.	Madduvil Nunavil
25.	Varany North	40.	Kaithady	55.	Chavakachcheri
26.	Varany North	41.	Kaithady	56.	Chavakachcheri South
27.	Idaikurichy	42.	Koilakandy	57.	Chavakachcheri South
28.	Idaikurichy	43.	Koilakandy	58.	Nunavil
29.	Varany, Thavalai	44.	Maravan Pulam	59.	Nunavil
30.	Varany, Thuvalai	45.	Maravan Pulam	60.	Madduvil Nunavil
31.	Navat Kadu	46.	Thanan Kilappu		

**VADAMARACHCHI - D.R.O.'s DIVISION (100 WELLS)**

Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location
61.	Nagarkovil	72.	Ampan	83.	Point Pedro
62.	Nagarkovil	73.	Thunnalai North	84.	Alavai North
63.	Nagarkovil	74.	Thumpalai	85.	Puloly West
64.	Nagarkovil	75.	Kalkovilam	86.	Puloly West
65.	Kudathanai Karaiyoor	76.	Kalkovilam	87.	Puloly East
66.	Kudathanai Karaiyoor	77.	Kalkovilam	88.	Puloly East
67.	Kudathanai Karaiyoor	78.	Thumpalai	89.	Puloly East
68.	Ampan	79.	Thumpalai	90.	Thumpalai
69.	Ampan	80.	Thumpalai	91.	Puloly East
70.	Kudathanai Karaiyoor	81.	Point Pedro	92.	Puloly East
71.	Ampan	82.	Point Pedro	93.	Puloly South

Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location
94.	Puloly South	116.	Karaveddi West	138.	Polikandy
95.	Thunnalai North	117.	Karaveddi East	139.	Polikandy
96.	Thunnalai South	118.	Karaveddi West	140.	Polikandy
97.	Thunnalai North	119.	Karaveddi East	141.	Valvetty
98.	Thunnalai North	120.	Karaveddi East	142.	Udupiddy
99.	Thunnalai South	121.	Alvai West	143.	Valvetty
100.	Alvai North	122.	Karanawai North	144.	Valvetty
101.	Alvai West	123.	Alvai West	145.	Udupiddy
102.	Alvai North	124.	Polikandy	146.	Udupiddy
103.	Alvai South	125.	Karaveddi North	147.	Udupiddy
104.	Puloly West	126.	Karaveddi North	148.	Kerudavil
105.	Alvai North	127.	Karaveddi North	149.	Udupiddy
106.	Puloly West	128.	Karaveddi North	150.	Thonda Manaru
107.	Puloly South	129.	Karaveddi West	151.	Udupiddy
108.	Puloly South	130.	Karanawai North	152.	Kerudavil
109.	Alvai South	131.	Karanawai South	153.	Thonda Manaru
110.	Alvai South	132.	Karanawai North	154.	Thonda Manaru
111.	Alvai South	133.	Karanawai South	155.	Kerudavil
112.	Thunnali South	134.	Karanawai North	156.	Udupiddy
113.	Thunnali South	135.	Karanawai South	157.	Udupiddy
114.	Karaveddi West	136.	Karanawai South	158.	Valvettithurai
115.	Karaveddi West	137.	Karanawai South	159.	Udupiddy
				160.	Valvettithurai

**VALIKAMMAM EAST D.R.O's DIVISION (40 WELLS)**

Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location
161.	Pathaimany	174.	Puttur East	187.	Urumpirai
162.	Pathaimany	175.	Puttur East	188.	Kopay North
163.	Pathaimany	176.	Sirupiddy	189.	Kopay North
164.	Pathaimany	177.	Sirupiddy	190.	Neervely
165.	Atchuvely	178.	Sirupiddy	191.	Kopay South
166.	Atchuvely	179.	Sirupiddy	192.	Kopay North
167.	Atchuvely	180.	Neervely	193.	Kopay South
168.	Atchuvely	181.	Neervely	194.	Kopay South
169.	Puttur West	182.	Neervely	195.	Kopay South
170.	Puttur West	183.	Urumpirai	196.	Kopay South
171.	Puttur East	184.	Urelu	197.	Urumpirai
172.	Puttur East	185.	Urumpirai	198.	Urelu
173.	Puttur West	186.	Urumpirai	199.	Urelu
				200.	Puttur West

**VALIKAMMAM NORTH D.R.O's DIVISION (100 WELLS)**

Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location
201.	Palaly	204.	Palaly	207.	Vasavilan
202.	Palaly	205.	Vasavilan	208.	Vasavilan
203.	Palaly	206.	Vasavilan	209.	Punnalaikadduvan

Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location
210.	Punnalaikadduvan	240.	Myliiddy North	270.	Tellippalai South West
211.	Punnalaikadduvan	241.	Myliiddy North	271.	Tellippalai South West
212.	Punnalaikadduvan	242.	Palaly Veemankamam	272.	Tellippalai South West
213.	Myliiddy East	243.	Palaly Veemankamam	273.	Tellippalai South West
214.	Myliiddy East	244.	Myliiddy North	274.	Tellippalai East
215.	Myliiddy East	245.	Kadduvan	275.	Tellippalai East
216.	Palaly	246.	Myliiddy South	276.	Tellippalai East
217.	Myliiddy South	247.	Tellippalai East	277.	Alaveddy
218.	Myliiddy South	248.	Kadduvan	278.	Alaveddy
219.	Myliiddy South	249.	Kadduvan	279.	Alaveddy
220.	Myliiddy South	250.	Kadduvan	280.	Mallakam
221.	Vasavilan	251.	Tellippalai East	281.	Mallakam
222.	Kadduvan	252.	Kankesanthurai	282.	Alaveddy
223.	Kuppilan	253.	Kankesanthurai	283.	Mallakam
224.	Kuppilan	254.	Kankesanthurai	284.	Mallakam
225.	Punnalia Kadduvan	255.	Kankesanthurai	285.	Mallakam
226.	Kuppilan	256.	Palaly Veemankamam	286.	Chunnakam
227.	Kuppilan	257.	Palaly Veemankamam	287.	Chunnakam
228.	Kuppilan	258.	Maviddapuram	288.	Chunnakam
229.	Earlalai	259.	Palaly Veemankamam	289.	Uduvil
230.	Earlalai	260.	Maviddapuram	290.	Uduvil
231.	Earlalai	261.	Tellippalai North West	291.	Uduvil
232.	Earlalai	262.	Maviddapuram	292.	Chunnakam
233.	Earlalai	263.	Tellippalai North West	293.	Inuvil
234.	Chunnakam	264.	Tellippalai North West	294.	Inuvil
235.	Myliiddy East	265.	Maviddapuram	295.	Uduvil
236.	Myliiddy East	266.	Maviddapuram	296.	Uduvil
237.	Myliiddy East	267.	Tellippalai South West	297.	Inuvil
238.	Kankesanthurai	268.	Tellippalai North West	298.	Inuvil
239.	Myliiddy North	269.	Tellippalai North West	299.	Inuvil
				300.	Alaveddy

**VALIKAMMAM NORTH D.R.O's DIVISION (100 WELLS)**

Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location
301.	Periyavilan	313.	Chulipuram	325.	Araly South East
302.	Periyavilan	314.	Chulipuram	326.	Araly South East
303.	Sandilipay	315.	Chankanai West	327.	Araly North West
304.	Mathagal	316.	Chankanai East	328.	Manipay
305.	Mathagal	317.	Vaddu East	329.	Navaly
306.	Pandiatharippu	318.	Moolai	330.	Manipay
307.	Pandiatharippu	319.	Moolai	331.	Suthumalai
308.	Chankanai West	320.	Vaddukoddai West	332.	Suthumalai
309.	Chankanai East	321.	Vaddu East	333.	Anaikoddai
310.	Sandilipay	322.	Vaddukoddai West	334.	Annaikkoddai
311.	Chulipuram	323.	Araly North West	335.	Navaly
312.	Chulipuram	324.	Araly North West	336.	Navaly

**ISLAND'S D.R.O's DIVISIONS (32 WELLS)**

Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location
337.	Karainagar North	348.	Naranthanai	359.	Eluvativu
338.	Karainagar North	349.	Saravanai	360.	Eluvativu
339.	Karainagar West	350.	Saravanai	361.	Analativu
340.	Karainagar East	351.	Velanai West	362.	Analativu
341.	Karainagar West	352.	Velanai West	363.	Nainativu
342.	Karainagar East	353.	Velanai East	364.	Nainativu
343.	Kayts	354.	Velanai East	365.	Pungudutivu East
344.	Kayts	355.	Allaipiddy	366.	Pungudutivu West
345.	Naranthanai	356.	Allaipiddy	367.	Pungudutivu West
346.	Karampan	357.	Manditivu	368.	Pungudutivu East
347.	Karampan	358.	Manditivu		

**JAFFNA D.R.O's DIVISION (36 WELLS)**

Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location	Well No.	Location
369.	Kondavil	383.	Vannarponnai S.W.	397.	Gurunagar
370.	Kondavil	384.	Vannarponnai S.W.	398.	Gurunagar
371.	Kokuvil	385.	Vannarponnai S.W.	399.	Colombuthurai
372.	Vannarponnai N.W.	386.	Vannarponnai N.E.	400.	Chiviyatheru
373.	Vannarponnai N.W.	387.	Vannarponnai N.E.	401.	Chiviyatheru
374.	Kondavil	388.	Thiruvelveli	402.	Colombuthurai
375.	Kokuvil	389.	Nallur	403.	Colombuthurai
376.	Thiruvelveli	390.	Chiviyatheru	404.	Kokuvil
377.	Thiruvelveli	391.	Vannarponnai S.W.	405.	Delft
378.	Vannarponnai N.E.	392.	Vannarponnai S.W.	406.	Delft
379.	Kokuvil	393.	Nallur	407.	Delft
380.	Vannarponnai S.W.	394.	Nallur	408.	Delft
381.	Moor Street	395.	Chiviyatheru	409.	Delft
382.	Moor Street	396.	Gurunagar	410.	Delft

**TABLE II**  
**DATA OF SOME SELECTED WELLS**  
**WATER IN THE WELL (in feet)**

D.R.O.'s Division Village	Well No.	1965 - 1966		1966 - 1967		1967 - 1968	
		1-8-65	1-1-66	1-8-66	1-1-67	1-8-67	1-1-68
Koil Vayal	5	1.42	11.98	4.42	6.67	2.67	12.67
Ittivil	8	-	-	5.00	7.94	3.06	10.11
Puloppallai	9	1.00	-	3.04	4.83	0.65	9.67
Maruthankerni	16	1.01	3.43	1.01	2.64	1.60	3.35
Eluthumaduvil	17	2.41	9.37	2.37	6.20	1.87	9.66
Varny, Thavalai	30	2.08	7.29	2.38	6.60	2.15	7.33
Kodigammam	34	0.92	6.75	0.88	4.12	1.17	6.67
Iyattalai, Sarasalai	38	3.25	6.37	3.04	5.54	3.23	6.25
Kaithady	40	-	5.50	3.75	4.50	4.75	5.42
Koilkandy	42	2.87	5.95	2.87	5.33	2.99	5.66
Maravan Pulam	45	2.25	7.42	2.17	6.67	1.96	7.25
Kachchai North	53	3.50	11.92	4.56	10.17	6.67	13.09
Nagarkovil	61	1.32	4.84	1.17	3.75	1.04	4.58
Kudathannai K'yoor	70	0.42	3.09	0.51	1.63	0.58	3.16
Thumpalai	80	5.67	8.33	5.25	7.25	5.31	8.00
Alvai North	100	0.08	3.33	0.08	1.58	0.08	1.83
Udupiddy	146	3.29	4.46	3.21	4.71	3.15	4.46
Kerudavil	155	2.75	5.33	2.66	4.66	2.58	4.91
Pathaimany	163	-	3.71	0.96	3.04	1.13	3.25
Puttur East	174	-	6.92	3.75	7.42	5.42	7.04
Neervely	181	-	6.75	3.83	6.03	3.95	6.33
Urumpirai	187	-	2.13	2.60	3.13	1.43	3.47
Vasavilan	205	2.00	4.58	1.78	3.96	1.92	4.08
Vasavilan	208	3.09	5.17	2.80	5.29	2.81	3.66
Myliddy East	214	1.08	1.83	7.84	3.75	1.54	3.92
Chunnakam	234	4.89	8.14	4.81	7.64	5.33	5.58
Tellippalai East	247	0.84	2.17	1.38	4.38	2.38	4.63
Pandaitharippu	307	3.40	7.57	3.09	6.42	3.84	7.61
Chulipuram	314	3.08	7.54	2.92	6.67	2.92	8.00
Araly North West	327	1.00	5.92	1.00	5.42	1.33	6.00
Manipay	328	5.63	11.67	5.42	4.50	5.00	13.08
Karainagar North	337	1.58	8.16	2.16	8.08	3.91	7.83
Karainagar East	340	0.17	1.63	3.17	9.67	6.12	10.38
Kayts	343	1.11	7.55	1.26	6.72	2.01	8.30
Saravanai	349	3.40	10.07	3.57	9.45	1.55	11.67
Analativu	361	0.54	2.79	0.49	2.75	0.67	2.83
Pungudutivu West	367	5.38	10.50	5.92	9.17	4.17	9.67
Thiruvelevi	377	-	8.33	4.75	5.65	4.92	8.08
Delft	408	-	-	-	6.85	0.39	6.48
Delft	410	-	-	1.93	5.09	1.12	2.68

**TABLE III**  
**DATA OF SOME SELECTED WELLS**  
**ELEVATION OF WATER SURFACE IN THE WELL - M.S.L.**  
**DATUM**

D.R.O's Division Village	Well No.	1965 - 1966		1966 - 1967		1967 - 1968	
		1-8-65	1-1-66	1-8-66	1-1-67	1-8-67	1-1-68
Koil Vayal	5	1.27	11.83	4.27	6.52	2.52	12.52
Ittavil	8	-	-	3.49	6.43	1.55	8.60
Puloppallai	9	-1.20	-	0.84	2.63	-1.55	7.47
Maruthankerni	16	0.92	3.34	0.92	2.55	1.51	3.26
Eluthumaduvil	17	0.08	7.04	0.04	3.87	-0.46	7.33
Varny, Thavalai	30	-1.38	3.83	-1.08	3.14	-1.31	3.87
Kodigammam	34	-0.93	4.90	-0.97	2.27	-0.68	4.82
Iyattalai, Sarasalai	38	0.32	3.44	0.11	2.61	0.28	3.32
Kaithady	40	-	2.83	1.08	1.83	2.08	2.75
Koilkandy	42	-0.26	2.82	-0.26	2.20	-0.14	2.53
Maravan Pulam	45	-1.95	3.22	-2.03	2.47	-2.24	3.05
Kachchai North	53	-2.03	6.39	0.97	4.64	1.14	7.56
Nagarkovil	61	4.06	7.58	3.91	6.49	3.78	7.62
Kudathannai K'voor	70	-0.20	2.47	0.11	1.01	0.04	2.54
Thumpalai	80	2.17	4.83	1.75	3.75	1.81	4.50
Alvai North	100	0.00	3.25	0.00	1.50	0.00	1.75
Udupiddy	146	0.94	2.11	0.86	1.36	0.77	2.11
Kerudavil	155	0.27	2.86	0.19	2.19	0.11	2.44
Pathaimany	163	-	2.80	0.05	2.13	0.22	2.34
Puttur East	174	-	3.40	0.23	3.90	1.90	3.52
Neervely	181	-	3.16	0.24	2.44	0.33	2.74
Urumpirai	187	-	1.86	0.53	2.86	1.16	3.20
Vasavilan	205	-0.60	1.98	-0.82	1.36	-0.68	1.48
Vasavilan	208	-0.22	1.86	-0.51	1.98	-0.47	0.35
Myliddy East	214	-3.64	-2.89	3.12	-0.97	-3.18	-0.80
Chunnakam	234	0.16	3.41	0.08	2.91	0.60	0.85
Tellippalai East	247	0.70	2.03	0.14	2.86	0.86	3.11
Pandaitharippu	307	0.13	4.30	-0.18	3.15	0.57	4.34
Chulipuram	314	0.22	4.68	0.06	3.81	0.06	5.14
Araly North West	327	-1.14	3.78	-1.14	3.28	-0.81	3.86
Manipay	328	0.42	5.62	-0.63	4.45	-1.05	7.03
Karainagar North	337	-2.68	3.90	-2.10	3.82	-0.35	3.57
Karainagar East	340	-5.90	-4.44	-2.90	3.60	0.05	4.31
Kayts	343	-2.02	4.43	-1.86	3.60	-1.11	5.18
Saravanai	349	-1.24	5.43	-1.07	4.85	-3.09	6.43
Analativu	361	1.21	3.46	1.16	3.42	1.34	3.50
Pungudutivu West	367	0.64	5.76	1.18	4.43	-0.57	4.93
Thiruvaveli	377	-	3.90	0.32	1.22	-0.49	3.65
Delft	408	-	-	-	5.01	-1.45	4.64
Delft	410	-	-	0.65	3.81	-0.16	1.40



**TABLE IV**  
**DATA OF SOME SELECTED WELLS**  
**DATA OF SALINITY FROM SAMPLE OF WATER FOUND AT BOTTOM**  
**OF WELL (CHLORIDE IONS IN PARTS PER MILLION)**

D.R.O.'s Division Village	Well No.	1965 - 1966		1966 - 1967		1967 - 1968	
		1-8-65	1-1-66	1-8-66	1-1-67	1-8-67	1-1-68
Koil Vayal	5	-	-	90	75	170	160
Ittivil	8	-	-	40	55	35	25
Puloppallai	9	-	-	110	105	115	340
Maruthankerni	16	-	-	45	25	25	30
Eluthumaduvil	17	-	-	200	210	90	110
Varny, Thavalai	30	-	-	7750	225	760	330
Kodigammam	34	-	-	125	90	150	20
Iyattalai, Sarasalai	38	-	-	975	890	930	1010
Kaithady	40	-	-	250	175	350	90
Koilkandy	42	-	-	3175	1905	1880	1590
Maravan Pulam	45	-	-	1625	172.5	1540	1300
Kachchai North	53	-	-	62.5	37.5	20	40
Nagarkovil	61	-	-	403	60	50	15
Kudathannai K'yoor	70	-	-	65	110	20	20
Thumpalai	80	-	-	2362.5	2225	2590	980
Alvai North	100	-	-	1878	2075	2150	3060
Udupiddy	146	-	-	410	750	1130	580
Kerudavil	155	-	-	180	150	210	70
Pathaimany	163	-	-	1850	1520	1930	1560
Puttur East	174	-	-	850	625	870	650
Neervely	181	-	-	470	250	490	420
Urumpirai	187	-	-	110	137.5	195	270
Vasavilan	205	-	-	75	125	75	200
Vasavilan	208	-	-	-	175	190	275
Myliddy East	214	-	-	120	87.5	120	60
Chunnakam	234	-	-	75	112.5	70	150
Tellippalai East	247	-	-	5.33	200	190	275
Pandaitharippu	307	-	-	-	200	200	510
Chulipuram	314	-	-	275	50	290	30
Araly North West	327	-	-	1650	7000	5850	2420
Manipay	328	-	-	425	375	450	430
Karainagar North	337	-	-	-	280	450	220
Karainagar East	340	-	-	-	510	650	310
Kayts	343	-	-	6037	2825	5625	1800
Saravanai	349	-	-	1175	700	1075	675
Analativu	361	-	-	1850	125	2000	125
Pungudutivu West	367	-	-	2210	700	2200	600
Thiruvaveli	377	-	-	300	975	300	1150
Delft	408	-	-	-	8140	15200	3850
Delft	410	-	-	477.1	145	430	170

1

TABLE XXIII

ANNEX: 2

Chemical Analysis for chlorides of Water Samples taken at different points in Thondamannor - Upparu and Elephant Pass Lagoons.

Note: T = Thondamannaru, U = Upparu, E = Elephant Pass  
Locations are shown in fig II.

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
T1	1969												5000
	1970	1980	2860	17860	18840	24925	19900	22175	19800	19725	19825	17780	2570
	1971	3905	6735	16720	19275	20000	18925	19250		16200	19620	15500	2050
	1972	4350	13880	12020	18540	15125	18985	21050	21000	25000	21750	9200	4330
	1973	3500	9410			45350	22900	20650	15250				
	1974	2950	7300	15785		22400			24800				
	1975							40570				23600	
	22nd												
	29th												
	1976												
	5th	3160											1480
	12th	2580											5360
	19th	3990											
	26th	5300											
	2nd			6990									
	9th			5720									
	10th			10380									

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
T2	1969												4650
	1970	1420	2800	13825	18700	19525	18875	19775	13400	22700	24900	17580	2190
	1971	3040	5335	11610		18675	18720	19610	4030	19150	15760	15480	1780
	1972	3100	6540	9000	20320	16250	22430	26000	37500	28750	22500	8200	3750
	1973	3140	5480	18830	23100	30810	13400	29725	15720				
	1974	2750	6300	7650	10250	13300			23600				
	1975	2760		21700	30875			51870					
	22nd												
	29th												
	1976												
	5th	2220											1720
	12th	2090											1810
	19th	3020											
	26th	3540											
	2nd			3850									
	9th			4800									
	10th			4700									

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	
T3	1969												2640	
	1970	1580	1580	1600	4500	4530	5125	5070	13000	8350	dry	770	770	
	1971	990	2770	2770	3875	4150	5230	8330	dry	dry	dry	7350	260	
	1972	570	1860	3030	4828	3880	11200	8550	dry	8750	6250	2600	1130	
	1973	1110	2720	5460	6380	18650	7100	6425	dry	dry				
	1974	1225	3375	4200	5580	5600					9200	7600		
	1975	4480		9300	9600									
	22nd													490
	29th	-												860
	1976													
	5th													
	12th	1480												
	19th	1810												
	26th	2030												
	2nd			2230										
	9th			2660										
	10th			2480										

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	
T4	1969												1440	
	1970	1140	1130	1130	3620	3600	3025	3130	10225	13000	dry	1220	560	
	1971	1260	1720	2140	2775	3150	5560	dry	dry	dry	dry	3490	190	
	1972	990	1430	2100	4360	3120	11080		dry	dry	9750	2500	1310	
	1973	1110	2150	6820	dry	13000	6775	1105	dry	dry				
	1974	1175	2600	4675	4900	5300					8600	6200		
	1975	3960		6700	14375									
	22nd													460
	29th													860
	1976													
	5th													
	12th	1280												
	19th	1460												
	26th	1520												
	2nd			1580										
	9th			1760										
	10th			1760										

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
T5	1969												
	1970	1100	1370	2660	4480	6000	6750	9130	12375	1630	18610	7525	3840
	1971	2325	2835	3360		38550	9430	9100	dry	25375	28250	11030	3290
	1972	2910	3980	5760	7900	6250	8980	11900	15000	24250	37500	5800	565
	1973	3560	5220										44450
	1974		2850	2850	5810	6200							
	1975	4620		12680	17300								
	22nd							50420					
	29th												
	1976												
	5th	3480											
	12th	2310											
	19th	3880											
	26th	4040											
	2nd			4060									
	9th			4560									

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
U1	1969												
	1970												
	1971												
	1972												
	1973	3220	5360				45350	52550		50000			
	1974		5690	7300									
	1975	16700		20025	38450							13800	
	22nd												1810
	29th												1600
	1976												
	5th	1660											
	12th	1820											
	19th	2220											
	26th	2560											
	2nd			2700									
	9th			3180									
10th			3220										

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	
U2	1969												2540	
	1970	1460	2670	3810	6350	13725	11325	18825	110600	74500	dry	3075	890	
	1971	2355	2780	2910	-	14300	19510	dry	dry	dry	dry	7000	450	
	1972	2195	-	5360	13880	10880	17750	72325	dry	dry	10750	2600	2940	
	1973	4300	5700				101800							
	1974		6700											
	1975													
	22nd													1440
	29th	-												
	1976													
	5th	1790												
	12th	1840												
	19th	2280												
	26th	2600												
	2nd		2740											
9th		3200												
10th		3120												

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	
U3	1969												3230	
	1970	1590	2140	3170	6425	7825	6175	9400	15600	-	dry	3025	830	
	1971	2590	2575	2350	7300	6825	15300	dry	dry	dry	dry	4850	475	
	1972	2390	-	5400	11710	4930	8010	18075	dry	dry	6250	-	3320	
	1973	3180	4710											
	1974			6275	7100	7260						8400		
	1975			8750	11250									
	22nd													440
	29th													1980
	1976													
	5th	2060												
	12th	1930												
	19th	2380												
	26th	2600												
	2nd		2950											
9th		3420												
10th		3120												

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
U4	1969												
	1970	1890	2720	1640	2525	8425	7500	11325	-	-	dry	3600	3940
	1971	3530	4200	5550	7075	8025	13550	dry	dry	dry	8760	750	
	1972	-	5100	6250	10400	7775	12580	28625	dry	dry	dry	390	
	1973												3800
	1974		1620	6100	6400	6680						6650	
	1975	3300		9650	12850								
	22nd												
	29th												1270
	1976												
	5th	1940											
	12th	2080											
	19th	2710											
	26th	3200											
	2nd			3460									
9th			4270										
10th			4170										

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
E1	1969												
	1970	110	810	1570	2470	2800	2925	5500	9800	6500	6800	7250	1015
	1971	635	1035	1800	4075	4225	10800	9320	dry	dry	dry	6150	860
	1972	1410	2280	3150	7100	5720	16380	3125	dry	22500	50000	2450	1720
	1973	1420	2680										
	1974				5630	12600						6650	
	1975	9660		10600	15375			85400					
	22nd												
	29th												490
	1976												710
	5th	110											
	12th	1560											
	19th	2110											
	26th	1790											
	2nd			2040									
9th			2290										
10th			4170										

Spot	Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
E2	1969												
	1970												
	1971												
	1972												
	1973												
	1974												
	1975												
	22nd	-											
	29th												
	1976												
	5th												
	12th	120											
	19th	6480											
	26th	16560											
2nd			17100										
9th			18800										

**Annex 3.**

**COST ESTIMATES - (1991 RATES)**

The present condition of the barrages at Thondamannar and Ariyalai, Separation bunds and access roads have deteriorated due to vandal damage and lack of maintenance. It is not possible to assess the damage under prevailing condition. Hence tentative amounts have been allowed for sub-items 1 to 5 of item I.

**Item I: Improvements to barrages, bunds and access road**

S.I	Description	Amount
1	Reconditioning of the barrage at Thondarmannar Vadamarachchi (North) Lagoon	
2	Recondition of the barrage at Ariyalai Upparu (South) Lagoon	
3	Seperation bund between North & South Lagoons	
4	Seperation bund between South Lagoon & Ariyalai saltern	
5	Access road to spill cum causeway at Chundikulam at eastern end of Elephant Pass Lagoon off Paranthan - Mullaitivu road (5 miles)	
	Total of sub items 1,2,3,4 and 5	Rs. 80,000,000.00

**Item II:- Spill cum Causeway & Flank Bunds at Chundikulam - Elephant Pass Lagoon**

6	Spill cum Causeway	Rs. 57,000,000.00
7	Zoned Embankment	Rs. 35,000,000.00
8	Flanked Bund with gravel road surface	Rs. 265,000.00
	Sub- Total	Rs. <u>92,265,000.00</u>

**Item III:- Link Canal and Structures**

9	Regulator cum Causeway at 0 M.27 Ch.	Rs. 1,000,000.00
10	Earth excavation of Link Canal, forming bund & gravelling roadway	Rs. 40,000,000.00
11	Causeway at 2 M.03 Ch.	Rs. 510,000.00
	Sub- Total	Rs. <u>41,510,000.00</u>



Item IV:- General

12	Camp & Other Facilities	Rs. 20,000,000.00
13	Administration, Supervision, quality control etc.	Rs. 25,000,000.00
14	Contingencies	Rs. 21,225,000.00
	<b>Sub- Total</b>	<b>Rs. <u>66,225,000.00</u></b>

Summary

Item I.....	=	Rs. 80,000,000.00
Item II.....	=	Rs. 92,265,000.00
Item III.....	=	Rs. 41,510,000.00
Item IV.....	=	Rs. <u>66,225,000.00</u>
		<u>280,000,000.00</u>

Note

- a) This estimate should be revised as per prevailing rates at the time of finalising the proposals for implementation.
- b) This estimate does not include:-
  - (i) Pilot scheme to rear fresh water prawns.
  - (ii) Desilting and improving the ponds in Jaffna Peninsula .
- c) As per 1995 rates the above tentative estimate may be about (Rupees Three hundred and fifty million). Rs. 350,000,000.00

**ESTIMATE OF EXPENSES NECESSARY TO BE INCURED FOR ITEM II,  
S.I.6 - CONSTRUCTION OF SPILL CUM CAUSEWAY AT CHUNDIKULAM  
- ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON**

	SII	Qty	Unit	Item	Rate Rs	Amount Rs
This estimate shall provide for all labour and materials necessary for the construction of spill cum causeway, zoned embankment and road a long Chundikulam bund of E.P.L.	1	9.70	acres	Site clearing and grubbing	5,000.00	48,500.00
	2	Item	allow	Repairs and modifications to existing 1000 ft. spill cum causeway	sum	1,500,000.00
	3	Item	allow	Construction of cofferdam and dealing with water	sum	5,000,000.00
	4	190.00	cubes	Earth excavation in foundation	200.00	38,000.00
	5	2,940.00	cubes	1:3:6(1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> ") cement concrete in foundation and superstructure 20 miles metal transport	7,500.00	22,050,000.00
	6	4,620.00	cubes	Placing and compacting backfill around structure	80.00	369,600.00
	7	600.00	cubes	Rubble pitching for apron using 6" - 9" rubble 1:5 cement mortar (20 miles transport of rubble)	4,500.00	2700,000.00
	8	6,500.00	cubes	Furnishing, placing and compacting 6" - 7" rubble in base course (20 miles transport of metal)	2700.00	17,550,000.00
	9	555.00	cubes	Furnishing, placing and compacting 2" metal in surface course (20 miles transport of metal)	3000.00	1,665,000.00
	10	1,110.00	sqrs,	Furnishing and placing first coat of bitumen wearing surface including blinding with sand	585.00	649,350.00
	11	1,110.00	sqrs,	Furnishing and placing second coat bitumen wearing surface	400.00	444,000.00
	12	600.00	nos.	Furnishing and placing 1ft height guard stone at 20 ft. c/c on both sides	150.00	90,000.00
	13	Item	allow	Contingencies	sum	<u>4,895,550.00</u>
				Total	<u>57,000,000.00</u>	

**ITEM II - S.I.7 - ZONED EMBANKMENT  
- SPILL CUM CAUSEWAY AT CHUNDIKULAM - EPL.**

	SII	Qty	Unit	Item	Rate Rs	Amount Rs
This estimate shall provide for all labour and materials necessary for the construction of zoned embankment spill cum causeway of Chundikulam E.P.L	1	37,800.00	cubes	Earth excavation in core trenches	250.00	9,450,000.00
	2	Item	allow	Sheet piling and dealing with water	sum	5,000,000.00
	3	26,000.00	cubes	Earth excavation from borrow for fill materials in embankment Haul 3 miles	500.00	13,000,000.00
	4	3,500.00	cubes	Clay excavation from borrow for clay core formation - Haul 5 miles	625.00	2,187,500.00
	5	26,000.00	cubes	Placing and compacting fill materials in embankment	80.00	2,080,000.00
	6	3,500.00	cubes	Placing and compacting clay in clay core by sheep foot roller	105.00	367,500.00
	7	300.00	cubes	Furnishing , planting and watering protective vegetation	155.00	46,500.00
	8	Item	allow	Contingencies	sum	<u>2,868,500.00</u>
				Total		35,000,000.00

**ITEM II - S.I.8 FLANK BUND WITH GRAVEL ROAD SURFACE,  
(0 - 14.2 chs. and 1M.50.8 chs. - 2M)**

	SII	Qty	Unit	Item	Rate Rs	Amount Rs
This estimate shall provide for all labour and materials necessary for the construction of flank bund of Chundikulam E.P.L	1	0.80	acres	Site clearing and grubbing	5,000.00	4,000.00
	2	item	allow	Preparation of bund top surface and compacting same to receive gravel surface	sum	9,000.00
	3	33.00	cubes	Furnishing, placing and compacting 12" in. thick layer of gravel wearing surface-haul 5 miles	700.00	23,100.00
	4	1,300.00	sqrs.	Furnishing, planting and watering protective vegetation turfing - Haul 3/4 mile	155.00	201,500.00
	5	item	allow	Contingencies	sum	<u>27,400.00</u>
				Total		265,000.00

**ESTIMATE OF EXPENSES NECESSARY TO BE INCURED FOR ITEM III-  
S.I.9. REGULATOR CUM CAUSEWAY ALONG LINK CANAL AT 0M.27 chs.**

This estimate shall provide for all labour and materials necessary for the construction of link canal and structures	SII	Qty	Unit	Item	Rate Rs	Amount Rs
	1	item	allow	Site clearing and grubbing	Sum	2,000.00
2	item	allow	Demolishing U/S and D/S Existing wing wall and ramp retaining walls and scorification of ramp surfaces	Sum	7,000.00	
3	270.00	cubes	Earth excavation in foundation	200.00	54,000.00	
4	29.00	cubes	1:3:6(1 $\frac{1}{2}$ ") cement concrete in foundation and super-structure (transport of metal 20mls.)	7,500.00	217,500.00	
5	32.00	cubes	6"-9" rubble pitching in 1:5 cement mortar Transport of rubble 20 miles	4,500.00	144,000.00	
6	115.00	cubes	Placing and compacting backfill around structures	80.00	9,200.00	
7	6.00	nos.	Furnishing and installing 6'0"x 3'0" x 0' 3" wooden gates complete with cast iron i steel spindle and handle etc.	80,000.00	480,000.00	
8	34.00	nos.	Furnishing and placing guard stone on both sides 1'0" ht.	150.00	5,100.00	
9	item	allow	Contingencies	sum	<u>81,200.00</u>	
				Total	1,000,000.00	

**ITEM III - S.I.10 - EXCAVATION OF LINK CANAL FORMING BUND AND GRAVELLING**

	SII	Qty	Unit	Item	Rate Rs	Amount Rs
This estimate shall provide for all labour and materials necessary for the construction of link canal	1	73.00	acres	Clearing and grubbing - Medium jungle	8,000.00	584,000.00
	2	60,000.00	cubes	Excavation in canal - Spoil to waste or fill - lift to 10 ft. - Haul 3/4 mile	475.00	28,500,000.00
	3	33,000.00	cubes	Placing and compacting fill materials in bund	220.00	7,260,000.00
	4	1,900.00	cubes	Furnishing, placing and compacting gravel, wearing surface for bund road (W=12'). haul 5 miles	700.00	1,330,000.00
	5	1,100.00	sqrs.	Furnishing, planting and watering protective vegetation- haul 3/4 miles	155.00	170,500.00
	6	Item	allow	Contingencies	Sum	<u>2,155,500.00</u>
				Total		40,000,000.00

Note: Link canal designed section:-

Bed width = 40 ft  
Side slopes = 1 on 2 1/2  
Road way on the left bank = 20 ft Top width

**ITEM III - S.I.II. CAUSEWAY AT 2M.03chs. - LIND CANAL**

This estimate shall provide for all labour and materials necessary for the construction of causeway at 2M.03 ch - Link Canal	SII	Qty	Unit	Item	Rate Rs	Amount Rs
	1	item	allow	Site clearing and grubbing	Sum	1,000.00
2	item	allow	Demolishing of U/S and D/S existing walls, ramp, retaining walls and scarification of ram surface	Sum	10,000.00	
3	220.00	cubes	Earthwork in foundation	200.00	44,000.00	
4	16.00	cubes	1:3:6:(1 1/2') cement concrete in foundation and superstructure - transport of metal 20 miles	9,000.00	144,000.00	
5	35.00	cubes	6"-9" rubble pitching in 1:5 cement mortar Transport of rubble 20 miles	6,000.00	175,000.00	
6	35.00	^cubes	Placing and compacting backfill around structures	80.00	2,800.00	
7	14.00	cubes	Furnishings, placing and compacting 6" - 9" rubble in base course - transport of rubble 20 miles	2,700.00	37,800.00	
8	6.00	cubes	Furnishing, placing and compacting 2" metal in surface course	4,000.00	24,000.00	
9	18.00	^sqrs.	Furnishing and placing first coat bitumen wearing surface and sand 5 miles	585.00	10,530.00	
10	18.00	sqrs	Furnishing and placing second coat bitumen wearing surface transport bitumen 150 miles	400.00	7,200.00	
11	28.00	nos.	Furnishing and placing guard stones on either side - 1ft.	150.00	4280.00	
12	item	allow	Contingencies	sum	<u>49,390.00</u>	
				Total	510,000.00	

**PONDS IN JAFFNA PENNINSULA**  
Location:- One inch sheet of Elephant Pass - A/9

**ANNEX: 4**

Pond No.	No.	Pond Name	Village	Contour		Capacity		
				Lowest	Highest	Ac.	Ft.	
				Level MSL	Area Acs	Level MSL	Area Acs	
1	500	Viddan Kulam	Urvanikanpattu	-	-	-	6.56	-1/
2	509	Maddikkaddai Kulam	Masar	+1	1.33	+7	4.96	43.31
3	511	Karavilan Kulam	Masar	+7	0.32	+13	4.88	17.32
4	506	Masar Kulam	Masar	-2	1.92	+4	24.64	96.51
5	510	Eriya Kulam	Masar	-	-	-	9.28	-1/
6	512	Sangakerni Kulam	Masar	+9	0.11	+14	4.00	9.95
7	504	Periyakandavil Kulam	Malvil	+5	0.12	+12	9.60	34.78
8	500A	Kaluvil Kulam	Malvil	+6	0.32	+13	14.72	55.90
9	519	Thiriar Kulam	Mukavil	-1	0.04	+4	10.00	2.96
10	495	Thambaffu Kulam	Urvanikanpattu	+3	0.24	+9	1.64	6.4
11	510A	Panavil Kulam	Masar	+1	0.08	+5	1.52	3.60
12	518	Iruvil Kulam	Urvanikanpattu	+0	0.04	+5	2.44	6.22
13	508	Veruvil Kulam	Masar	+1	0.04	+10	2.44	13.26
14	516	Kollan Kulam	Malvil	-	-	-	0.64	-1/
15	515	Krishnankovil Kulam	Malvil	-	-	-	40.80	-1/
16	507	Keeri Kulam	Masar	+1	0.28	+6	17.60	54.81
17	468	Patholai Kulam	Vannar Kerni	+4	0.17	+7	4.00	5.93
18	490B	Vatta Kulam	Masar	+1	1.30	+4	6.80	12.83
19	535A	Not given in plan	Elephant Pass	-1	0.14	+4	3.60	8.59
20	520	Maruthadi Kulam	Sampianpattu	+0	0.004	+3	0.06	0.10
21	565	Mathuvilan Kerni	Kaffandar Kulam	+4	0.008	+9	0.10	0.26
22	479	Siru Kulam	Soranpattu	+2	0.05	+6	0.32	0.72
23	485	Kangukotu Kulam	Soranpattu	+2	0.04	+8	0.64	3.06
24	515	Muhuval Kulam	Mukavil	-	-	-	19.04	-1/
25	524	Suri Kulam	Mukavil	+3	0.13	+11	7.04	23.14
26	526	Parayan Kulam	Mukavil	+3	0.56	+12	28.40	125.60
27	541	Papan Kulam	Iyokachchi	+2	3.84	+9	21.92	93.24
28	522	Variavayal Kulam	Maruthan Kerni	-1	0.03	+4	0.36	0.09
29	519A	Suluvil Kulam	Masar	-1	0.01	+3	0.21	0.39
30	521	Maruthady Kulam	Marathan Kerni	-1	0.006	+4	0.35	0.79
31	497	Pendukerni Kulam	Urvanikanpattu	+3	0.06	+5	0.32	0.39
32	505A	Sinnariquvil Kulam	Masar	+4	0.01	+8	0.21	0.36
33	505	Periangavil Kulam	Masar	+2	0.01	+9	0.21	0.62
34	521A	Pillaiarkovil Kulam	Maruthankerni	-2	0.002	+3	0.06	0.13
35	496	Vaathiar Kulam	Urvanikanpattu	+3	0.15	+5	0.47	1.66
							622.73	

36	523	Sebidan Kulam	Marutan Kerni	-1	0.006	+4	0.11	0.29
37	521B	Pulliadu Kulam	Marutan Kerni	-2	0.02	+5	0.15	0.58
38	530A	Kurunchan Kulam	Iyakachchi	+7	0.05	+11	0.29	0.72
39	531	Kilawnar Kulam	- do -	+3	0.03	+5	0.20	0.25
40	529	Pathowala Kulam	- do -	+1	0.01	+7	0.68	2.47
41	563A	Puluthurangi Kulam	- do -	+2	0.02	+7	0.50	1.72
42	530B	Giranchi Kulam	Uranikanpattu	+1	0.72	+4	3.52	8.40
43	531	Not given in the plan	Iyakachchi	-	-	-	0.74	-1/
44	537	Periyarasawelli Kulam	- do -	+7	0.07	+12	1.86	4.23
45	540	Marakkara Kulam	- do -	+4	0.22	+9	2.08	6.03
46	548	Kumbuka Kulam	- do -	+0	0.07	+6	3.94	9.74
47	545B	Puwangi Kulam	- do -	+7	0.18	+11	2.10	4.26
48	549	Welar Kulam	- do -	+3	0.03	+10	2.24	5.74
49	545	Wellan Kulam	- do -	-	-	-	2.26	-1/
50	543	Vichchevi Kulam	- do -	+5	0.04	+11	1.98	6.37
51	535	Velan Kulam	Elephant Pass	+1	0.05	+3	0.56	0.63
52	532	Thotam Kulam	Iyakachchi	+6	0.02	+12	2.11	6.61
53	538A	Vellaveli Kulam	- do -	+10	0.64	+12	1.20	1.96
54	527A	Kallawattapallam	- do -	+11	0.32	+14	1.82	3.85
55	551	Sarappadanthan Kulam	- do -	+11	0.39	+13	1.18	1.58
56	554	Adampan Kulam	- do -	+10	0.84	+12	2.94	3.61
57	550	Nayanakatan Kulam	- do -	+10	0.20	+12	1.42	2.65
58	544	Ananilunda Kulam	- do -	+6	0.14	+15	2.48	13.73
59	546	Iyakachchi Kulam	- do -	+0	0.05	+6	3.24	11.57
60	539A	Thuravu Kulam	- do -	+2	0.03	+12	3.68	19.25
61	545	Sinnapoovangi Kulam	- do -	+10	0.94	+11	1.82	1.38
62	545A	Kothankerni Kulam	- do -	+2	0.09	+7	0.70	2.81
63	562	Thuraran Kulam	- do -	+0	1.36	+4	8.96	27.48
64	547	Thalayadi Kulam	- do -	+2	0.19	+7	9.12	27.10
65	540A	Thari Kulam	- do -	+7	0.06	+12	3.12	6.90
66	528	Thamarai Kulam	- do -	+1	0.16	+7	14.92	28.26
67	553	Marilankai Kulam	Kattandar Kulam	+0	0.28	+6	26.96	87.5
68	556	Chavattu Kulam	Koyilvayal	-	-	-	5.0	-1/
69	534	Vaniamparai Kulam	Iyakachchi	+1	0.09	+4	9.69	17.17
70	533	Karuri Kandan Kulam	- do -	+1	0.08	+5	6.88	14.24
71	535A	Not given in the plan	Elephant Pass	-	-	-	.28	-1/
								951.81



72	530	Pallakadu Kulam	Iyakachchi	+1	0.02	+5	4.00	7.12
73	539	Vichchiri Kulam	- do -	-	-	-	4.48	-1/
74	546	Yakachchi Kulam	- do -	-	-	-	9.60	-1/
75	552	Sinnamavilankai Kulam	- do -	+5	0.20	+10	2.12	6.12
76	563	Sinnathawion Kulam	- do -	+0	0.02	+5	3.24	9.43
77	538	Nayanakathan Kulam	- do -	+5	0.08	+11	2.18	7.61
78	532	KST Thotam Kulam	- do -	-	-	-	3.20	-1/
79	536	Sinnarasawelli Kulam	- do -	+4	0.04	+9	1.08	2.36
80	558	Pandaro Kulam	Kottandarkulam	+4	3.44	+6	6.20	10.22
81	556	Chavatti Kulam	- do -	+6	0.54	+8	2.18	4.30
82	560A	Kudamurili Kulam	Perinapachchilaipoli	+4	0.38	+5	1.30	0.84
83	527	Kottuvitapallam Kulam	Malvil	+5	0.10	+9	1.72	3.91
84	559	Kompadi Kulam	Koyilvayal	+0	0.19	+5	11.12	23.90
85	560	Nedun Kulam	- do -	+2	1.04	+5	18.64	31.60
86	561	Silavil Kulam	Iyakachchi	+4	0.11	+10	6.64	20.82
87	564	Periya Kulam	- do -	-2	0.08	+4	19.28	61.36
88	542	Vannan Kulam	Mukavil	+0	0.06	+5	5.52	11.79
								1152.19

**Note:** Areas at various contours from the lowest to the highest and the heights between the contours enabled the computation of capacity. The contours are at one foot intervals.

-1/ :- Available data not sufficient to compute the capacity.

His Excellency J.R. Jayawardena,  
President  
Sri Lanka.

We, the undersigned petitioners are submitting this report to His Excellency as a result of the conference the undersigned petitioners had with Mr Esmond Wickramasinge who conveyed to us your Excellency's wishes to give water to Jaffna Peninsula and other parts of Northern Province.

### Jaffna Peninsula Fresh Water Project

#### A workable and pragmatic scheme to supply Jaffna Peninsula with fresh water

##### 1. Introduction

Jaffna Peninsula comprises of a total area of 400 square miles and nearly 40 square miles of this extent are covered by two internal lagoons, namely the North (Vadamarachchi) and South (Upparu) lagoons. An external lagoon of water spread area of 30 square miles called the Elephant Pass lagoon lies between the main land and the peninsula.

##### 2. History of the Scheme - Twyneham Report

In 1879 Mr Twyneham the then Government Agent in the Northern Province made a report called "Twyneham Report" on this lagoon scheme. In it he foresees the possibility of damming the sea water from entering these inland lagoons and reclaiming the saline lands for cultivation.

##### 3. Horseburg Report

Horseburg the Government Agent in 1916 suggested that part of the scheme be carried out as an experiment for the time being. The recommendation received the then Colonial Secretary's consideration in 1916 at London. The main points in the scheme were-

- i) 8,500 acres of crown land and 3,000 acres of private holding could be developed.
- ii) The culverts across Pt. Pedro - Chavakachcheri road could be blocked by wooden gates and open to release excess waters in rainy season.

In 1920, Vadamarachchi lagoon scheme began with a sum of Rs. 5000/= The scheme function efficiently in the following years 1921, 1922, and 1923.

After four years of successful operation a vote was taken on the scheme from the inhabitants of the area, who voted for the continuance of the scheme. Karaveddi East and Thunnalai, once saline tracts, were reclaimed for cultivation; the all round yield had increased; and there was plenty of fresh water for domestic consumption.

Thus, there was a decision taken to implement the full scheme because of the radical change the experimental scheme (Vadamarachchi Lagoon Scheme) had brought to bear in the minds of the people of the area, and this time it was Late Mr. K. Balasingham who proposed it.

#### 4. The Webb Report

In 1930 Mr. F.R.G. Webb was appointed Divisional Irrigation Engineer, Northern Division. He issued a document entitled "Balasingham - Webb Report". Some of the interesting points from their report were -

##### i) The area of the lagoons:

- a) Vadamarachchi = 30 square miles.
- b) Upparu = 10 square miles.

ii) Uncultivable lands along the fringe of the lagoons are 17.5 sq. mls. (10,936 Acres).

iii) About 20,000 acres of paddy lands (rainfed) had poor yields.

Webb suggested that barrages to be built to prevent salt water intrusion into the internal lagoon to make the lagoons, fresh water lakes, reclaim the uncultivable land, and increase the yield in the lands already cultivated.

#### 5. The Arumugam Plan

A new fillip was given to this much talked of Elephant Pass Lagoon Scheme when Mr S. Arumugam published an article in October 1954. Mr. S. Arumugam the then Divisional Irrigation Engineer, Northern Division mention that the Elephant Pass Lagoon with a water spread area of 30 sq. miles, fed by the inflowing Kanagarayan Aru, Nethali Aru, Peramanthal Aru, and Theravil Aru would be in this scheme, the primary reservoir. According to him a bund cum spill across the spill at Chundikulam and another spill where the railway and motor bridges are at Elephant Pass would seal the entry to sea water into the Elephant Pass Lagoon, thus transfer it into fresh water primary reservoir. From this reservoir the water could be led by a canal called the "Mullian canal" to the Vadamarrachchi Lagoon which then becomes the secondary reservoir. This is briefly what he suggested for the upliftment of the Peninsula.

#### 6. Provision in the National Budget

In early 1976 the completion of this scheme was taken up and investigations, feasibility report, design etc. were prepared with Mr K. Shanmugarajah the then Chief Engineer in charge of the project.

When the scheme was presented for inclusion in the budget in 1976, the Planning Committee, perhaps following the policy of S.L.F.P Government turned it down. After the Present Government came into power, this scheme was put forward in 1978 which was accepted by the Planning Committee and a token vote of Rs.10/= was passed in the Parliament to implement the scheme. But the required funds not subsequently provided the scheme was in abeyance.

Now His Excellency J. R. Jayawardena, President of Sir Lanka is anxious to provide water to the needy people of Jaffna Peninsula. We recommend that this scheme be implemented.

## 7. The Main Project Features

- i) **Elephant Pass Lagoon:-** Construction of a rolled filled homogeneous earth dam of length 4,700 feet incorporated with a spill of total length of 7,000 feet at the eastern closure site, reconditioning and improving the link canal 2 1/2 miles long and connecting this lagoon with North (Vadamarachchi) Lagoon and construction of inlet regulator to the Link canal. This Elephant Pass Lagoon will be used as a source for internal (North and South) lagoons in addition to improving the conditions along the fringe of this lagoon.
- ii) Access road to Eastern closure bund of the Elephant Pass Lagoon.
- iii) Improvement to barrages at Thondamannar across North Lagoon and at Ariyalai across South Lagoon.

## 8. Project Targets

The people of Jaffna Peninsula have been constantly agitating to convert this lagoon into fresh water lake for domestic purposes, cultivation and replenish ground water resources. Due to over exploitation of the aquifer, most of the wells have become brackish and it is of paramount importance to have a supply source to replenish the wells in order to meet the increased demands.

## 9. Technical Aspects

This scheme is both feasible and pragmatic without tapping the resources of Mahaweli Ganga but utilising the following resources:-

- i) Yield from a catchment of 363 sq. miles of the main land and 30 sq. miles of Elephant Pass Lagoon area; which drains into the sea via Chundikulam the eastern end of the Elephant Pass Lagoon.
- ii) Yield from the local catchment of about 200 sq. miles of the two internal lagoons (Vadamarachchi and Upparu).

### -Elephant Pass Lagoon-

The main feeder stream to Elephant Pass Lagoon in Kanagarayan Aru. The other streams draining into this lagoon are Nethali Aru, Peramanthal Aru and Theruvil Aru. The nett yield after deducting the detention in the catchment in 91000 acre feet.

### -Internal Lagoons (Vadamarachchi and Upparu)-

The nett yield is 97,800 acre feet from its catchment of 200 sq. miles, which has specific yield of 489 acre feet/sq. miles.

## 10. Ground water and Jaffna Acquifer

The geological formation of the sub-soil bears excellent physical character to underground storage. The replenishment solely depends on rainfall. The porous sand and limestone sub-strata provides ample storage. The overdrawn from wells due to increase domestic and agricultural activity has resulted in intrusion of salt water.

## 11. Economic Evaluations

In the economic study, only the primary benefits from agricultural production have been evaluated. The recharging of the underground storage, thereby benefiting the brackish wells and increasing the storage potential of the ground water to meet the increase demand for irrigation and domestic use has not been considered. There will also be employment opportunity, growth of secondary industries, the increase of land values, recreational facilities etc., as the secondary and tangible benefits. This scheme will bring immense benefits by way of economic upliftments not only to the poor peasants of the area but also to the well being of the entire country.

## 12. Anticipated benefits

- i) Conversion of the lagoon to fresh water lake.
- ii) Underground storage will be recharged benefitting the brackish wells along the fringe of the lagoons. There may be a possibility of suppressing the interface of fresh and salt water below the existing level and thereby increasing the storage potential of the ground water to meet the increase demand for irrigation and domestic consumption.
- iii) Re-claiming 11,000 acres of non arable land by having salt leached out. From the result of present cultivation of small extents, in partially reclaimed areas, it is evident that red onions, chillies, jams cashew, grapevines etc. can be cultivated in this land. It may be possible to cultivate crops like mangoes, coconut etc. However a land use survey will help to select suitable crops.
- iv) Supplement the 20,000 acres of presently cultivated land (rainfed) along the fringe during the period of drought, and the tail end of the cultivation by pumping from the newly erected fresh water storage of the lagoon area. Due to lack of water, cultivation of paddy in this 20,000 acres has been a failure during most of the years and even when the cultivation is considered as success the yield is as low as 20 bushels per acre compared to the National level of 60 bushels per acre, which does not meet the input.

## 13. Project position today. Work carried out

- i) The barrage across the North lagoon at Tondamannar
  - a) 18 bays of 20 feet wide
  - b) 16 number subsidiary spans of 10 feet wide.
- ii) The barrage across the South Lagoon at Ariyalai 540 feet semi circular arc of 150 feet radius comprising of 18 number 5 1/2 x 5 feet gates.
- iii) Link canal between external lagoon (Elephant Pass) and internal lagoons - 2 miles out of the length of 2 1/4 miles have been excavated but requires reconditioning as per soil investigations

## 14. For completion

- i) 4,700 feet long rolled filled homogeneous earth dam incorporated with a spill cum causeway of 7,000 feet long.
- ii) Access road to eastern closure bund of Elephant Pass Scheme.
- iii) Excavation about 1/2 mile of the Link canal, re-conditioning of the 2 mile of the excavated canal and construction of the regulator of the Link canal.
- iv) Improvement to the barrages at Thondamannar across North Lagoon and at Ariyalai across South Lagoon.

15. So called adverse effects

A few families who are now fishing prawns in a limited area of about  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile in the vicinity of the barrage at Thondamannar may object to this scheme which will benefit the entire Peninsula. We are incorporating prawn fishing also into this scheme to enable these families to continue with their vacation.

16. Recent discussion with Hon. M. Sivasithamparam M.P. for Nallur

Recently we had discussion with Hon. M. Sivasithamparam M.P. for Nallur and President of T.U.L.F. The entire scheme was explained to him including the provision for safe guarding prawn fishing interest. He is not only supporting this scheme but also mention that it is acceptable to the T.U.L.F. Party. He said that the concession given to the fishermen is more that sufficient and was hoping that the Excellency the President will under take to complete the project.

17. Cost Estimate

The cost estimate of the project in 1978 was 16.5 million rupees and was revised to 21.5 million rupees in 1980. It will now cost more due to the escalation in prises of construction material and wages during the last three years.

18. Feasibility Report, construction and relevant plans

Feasibility report, construction and relevant plans are available.

19. Commission

To implement this project a commission may be set up and the following members are prepared to serve in this commission.

Prof. H. W. Thambiah, Q.C., L.L.D., Former Supreme Court Judge of Sir Lanka and Republic of Sierea Leone.

Mr. S. Arumugam, B. Sc.,(Lord),B. Sc. Eng.(Lond), M.I.W.E., F.I.C.E., C.Eng., F.I.E., Retired Deputy Direction of Irrigation, and Director of Water Resources Board.

Mr. K. Shanmugarajah, C. Eng., Dip. Eng. Hons.(Lond), F.I.C.E., F.I.E., Retired Deputy Director of Irrigation and Adviser on Irrigation Development to the Federal Government of Nigeria from the Commonwealth Secretariat, London.

We will also appreciate if the District Minister of Jaffna, Hon. M. Sivasithamparam, M.P. for Nallur, and K. Sanmuganathan, C.Eng, F.R.M.I.T., M.I.E.(Aust), F.I.E., Deputy Director, Plan Implementation, Former Deputy Director-Kilinochchi, are also included as members of this commission with His Excellency's approval.


The commission may be appointed by His Excellency, responsible only to him who is anxious to give water and blessing to the people of Jaffna. The work can commence immediately if the green light is shown by the President.

Prof. H.W. Thambiah.

Mr. S. Arumugam,


Mr. K. Shanmugarajah

26 January 1983




**LINK CANAL**

SCALE - HORIZONTAL 500 FT TO AN INCH  
VERTICAL 5 FT TO AN INCH



**CHUNDIKULAM BUND**




SCALE HORIZ 500 FT TO AN INCH  
VERTI. 5 FT TO AN INCH



**PROPOSED CROSS SECTION OF BUND**

SCALE - 10 FT TO 3/4 INCH (NATURAL)

NOTE - CUT-OFF TO GO DOWN TO CLAY WHICH IS EXPECTED TO APPEAR ABOVE -15.00 M.S.L.



LOCATION MAP OF BORROW AREA  
SCALE 1000 FT TO AN INCH


APRIL 76		K. WIGNESWARAN	A. JAYASURIYA
DATE		SUBMITTED (I.E. ISOL.)	APPROVED D/E (ENG. MATERIALS)
IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT ENGINEERING MATERIALS LABORATORY			
JAFFNA LAGOONS SCHEME SUB SOIL INVESTIGATION			
SHEET 1 OF 1		DRG. NO. SLW / 186 - I	






LONGITUDINAL SECTION (0.0CH - 4.0CH)  
 SCALE VERT. 10 FT TO AN INCH  
 HORIZ. 200 FT TO AN INCH

CHAINAGE	EXISTING LEVELS	DESIGNED LEVELS	HEIGHT OF FILL	DEPTH OF CUT
0	1.98	7.00		0.98
1	7.88	7.00		0.88
2	7.77	7.00		0.77
3	7.00	7.00		
4	6.70	7.00	0.30	
5	6.84	7.00	0.16	
6	6.82	7.00	0.48	
7	6.74	7.00	0.26	
8	7.20	7.00		0.20
9	7.46	7.00		0.46
10	7.77	7.00		0.77
11	7.89	7.00		0.89
12	7.91	7.00		0.91
13	6.97	7.00	0.03	
14	7.88	7.00		0.88
15	6.88	7.00		0.88
16	7.08	7.00		0.08
17	6.42	7.00		0.42
18	6.13	7.00		0.13
19	6.42	7.00		0.42
20	6.48	7.00		0.48
21	6.70	7.00		0.70
22	7.16	7.00		0.16
23	7.02	7.00		0.02
24	6.38	7.00		0.38
25	6.14	7.00		0.14
26	6.08	7.00	0.91	
27	6.98	7.00	1.02	
28	6.99	7.00	1.12	




FLAT PLAN





SCALE 40 FT TO AN INCH

SECTION C-C





SECTION A-A





SECTION B - B




26	2.98	4.00	0.81
27	2.98	4.00	1.02
28	2.87	4.00	1.12
29	2.90	4.00	1.10
30	2.85	4.00	1.15
31	2.85	4.00	1.17
32	2.75	4.00	1.27
33	2.79	4.00	1.22
34	2.76	4.00	1.24
35	2.84	4.00	1.16
36	2.82	4.00	0.22
37	2.42	4.00	0.43
38	2.04	4.00	3.04
39	2.22	4.00	2.22
40	1.80	4.00	1.80

APRIL 76	DATE	DRN. E.Y.	DESIGN. E.M.	E/CHG.	D/CHG.	SUBJ.	A. RAJALINGAM	S. SHANMUGARAJAN
							PASSED & RECOMMENDED I. E.	APPROVED CHIEF ENG. FOR DIR. OF IRRIGATION
IRRIGATION				DEPARTMENT				
<b>JAFFNA LAGOON SCHEME</b> 1. ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON SPILL CUM CAUSEWAY AND EARTH EMBANKMENT ALONG CHUNDIKULAM BUND REACH 1 & 2 0.0 CH - 40 CH								
SHEET 1 OF 3				DRG. NO. 228 & 229-2-6/A				



LONGITUDINAL SECTION (40 CH - 1M 30 CH.)


SCALE HORIZ. 200 FT TO AN INCH  
 VERT. 10 FT TO AN INCH

CHAINAGE	EXISTING LEVELS	DESIGNED LEVELS	HEIGHT OF FILL	DEPTH OF CUT
0+40 CH	8.80	4.00		1.80
41	8.78	4.00		1.78
42	8.78	4.00		2.78
43	4.78	4.00		0.78
44	8.80	4.00		1.80
45	8.82	4.00		1.82
46	8.88	4.00	0.47	
47	8.17	4.00	0.88	
48	8.18	4.00	0.81	
49	8.07	4.00	0.18	
50	7.98	1.88		0.48
51	8.88	7.00	8.88	
52	0.07	7.00	8.93	
53	7.00			
54	8.84	7.00	8.78	
55	8.77	7.00	8.28	
56	8.67	7.00	0.88	
57	7.87	7.00	0.87	
58	7.88	7.00	0.88	
59	8.80	7.00	1.80	
60	8.78	4.00		1.78





FLAT PLAN





**SECTION C-C**  
SCALE 1" = 10 FT TO AN INCH



SECTION (40 CH - 1M. 30 CH.)

200 FT TO AN INCH  
10 FT TO AN INCH.


APRIL 76	K.T.	DESIGNED BY	A. RAJALINGAM		E.S.
DATE	DRWN	BY	APPROVED BY	PASSED & RECOMMENDED	APPROVED
				I. E.	FO
IRRIGATION			DEPARTMENT		
JAFFNA LAGOON SC					
ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON SPILL CUM					
EARTH EMBANKMENT ALONG CHUNDIKULAM M					
40 CH - 1M 30 CH					
SHEET 2 OF 3			DRG. NO. 250 & 231-2-6		

CHAINAGE  
 EXISTING LEVELS  
 DESIGNED LEVELS  
 HEIGHT OF FILL  
 DEPTH OF CUT


LONGITUDINAL SECTION (IM30CH - 2 M 0 CH)


SCALE  
 HORIZ. 200 FT TO AN INCH  
 VERT. 10 FT TO AN INCH

CHAINAGE	EXISTING LEVELS	DESIGNED LEVELS	HEIGHT OF FILL	DEPTH OF CUT
130	8.11	4.00		4.11
31	8.28	4.00		4.28
32	8.85	4.00		4.85
35	5.98	4.00		1.98
34	1.28	4.00	2.72	
35	0.28	4.00	3.72	
36	1.17	4.00	2.83	
37	2.18	4.00	1.82	
38	0.85	4.00	3.05	
39	1.18	4.00	2.82	
40	1.12	4.00	2.88	
41	5.03	4.00	0.97	
42	7.31	4.00		3.31
43	8.19	4.00		2.19
44	5.59	4.00		1.59
45	6.24	4.00		2.24
46	8.33	4.00		2.23
47	8.77	4.00		1.77
48	8.17	4.00		2.17
49	8.89	4.00		1.89




FLAT PLAN





NEAR ROADWAY WIDTH 18'-0"




130 CH- 2 M O CH)

APRIL 76	DRWN K.Y.	DES'D S.M.	E/CHE'D	D/CH'D	SUB'D	A. RAJALINGAM	K. SHANMUGARAJAH
DATE						PASSED & RECOM'D I. E.	APPROVED CHIEF ENG. FOR DIR. OF IRRIG.
<b>IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT</b>							
<b>JAFFNA LAGOON SCHEME</b>							
ELEPHANT PASS LAGOON SPILL CUM CAUSEWAY ALONG CHUNDIKULAM BUND REACH 5 (130CH-2M OCH)							
SHEET 3 OF 3					DRG. NO. 232-2-6/A		

SCALE 4 FT TO AN INCH


PLAN








ELEVATION

U/S


D/S







SITE SURVEY OF REGULATOR CUM CAUSEWAY AT OM. 27.0 CHS  
SCALE : 40 FT TO AN INCH




SECTION A-A  
SCALE : 4 FT TO AN INCH


APRIL 78	DESIGNED	BY	A. RAJALINGAM	CHECKED	K. SHANMUGARAJAH
DATE	DRAWN	BY	PASSED & RECOMMENDED I. E.	APPROVED	CHIEF ENG. FOR DIR. OF IRRIGATION
IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT					
JAFFNA LAGOON SCHEME REGULATOR CUM CAUSEWAY AT OM27CH					
SHEET	1	OF	1	DRG. NO.	234-2-6/A

SCALE - 4/8" = 1" HORIZONTAL  
SCALE - 1/4" = 1" VERTICAL


### PLAN







### ELEVATION




### SECTION A-B






SECTION D. D



SECTION C. C



SITE SURVEY OF CAUSEWAY AT 2 M 3.0 CH




SCALE 40 FT TO AN INCH.

APRIL 76	DRWN ELY	CHKD RM	APPROV D/ENR	A. RAJALINGAM	E. SHANMUGARAJAN
DATE	DATE	DATE	DATE	PASSED & RECOMMENDED	APPROVED CHIEF ENG. FOR DIR. OF IRRIGATION
IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT					
JAFFNA LAGOON SCHEME CAUSEWAY AT 2 M 3.0 CH					
SHEET 1 OF 1			DRG. NO. 233-2-6/A		

SCALE  
 VERTICAL 10 FT TO AN INCH  
 HORIZONTAL 200 FT TO AN INCH



1 MILE OF

CHAINAGE  
 EXISTING BED LEVEL  
 DESIGNED BED LEVEL  
 DEPTH OF CUT  
 HEIGHT OF FILL  
 DESIGNED B.T.L.  
 DATUM - 30.00 M.S.L.










<b>IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT BAUDDHALOKA MAWATHA COLOMBO-7</b>	
<b>JAFFNA LAGOON SCHEME L.S OF LINK CANAL</b>	
DESIGNED & LEVELLED BY <b>S. MANCAVASAR I.E</b>	EXAMINED BY
PLOTTED BY <b>S. THANBAVEL</b>	SUBMITTED BY <b>S. MANCAVASAR. I.E</b>
CHECKED BY <b>S. VASANTHARAJAN S'M.</b>	RECOMMENDED BY <b>A. RAJALINGAM I.E</b>
	APPROVED BY <b>K. SHANMUGARAJAM C.ENG.FORDH</b>
DRAWING NO. 242-2-6/A	DATE APRIL 76

SCALE VERTICAL 80 FT TO AN INCH  
HORIZONTAL 200 FT TO AN INCH

L.S. OF MILE

1	0.00	1.01
2	-0.07	-0.09
3	-0.11	-0.03
4	-0.20	-0.02
5	-0.08	-0.07
6	-0.24	-0.10
7	-0.44	-0.14
8	-0.01	0.00
9	0.00	-0.11
10	0.01	0.00
11	0.00	0.00
12	-0.00	-0.00
13	-0.00	-0.00
14	-0.00	-0.00
15	-0.00	-0.00
16	-0.00	-0.00
17	-0.00	-0.00
18	-0.00	-0.00
19	-0.00	-0.00
20	-0.00	-0.00
21	-0.00	-0.00
22	-0.00	-0.00
23	-0.00	-0.00
24	-0.00	-0.00
25	-0.00	-0.00








FIGURE 3

1/8" = 1' HORIZ  
1/8" = 1' VERT

<b>IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT</b> <b>BAUDDHALOKA MAWATHA COLOMBO-7</b>	
<b>JAFFNA LAGOON SCHEME</b> <b>L.S. OF LINK CANAL</b>	
SURVEYED & LEVELLED BY <b>B. MANICAVASABAR I.E.</b>	EXAMINED BY
PLOTTED BY <b>S. THANGAVEL T.A.</b>	SUBMITTED BY <b>B. MANICAVASABAR I.E.</b>
CHECKED BY <b>S. VASANTHARAJAN S/M</b>	RECOMMENDED BY <b>A. RAJALINGAM I.E.</b>
	APPROVED BY <b>K. SHANMUSARAJAN CE FOR D.I.</b>
DRAWING NO. 241-2-6/A	DATE APRIL 1974



## ABOUT THE AUTHOR



**K. SHANMUGARAJAH**

**C.Eng, Dip. Eng (Lond.) Hons, F.I.E. (S.L.), F.I.C.E. (Lond.)**

The author, from Kondavil East, Jaffna, an old boy of Jaffna Hindu College, was formerly Deputy Director of Irrigation Department. He also worked as advisor on Irrigation development to the Federal minister for Water Resources, Nigeria (Commonwealth Secretariat, London Assignment), as Team Leader for World Bank Funded Integrated Rural Development Project (Irrigation) Sri Lanka, as a Member of the FAO team for Pre-feasibility study for irrigating Coconut palms, as Project Co-ordinator for Netherlands Government funded Mannar Water Supply Project and finally as Engineer for United States Agency for International Development (USAID).

There are no rivers and streams in Jaffna Peninsula due to the flatness of the land and the topography does not permit the construction of reservoirs. Therefore, water resource development of Jaffna Peninsula must be based on its ground water. Conversion of the lagoons of the peninsula to fresh water lakes is identified as the most feasible method of recharging the underground aquifer. This method also has other benefits.

The author has tried his best, many times, to get the project implemented. Having failed in this endeavour, the author decided to write a book and publish it. He has written it with the hope that it will serve as a good guideline for future planners and thus benefitting the people of the area. He has been associated with other officials of the Irrigation Department to lead the team in the investigation and planning of water resources development of Jaffna Peninsula and has maintained and collated the data made available from these investigations. His long years in the Irrigation Department and subsequently in other institutions has enabled him to study these data, lay out proposals and provide detailed plans to implement them, in his book.

He has presented a summarised version of the information given in this book at meetings of professional associations in Sri Lanka. The appropriate time to implement the project must come before a critical stage is reached, when most of the area will be faced with shortages of fresh water.

ISBN 0 646 221515